

CANADA HALTS ALL GRAIN SHIPMENTS, OPENS WAY TO FOOD CONTROL

Oct. 19 (IPS)--The Canadian Wheat Board has announced it will make no further export commitments on grain until the Canadian crop data are assessed. The grain embargo actually has been in effect for two months, but it never was formally announced.

As in the United States, the psychological conditions are being created to justify food control both domestically and for exports. Editorials in Canadian newspapers abound on the problems of exporting food to Third World countries because they fail to properly distribute the food to their starving populations. In the future, grain will be rationed only to those deserving countries whose populations are slaving in Rockefeller "development" projects.

Meanwhile, grain prices are falling on the Winnipeg exchange indicating that the "shortage" this year has been greatly exaggerated.

CHANCELLOR SCHMIDT DEFENDS HIMSELF AT DARMSTADT

Oct. 20 (IPS)--Yesterday in Darmstadt, West Germany Helmut Schmidt, Chancellor of the West German Republic and leader of the Social Democratic Party (SPD) was forced to announce before 3,000 SPD members that his only leftwing opposition for hegemony over the German working class is the European Labor Committees (ELC).

Pointing to a leaflet issued by the ELC, Schmidt strenuously denied that he was out to wreck detente with the Soviet Union, an accusation contained in the leaflet. He then launched a long-winded speech about the "incompatibility resolution" recently proposed by the SPD, ending with, "You can't have two wives--you belong either to the ELC or the SPD."

The SPD issued an Executive Order Oct. 7, initiated by Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission, which declared that united front collaboration with the Labor Committees was "incompatible with membership in the SPD." The European Labor Committees immediately counterattacked with the leaflet cited by Schmidt, which called for an SPD resolution making membership in Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission "incompatible" with membership in the SPD. (Prominent SPD trade union leaders Heinz-Oskar Vetter, head of the German Trade Union Federation, and Eugen Loderer, head of the Metal Workers Union are members of the Trilateral Commission.) The Darmstadt speech demonstrates that Schmidt is so panicked over these accusations that he is desperately scrambling to defend himself before his working class members.

The widespread circulation of the ELC leaflet has provoked hysteria among other top level SPDers. One by one, they have exploded with admissions of the existence of a Rockefeller conspiracy and of their collaboration with or tolerance of Rockefeller's plans. The Federal Labor Minister Walter Arendt, a trade union bureaucrat set up by the CIA in post-war Germany, stated to IPS that that Heinz-Oskar Vetter and Eugen Loderer had a "democratic" right to work with the Trilateral Commission--and thus the right to set up European-wide fascist regimes.

Before the Darmstadt speech, an ELC picket line in front of SPD headquarters in Dusseldorf got front-page coverage in a local newspaper. A poll taken in a working class district afterwards revealed that 60 to 70 per cent of all SPDers there agreed that Schmidt's SPD "sewer" faction and its Trilateral Commission overseers were incompatible with membership in the SPD.

In Frankfurt, Schmidt stood up to address 5,000 SPDers only to find them all intently reading the now-famous ELC leaflet, which also calls for the removal of Schmidt and his collaborators from the party.

BRITISH PRESS: "CORPORATISM IS FASCISM WITH A HUMAN FACE"

Oct. 21 (IPS)--Patrick Huther, Financial Editor of the London Sunday Telegraph, this week publicly asked what the Labor Committees have known all along: "Just how near are we to fascism?"

The original question was raised by two professors--R. E. Pahl from the University of Kent and J. T. Winkler from the Industrial Sociology Unit at Imperial College--in this past week's New Society (a Tavistock-style social science journal in Britain). These two argue, and Huther admits it is plausible, that no matter who wins the election, Britain is headed for a corporatist state, with four goals: "Order, unity, nationalism, and success."

The two sociologists write: "Let us not mince words. Corporatism is fascism with a human face. What all the major parties have done is to make over the core elements of the economic strategy which the Italian fascists, Salazar in Portugal, the Falange in Spain, and the Nazis adopted to deal with the inter-war crisis."

Huther disputes the two professors over the question of whether British capitalists really want state control to help them over their financial problems, but he admits that with a lack of solid Tory leadership, British industry has little choice. Huther continues: "One of the many reasons some of us were desperately unhappy about Mr. Heath's long hours of communing with the CBI and TUC [trade unions] was that we saw clearly that, all unwittingly, he was operating upon a corporate model. To us the