

IPS

## U.N. report

---

### Dance of Death

# Mr. Carrillo-Flores and the World Population Conference

UNITED NATIONS, New York, August 5 (IPS) — In preparation for the International Caucus of Labor Committees' intervention at the upcoming UN World Population Conference in Bucharest, IPS had two lengthy interviews with the genial and candid Mr. Antonio Carrillo-Flores, Secretary-General of the Population Conference.

Mr. Carrillo-Flores, who is 65, has enjoyed a long and varied career as diplomat for his native country Mexico. Over the past four decades he has been in turn a professor of law, author, administrator, Minister in the Mexican government, and international representative of his country. He served as Foreign Minister of Mexico from 1964 to 1970, Minister of Finance from 1952 to 1958, and Ambassador to Washington from 1959 to 1964.

IPS asked Mr. Carrillo-Flores how he had come to

head the Population Conference. He explained that he was "drafted" by UN Secretary General Kurt Waldheim. Although he has no conception of his function as Rockefeller's front man, Mr. Carrillo-Flores clearly understands how useful his particular qualifications are:

*"I accepted the Secretary-General's invitation. I assume he invited me first, because I am a man from a country in Latin America, and Latin America is perhaps the region of the world which presents more of a variety of population problems.... You find countries like Brazil with tremendous resources and spaces, and you have countries like Mexico which are beginning to feel the consequences of too rapid growth.... Finally, Latin America is a region where the great majority of people are Catholic and I suppose that also was an element the*



*Secretary-General took into consideration...[as well as] my diplomatic and political experience in developing the programs of the Mexican government."*

A Catholic, a native of the third world, and the father of six children, Mr. Carrillo-Flores is admirably suited to take the edge off the sinister implications of the United Nations population policy.

#### **Mediator**

As a career diplomat, Carrillo-Flores has spend decades practising mediation and conciliation. By nature an affable, low-key negotiator, his qualifications for his present task, as far as John D. Rockefeller III is concerned, are his desire to resolve conflicts, conduct a colloquium free of acrimony, and satisfy all participants at the Conference equally. Behind this friendly figure-head who shuns "involuntary measures" John D. is free to coordinate his plans for massive depopulation almost unnoticed.

IPS' initial assessment based on the interviews is that Carrillo-Flores himself does not notice the genocidal intentions of the Rockefellers and their designated spokesmen at the Population Conference and the non-governmental Population Tribune. His role as friend of the world's nations is all the more effective and potentially insidious because he himself believes in it.

#### **Lowest Common Denominator**

Asked what he hoped that the conference would accomplish, Carrillo-Flores laid out his "lowest-common-denominator" approach: "*[I hope that] it will be a constructive meeting in which questions related to population can be discussed by all member UN countries in an atmosphere of understanding without much of the recrimination and confrontation which has taken place in other UN meetings.*

*"I cannot hope that there will not be lively discussion, but that it will be possible to reach a consensus....I base that hope on far-reaching consultation I have already had and on bilateral consultation I have had in my very extensive trips around the world.*

*"I am really very satisfied with all five regional consultations that the draft we circulated, under the authority of the Secretary-General, will be recognized as a good basis for constructive discussion.*

*"I think it is better to have recommendations not too strong so countries can take decisions without pressure from the international community. My impression is that it is more useful to put targets [of lower fertility] in terms of the tools that governments really have at their disposal: mass media, paramedical personnel, new health centers, etc."* Carrillo-Flores proceeded to draw the instructive parallel of government incentives to

channel private investment, asserting that no coercion is possible!

According to Mr. Carrillo-Flores, when the Population Conference was first called in 1972, "*there existed an attitude of doubt, diffidence, and misgivings as to the reasons why the conference was called....*

*"Some developing countries had the impression that the real purpose of the conference was to adopt a world-wide program of birth control to limit the rate at which the population of those countries was growing....The developing countries of Asia since the 1950s and 1960s have been pro-population control — they had to make an effort to reduce the rate at which their populations were growing....but Latin America, Africa, and for different reasons some of the socialist countries were initially opposed....The population debate has raged since the 19th century, when Marx and Engels wrote about Malthus....The Marxist point of view has always been opposed to so-called Malthusian pessimism — also socialist groups, especially young people influenced by socialist ideas, wanted to be sure that the conference was more than a neo-Malthusian effort."*

He continued "*Independent of political and philosophical differences, it is clear that the world today is different than the world of Malthus and Marx and Engels' day....Reality of today is different. People who are in ideology and practice Marxists continue to consider Malthus pessimistic and condemn his teachings. Some realities no objective person can deny: The question of food....the question of growth....the great problem of urban implosion....These facts are so objective they are above any ideology....The problem of population and Human Rights was the most difficult to tackle — in other areas you have objective things. For example, you can measure...."*

Relating an anecdote of conversion, Carrillo-Flores cited his exchange with the Secretary-General of OAU (the Organization of African Unity): "*The Secretary-General said, 'We are not interested in your conference because it is a birth control conference.' I said: 'No, it is a population conference. We are also interested in infant mortality, and we think infant mortality and other questions should have higher priority...' Said the OAU representative: 'If that is the case, then we agree'."*

Carrillo-Flores is very proud that his conference is taking a "pro-life" stand: "*There was unanimous opinion that the fight against early death should continue whatever the demographic consequences...mankind could not tolerate anything else. The highest priority regardless of demographic growth must be given to the fight against high rates of mortality and morbidity, whatever the consequences to demography."*

### May Or Should?

Mr. Carrillo-Flores stressed the idea of having the text of the World Plan of Action "acceptable to all nations." For example, the Asian countries in their regional pre-conference in Bangkok, wanted the text stronger "around the question of reducing the birthrate per 1,000 population. They wanted the text stronger to read 'countries should be invited to consider reducing their birthrate by 10 points'." The final text reads "countries may...."

### Who Can Object

"Nobody has objected to the duty of the state to inform and educate people." Carrillo-Flores is pleased with his success in so removing the reality of depopulation from the discussion that everyone can arrive at an empty consensus. Thus, one favorite technique is "local control." He hopes that the conference "will invite countries to get together and adopt 'local variants' of the World Plan of Action....For example, Africans will study the priorities they want to give...what is viable for them...."

### Two Kinds of Animals

"Finally, one problem I'm sure will be raised: If poor countries have been asked to reduce their population, whether rich countries have the moral and political duty to at least level off consumption and cut waste." Citing a graphic example of Rockefeller-induced depression psychosis in the third world, Carrillo-Flores related a conversation with a third world representative who declared: "There are two kinds of animals. There's Animal A, which consumes 10-12 times as much as Animal B, and there are too many of Animal B. If Animal B must cut down its birthrate what is Animal A

---

"If fusion power will provide unlimited energy as you say it would, then I would support it"

Antonio Carrillo-Flores, Secretary General of the World Population Conference.

---

doing?" Carrillo-Flores remarks that he does not subscribe personally to this (bestial) view: "I am a realistic man. Here I speak only as a Mexican. We have a 3.5 per cent annual growth. It is in our interest to try to bring it down independently of what our neighbor in the North does or does not do. It is unrealistic to think it will happen that the United States will reduce its consumption....I'm not so much interested in the level of consumption with the developed countries."

### Rockefeller's Latin Lieutenant

Mr. Carrillo-Flores has fantasies about an "international social security"...in the next century. He speaks wistfully of "solidarity among all peoples." Personally he is no champion of the more gruesome aspects of Rockefeller's ZPG program. However, he finds himself coordinating the conference at which John D. Rockefeller III will organize the murder of one billion human beings.

When IPS explained Rockefeller's plans for controlled genocide, the incredulous Mr. Carrillo-Flores gasped "You really believe that about Rockefeller?" When he learned that IPS is a communist news service, he paused momentarily, then implored: "I have been very candid with you."

## Accuse UN, CIA of Germ Warfare Studies in India

August 5 (IPS) — July 30 Communist and Socialist Party members of India's Parliament accused the United Nations World Health Organization (WHO) of providing cover for secret germ warfare experiments in India sponsored by the U.S. Army and the Central Intelligence Agency. They charged that "Americans are planning to unleash germ warfare on India."

Several Indian newspapers carried reports the previous day exposing WHO's "malaria research" as a front for a CIA germ warfare project. One Communist Party representative claimed that "already there are reports that children are suffering from attacks of a mys-

terious fever." According to unconfirmed reports, the left's sudden interest in CIA activities represents an attempt to snuggle up to populist "anti-imperialist" Jayaprakash Narayan, a CIA agent who is launching an organizing campaign in the industrial Bihar region.

When an IPS reporter contacted the WHO information office in New York for comment, the Information Officer replied self-assuredly: "Germ warfare? Never. We're funded by large foundations; the Rockefeller Foundation is our largest contributor." Then he stammered, "Of course, you know, there are no strings attached."