

CPUSA: ANGELA'S CIA LINKS "COULD DAMAGE THE MOVEMENT"

Dec. 6 (IPS)--Charlene Mitchell, a member of the Communist Party USA's Central Committee, today confirmed reports that the book that launched Angela Davis as an international cause celebre was funded by major CIA-controlled foundations. Mitchell, who was the head of the Committee to Free Angela Davis at the time that Davis' *If They Come in the Morning* was published by Third Press, initially responded skeptically when told that the \$125,000 used to publish and finance advance advertising on the book came from the Ford Foundation, the Field Foundation, the New World Foundation and Chase Manhattan Bank. The New World Foundation is headed by James Vorenburg, founder of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (the CIA's domestic arm) and a member of the CIA front, the Police Foundation.

Her skepticism turned to shock and hysteria when a phone call to a Mr. Ray at the Ford Foundation confirmed the allegations. Her comments at that point are repeated here verbatim:

"This garbage about the Ford Foundation and the CIA....I mean, of course the people know about the terrible things these foundations are doing, and they must be stopped. But if the people read about the funding of that book, they might come to the conclusion that Miss Davis is controlled by the CIA--and that would be very damaging to our movement at this time."

When asked how it was that Third Press, then just recently established, was chosen to publish the book, Mitchell indignantly replied, "It was a black publishing house, that's how." Mitchell should know that you can't always tell a CIA operation by its cover.

JACKSON AIDE DENIES STATE DEPARTMENT RESPONSIBILITY FOR JACKSON'S IEA AMENDMENT

Dec. 6 (IPS)--Sen. Henry Jackson (D.-Wash.) formally proposed to the Senate yesterday an amendment to the Emergency Energy Allocation Act which would bind the United States to the International Energy Agency (IEA). Jackson's amendment grants the President the "stand-by authority" demanded by the IEA to impose drastic cutbacks in energy consumption through rationing and stockpiling. In effect, the proposal allows the IEA full powers over U.S. energy consumption and supplies.

Last week IPS learned from sources close to Jackson that "sensitive negotiations" were underway between State Department officials and members of the U.S. Senate, particularly with

Senator Jackson's office and his Interior Committee, in an attempt to prepare what Senate and State Department sources termed a "clean legislative package" on energy. This "clean legislative package," the sources said, was meant to provide the necessary "legal" cover for U.S. participation in the IEA, without ever placing the IEA agreement formally before the Congress for its approval or disapproval.

"We need this package now," a Senate source close to Jackson told IPS, "and treaties take six months or more to ratify."

Today Jackson's top Interior Committee aide on energy, Jim Barnes, completely denied the statements he had made previously that negotiations had gone on with the State Department. "There have been no negotiations on this," he said today in an interview, and he refused to identify the authors of the proposed legislation. Asked what prompted Senator Jackson to propose this amendment, Mr. Barnes referred to "widespread sentiment throughout the country" for immediate "action to cut energy consumption." As for "substantiating evidence" to back up this wild assertion of public support for these Rockefeller austerity measures, Mr. Barnes offered the following: "Kissinger's Chicago speech Nov. 14; Secretary Simon's speech in New York on the 18th; Federal Reserve Chairman Burns' testimony before the Joint Economic Committee on the 27th; Simon's testimony there; and Sawhill's testimony before the Senate Interior Committee.

"There is a broad base of support for this legislation," Barnes reiterated.

UN REPORT

U.S. ATTACK ON CHANGED UN IS AIMED AT SOVIETS

UNITED NATIONS, N.Y., Dec. 6 (IPS)--The head of the U.S. delegation to the United Nations John Scali delivered a sharply worded speech to the General Assembly today geared to polarize the growing rift between the Third World, Arab and East bloc countries and the old-line U.S. majority.

Scali warned the General Assembly that some of its recent resolutions adopted by an Assembly majority against the wishes of a minority were counterproductive since "the minority which is so often offended may in fact be a practical majority, in terms of its capacity to support the organization and implement its decisions."

In a threatening fashion, Scali noted that support for the United Nations among both Congress and the American people was "eroding" and that "some of the foremost champions of this organization are deeply distressed at the trend of recent events."