

At yesterday's press conference, PCI members first attempted half-heartedly to keep the ELC from distributing leaflets exposing agent Amendola's dirty tricks and demanding his expulsion. After reading the leaflets, the PCI harassers gave up their obstruction and left the conference. Another PCI cadre told ELC organizers today in Milan: "I know Amendola, and I know his politics. Believe me, expulsion would not be enough!"

UN REPORT: HOW RUMORS MAKE NEWS

UNITED NATIONS, N.Y., Nov. 4 (IPS)--At a recent press briefing for UN correspondents, the press attache from the British Mission to the United Nations prefaced his daily briefing to newsmen by saying that his remarks that day were "not attributable."

When journalists pressed him to be more specific, he explained that his remarks were not to be attributed to him, to the mission, or even to "a British source." Instead, he requested that his "news" was to be reported simply as "British thinking" on the topic of the expulsion of South Africa from the UN.

The views which he wanted to percolate among the press corps and its readerships were to debunk any of the naive who still take UN proceedings at their face value. "A great deal happens privately which contrasts rather sharply with what is going on publicly," British thinking stated.

TOP CIA AGENTS LURE SOVIETS INTO BARBAROSSA TRAP

NEW YORK, N.Y., Nov. 4 (IPS)--C. L. Sulzberger, chief CIA conduit, has called for the dismembering of the Soviet bloc in Eastern Europe. The conclusion of Sulzberger's Nov. 3 New York Times column, entitled "Doing Unto Others As...", claims that Western Europe has been "Finlandized" by Moscow's detente policy, threatening the existence of NATO. Why, queries Sulzberger, can't "Washington do to the Soviet bloc internally what Moscow is already doing to the West?"

"Finlandization," referring to the neutrality of Russia's northern neighbor, is a version of the line developed by such Rockefeller agents as Senator Walter Mondale and Zbigniew Brzezinski in Foreign Affairs, the magazine of the Rockefeller Council on Foreign Relations and at the Trilateral Commission meeting in Bellagio, Italy last month.

The "Bellagio line" calls for disengagement of Western Europe from the United States, in favor of a single European political entity including the Eastern bloc--on Rockefeller's terms. It is a lure

enticing the Soviet Union to bargain away even their allies' territory in return for the illusion of concessions from Rockefeller's European henchmen. The deals won in Moscow last week by West German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt and his accomplices, seemingly weighted to the Soviets' advantage, are a case in point.

Sulzberger's column makes devastatingly clear the actual significance of the Bellagio pan-European policy. In the light of this article, the recent remarks of Austrian Premier Bruno Kreisky concerning Central Europe (Mittleuropa) were a bold affront to the political and territorial integrity of the Soviet bloc. "Mittleuropa" traditionally has meant the area covered by Austria, Germany, and Soviet-allied workers states to the east: Hungary, Czechoslovakia, Poland, etc. Kreisky further said: "Only a concerted action of Europe will result in a constructive contribution to the reduction of tension in this section of the world....Detente demands liberalization."

West German Defense Minister Leber, with whom Kreisky conferred at the time of his remarks Oct. 23, has since added that the Soviet Union is dangerously increasing the power of the Warsaw Pact (Easter Europe) countries and thus endangering West Germany.

Deadly Game

In this climate of intense psychological warfare, reports have been circulated that the terrified Soviets made a major concession at the Vienna, Austria talks on troop reductions in Central Europe. The Russians had been adamant that these talks must deal with absolute reduction of all NATO and Warsaw Pact forces in the area, not just American and Soviet, because NATO forces contain a high proportion of Bundeswehr troops. These would be unaffected by a preliminary bilateral agreement, while the Soviets would have to remove their own divisions from East Germany, Czechoslovakia, and Hungary.

Now, according to stories in the New York Times and the Financial Times (London), the Soviets may have backed down to the point of considering only U.S. and Soviet cuts. If this is true, it represents another big Soviet retreat in the face of the Rockefeller Operation Barbarossa now raging against them. As Moscow tolerates the class-collaborationist policies of the Western Communist Parties and the looting of Eastern Europe, they are giving up political ground--even geographical territory--to "buy time" against a major enemy offensive.