SPECIAL REPORT

The Threat of Thermonuclear Holocaust: How Rockefeller Got Control of the Button

April 28, 1975

International Caucus of Labor Committees

U.S. Labor Party
P.O. Box 1972, General Post Office, New York, New York 10001
Phone: (212) 279-5950
TWX: 710-581-5679

European Labor Party
ELC Wiesbaden 6200 Wiesbaden, Schiersteiner Strasser 6, West Germany
Phone: (6121) 377081
Telex. 841-4186838 ELC D

New Solidarity International Press Service

INTRODUCTION: THE ENDGAME SCENARIO

Over the past several months events have continually clarified and highlighted the decision of financial and political circles around Vice President Nelson Rockefeller and his family to press for an open confrontation with the Soviet Union, in which thermonuclear blackmail would win for the Rockefellers' vital interests what lesser political and economic maneuvering has failed to win: the submission of the international working class and, more specifically, the leading workers' state, the Soviet Union, to the Schachtian cannibalization of the world economy. The Rockefellers' thermonuclear brinkmanship policy, most clearly ennunciated in the form of the so-called Conceptual Breakthrough thesis advanced by U.S. Secretary of Defense James R. Schlesinger, unquestionably represents the most grave possible threat to continued human existence itself -- for such a confrontation cannot but end in thermonuclear holocaust.

A thorough analysis of the professed intentions of the Rockefeller clique, of long-standing Soviet strategic and military doctrine, and of recent developments within the Soviet Bloc makes clear that if the Rockefeller cabal is not halted, the international developments will rapidly converge on an "endgame" scenario of Mutually Assured Destruction (MAD). Rockefeller's final solution against the Soviet Union, carried to its conclusion, must terminate in the thermonuclear endloesung of the human race.

There must be no mistake. This brinkmanship policy, rooted in the experience of such previous confrontations as the Cuban Missile Crisis, is predicated on the assumption that precise calculation is possible of the degree to which the Soviet Union can be forced to abandon its vital interests under the psychological-warfare pressure of thermonuclear blackmail. Then, by a series of escalating provocations the Soviet Union could be brought to abandon those vital interests, even to the point of compromising its continued existence as a workers' state, under the threat of thermonuclear immolation. The fundamental problem arises from the fact that this assumption is absolutely not valid, as United States Labor Party (USLP) Presidential candidate Lyndon H. La Rouche (also known as Lyn Marcus) outlines in the ICLC Strategic Studies document in Fall 1974. What then is the specific form which this brinkmanship policy has taken in the current period?

POSSIBLE AREAS OF ROCKEFELLER PROVOCATION

Current Rockefeller policy is specifically designed to force the Soviet Union to compromise its vital interests, to place the Soviet Union in a position where it must fight or surrender -- under the presupposition that appropriate psychological pressure can be brought to bear by military means to induce such a surrender on an issue which is fundamentally linked to the continued existence of the Soviet Union as a

workers' state. There are at present two such areas in which a provocation by Rockefeller forces could produce such a situation.

First, Rockefeller sources have repeatedly indicated the targeting of Iraq for a provocation or destabilization operations. The endangering of the continued existence of Iraq as a pro-socialist, pro-Soviet nation in the Middle East would constitute a grave threat to the fundamental interests of the Soviet Union. Rockefeller-CIA press sources have made this threat clear:

* C.L. Sulzberger of the New York Times has launched a major defamation campaign against Iraq in the pages of that newspaper over the manufactured issue of Iraqi "persecution" of Kurdish insurgents; in point of fact the now ended Kurdish insurgency was from its outset a CIA black operation run with the assistance of Israeli intelligence and Rockefeller's petroleum satrap, the Shah of Iran.

* CIA sources in both the U.S. and Western Europe have begun a campaign of villification against Iraq on the pretext of the supposed war-like intentions of the pro-socialist Iraqi leadership toward Israel, terming Iraqis "Hanoi Hawks" and the like. Again, the facts are otherwise. The Iraqis have been in the forefront of proposals fro massive capital-intensive development projects throught the Mideast and have made overtures to the Israelis for participation in such projects.

* Drew Middleton, military reporter for the New York Times claimed on April 8 in an article in that newspaper that an Iraqi attack on Kuwait is imminent; in an IPS interview Middleton admitted that his source for this lie was the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency!

Under the backdrop of general CIA destabilization measures in the Middle East, a provocative operation against Iraq is by no means unlikely.

Second, from the experience of CIA and West German Intelligence provocations in East Germany and Poland in 1953, in Hungary in 1956, and in Czechoslovakia in 1968, it is clear that direct or proxy U.S. intervention in Eastern Europe cannot be discounted. This constitutes as well a grave provocation to the Soviet Union. There exists an array of Rockefeller options in this area, but anti-Soviet activity on the part of the rightist Ceaucescu regime in Rumania, perhaps the withdrawal of Rumania from the Warsaw Pact with the open or tacit support of the United States, is the most likely of these.

SOVIET COUNTERPOSITION

In any case the Soviet response to such a provocation is the key determining factor. The fact that this brinkmanship policy involves cornering the Soviet Union to the point of nuclear confrontation makes Soviet acquiesence highly unlikely. As ICLC

analysts have established, with the agreement of competent experts in thermonuclear strategy, to preserve the territorial and strategic integrity of the Soviet Bloc against a grave Rockefeller provocation the most advantageous Soviet counter-postion would be a nuclear first strike against NATO missile, aircraft and communications centers in Western Europe and Turkey, followed by armor-led deep penetrations through the Fulda Gap and the North German plain and occupation of key areas of Western Europe, Turkey and Iran. Under this Soviet option, Warsaw Pact forces would reach the Rhine within a matter of hours and force NATO troops into defensive positions in the Low Countries and the Brenner-Trieste area, and probable eventual Dunkirk-style evacuation from the European continent.

The strategic necessity of a preemptive Soviet strike in response to Rockefeller provocations in Europe and the Mideast is understood by some NATO-Pentagon strategists. Confronted with the imminent possibility of a Soviet pre-emptive strike and the untenability of NATO positions in Western Europe, the Rockefeller cabal's only strategic option would be a counterforce ICBM first strike of the kind indicated by Secretary of Defense Schlesinger in a series of press briefings and speeches in the period January-May, 1974: a massive strike against the bulk of immovable Soviet ICBM installations in concert with scattered strikes against Soviet population and industrial centers.

Faced with such a U.S.-NATO "limited" strike the Soviet Union would have no alternative but to unleash its "doomsday machine" capability. As soon as a significant number of ICBMs appeared on Soviet Early Warning System radar screens, there can be no question that the Soviets would immediately launch their ICBMs against North American and Western European population and industrial centers. Thermonuclear holocaust would ensue: Mutually Assured Destruction.

MARCH MEETING TO PLAN ENDGAME

The decision to adopt a nuclear endgame policy against the Soviet Union, taken at a special meeting of the cabal in Washington, D.C. on Monday March 31, is striking testimony to the bankruptcy of Rockefeller and his intimates as bourgeois policy-makers.

The fatal flaw in Rockefeller's brinkmanship policy is the failure of the Rockefeller strategists to understand that the Soviet Union will go to any lengths to prevent the restoration of capitalism in the USSR. The Rockefellers have not learned the lesson of Stalingrad: When pushed up against the wall, the Soviet Union will fight like hell.

As an active policy option, brinkmanship has not been confined merely to the current period. However, it has emerged as the primary objective of Rockefeller cirlces following several months of effective frustration of their political and economic policy,

owing both to the internal logic of the current economic collapse and active resistance by increasingly large layers of the international working class.

From the fall of 1974 to the first months of this year significant fissures in Rockefeller's political and economic policy began to appear, threatening Rockefeller's world economic strategy, fashioned after the policies of Nazi Finance Minister Hjalmar Schacht. The controlled collapse of advanced-sector industry proceeded and now proceeds at a tremendous pace, outstripping the rates of collapse of the last Great Depression. However, Rockefeller's failure to crush the U.S. and European industrial working class, despite the fact of massive cooptation of trade union institutions by Rockefeller cabal operatives like I.W. Abel of the USWA, Leonard Woodcock of the UAW and Lane Kirkland of the AFL-CIO, all of whom sit on David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission -- has seriously hampered actual implementation of Schachtian austerity measures. Massive dollar-dumping on world currency markets, combined with escalating working-class organization and capitalist factionalization around programmatic alternatives to the collapse -- focussing on industrial and agricultural expansion through the proposed Euro-Ruble arrangement for expanded East-West trade -- further exacerbated the Rockefeller cabal's failure to implement its planned labor-intensive "development" projects.

The collapse of the dollar forced Rockefeller to move in 1974 to initiate a second October War in the Middle East, hoping to regain the overwhelming political and economic strategic superiority which the first October War and its oil embargo had brought him in 1973. The failure of Rockefeller to spark another war worsened his position still further.

THE "BEST AND THE BRIGHTEST"

When U.S. Secretary of State Henry Kissinger's lengthy psychological-warfare shuttle, so useful in the past, failed to achieve the necessary result of Mideast war, Kissinger was called back to Washington. On Monday, March 31, in the midst of a full-scale breakdown of cabal policy from Indochina to the Mideast to Europe, the "best and brightest" met in Washington at the behest of the Secretary of State and David Rockefeller. Among those participating were:

* Averill Harriman: Former ambassador to the USSR at the beginning of the Cold War; U.S. representative in Europe during the Rockefeller occupation and financial expropriation of Europe under the auspices of the Marshall Plan; currently ambassador-at-large and senior partner in the closely-Rockefeller-associated Brown Brothers, Harriman investment firm.

* Dean Rusk: Former president of the Rockefeller Foundation; Secretary of State during the Vietnam War; and a prime mover in the CIA's takeover of U.S. foreign policy.

*George Ball: Former director of the U.S. Strategic Bombing Survey, the study from which emerged the basis of the nuclear brinksmanship policy and the Conceptual Breakthrough's historical antecedents; Undersecretary of State during the Cuban missile crisis; self-admitted author of the further development of the brinksmanship doctrine which this crisis engendered; a member of the Trilateral Commission.

*Cyrus Vance: Director of such Rockefeller-controlled corporations as Pan Am, IBM, and Aetna Life and Casualty; former general counsel of the Department of Defense; former Secretary of the Army; a member of the Trilateral Commission.

*Robert MacNamera: Current president of the World Bank and executioner of Rockefeller's triage extermination policy in the underdeveloped sector; former Secretary of Defense; instrumental in fostering CIA control of the U.S. military establishment.

*David Bruce: Chief of Secret Intelligence, Office of Strategic Services, Great Britain; former ambassador to West Germany, where he was a major proponent of the nuclearization of the Bundeswehr; U.S. representative to the Paris Peace Talks; implementer of the anti-Soviet Rockefeller China policy.

*Peter G. Peterson: Former Secretary of Commerce; director of the First National Bank of Chicago; trustee of the Rockefeller-founded and funded University of Chicago; member of the Trilateral Commission.

*William Scranton: Former Governor of Pennsylvania; member of the Trilateral Commission.

*McGeorge Bundy: Planned the Bay of Pigs operation; Presidential Assistant for National Security Affairs during Vietnam War; architect of Vietnam escalation policy.

*Clark Clifford: Key liaison to CIA (CIA Oversight Committee member -- Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board, 40 Committee); offered post of first CIA director by Truman; former Secretary of Defense.

Intelligence sources close to the participants revealed that the consensus which had emerged was pursuit of crisis situations to provide avenues for a quick nuclear showdown with the Soviet Union as the primary objective. The Middle East was thoroughly discussed in this regard, with the conclusion that, while the Kissinger approach was to be dropped, "war in the region is inevitable." Other options for triggering the endgame scenario were left open, primarily involving intervention into the East Bloc in Berlin Crisis-style quid pro quos traded off between Eastern and Western Europe.

Thus the men who had given the United States the Berlin

Crisis, the Cuban Missile Crisis, and the Vietnam War were dispatched to organize Rockefeller's Endgame.

By Saturday, April 5, the International Caucus of Labor Committees intelligence analysis had been fully corroborated. The New York Times, Washington Post, and Baltimore Sun -- three leading cabal-controlled newspapers -- had been forced to respond to ICLC exposure of the brinksmanship meeting by publishing their own expurgated versions of the event. Cabal members began a series of public and private appearances to sell nuclear controntation as U.S. policy. Eugene Rostow told reporters and an audience in Los Angeles that he was organizing for the U.S. to "raise the stakes" to the nuclear brink "if necessary" to "force the Russians to back down." Reminded by an IPS interviewer that the Soviet leadership had already signalled its resolve to unleash a "doomsday machine"-response if the existence of the Soviet Union were threatened, Rostow abruptly ended the interview muttering, "What do you mean? Yes, they will...they will... they always back down."

Thus Rockefeller and his supra-governmental clique stand with fingers at the button. Through a series of manuvers over the last two decades this core-group of financiers and policy-makers has abrogated Constitutional authority in the U.S., established its control over the press, placed its operatives in the highest offices of the U.S. government, and sought domination of U.S. foreign and military policy.

The rest of this brief will outline at length exactly how Rockefeller has come to gain operational control of the mechanism for thermonuclear holocaust, detailing the early and veiled warning of President Eisenhower, the assassination of John F. Kennedy, the Watergating of the traditional circles in the U.S. military establishment.

It is our absolutely firm conclusion that the continued existence of mankind depends on the immediate removal of U.S. and NATO thermonuclear policy from the control of Nelson Rockefeller and his clique

***

ROCKY'S ROAD TO THE BUTTON: THE OSS AND THE CIA

Rockefeller's ascent to thermonuclear policy control was made possible primarily by his control of the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency and its parent organization, the Office of Strategic Services. (This control has been thoroughly documented in P. Cuskie's "The Shaping of the Anglo-American SS by War," appearing in the May 1974 Campaigner, the theoretical journal of the National Caucus of Labor Committees.) This control is further augmented by the network of various foundation and think-tank groups which intersect in crucial ways the policy-planning function exercised by CIA operatives in other governmental agencies and in the CIA itself.

The creation of military units directly subordinated to the Rockefeller cabal began with Winston Churchill's creation of the Combined Operations supra-military command, headed by Lord Louis Mountbatten. From that point on air, naval, and land special warfare units not only grew at the expense of the traditional military, but combined special operations were conducted under the jurisdiction of a leadership separate from the traditional military command.

Mountbatten's instrumentality in penetrating the American military establishment began with his appointment to head the Southeast Asian Command in 1943. William J. Donovan head of the OSS, set up the first major special warfare unit in Burma in 1942, OSS Detachment 101. This unit included both OSS officers handpicked by the cabal, among them Captain William R. Peers, who more recently protected the CIA's scapegoating of the military in his "investigation" of My Lai. Cabal General George Marshall, a close collaborator of Rockefeller lawyer and War Secretary Henry Stimson, introduced larger elements of the special operations type into the Burma command, where the cabal formulated the prototype of the CIA's Operation Phoenix in Vietnam by developing counter-insurgency infrastructures (like the CIA's "strategic hamlets" in Vietnam) to accomplish the indiscriminate slaughter of the native population. General Douglas Mac Arthur and the vast majority of the traditional military establishment bitterly resisted the introduction of the OSS into the Pacific Theater.

With the conclusion of the Second World War a conflict arose between Nelson Rockefeller and Dean Acheson on the one hand, and Truman and the conservative military establishment on the other, over the issue of whether the special operations type unit should continue to exist. Mountbatten's combined special operations units were replaced by the new CIA, created at Nelson Rockefeller's insistence by the National Security Act of 1947.

The cabal extended this victory against the traditional military establishment as a result of the CIA-provoked war in Korea. The forced retirement of Mac Arthur and the appointment of OSS operative and Rockefeller lawyer Allen Dulles to head the CIA in 1953 began in earnest the co-optation of the military establishment,

opening new vistas for CIA special operations and covert Rockefeller foreign policy-making apart from the Constitutional decision-making processes of the U.S. government. Particularly important to this co-optation was the CIA penetration of the Military Assistance Command and the establishment of Air Force ARC wings, the Navy SEALS, and the Army Special Forces. Increasingly, military command was used as a CIA cover. For example, regular army units were not introduced into Vietnam until 1964, and then were directed by CIA operatives under military cover, such as "General" Ed Lansdale.

Special governmental posts were created for CIA operatives at the highest levels of government: e.g., the post of Special Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, held in succession by Nelson Rockefeller, Maxwell Taylor, McGeorge Bundy, and Henry Kissinger.

As this process accelerated, President Eisenhower, realizing in a vague way the extent of the policy-making power of this shadow government, belatedly warned of the dangers of the growing "military-industrial-complex." In point of fact, the danger was neither "industry" per se, nor the traditional military, but the web of interconnected think-tank/CIA/Rockefeller operatives -- a web which was enormously extended by key Rockefeller operatives during the Kennedy Administration.

ROCKY'S ROAD TO THE BUTTON: McNAMERA AND THE THINK-TANKS

While the thermonuclear endgame scenario has always been at the core of the Rockefeller cabal's strategic thinking, the introduction of this concept to broader layers was itself an obfuscation of the fundamental issue. The mid-1950s debate over Secretary of State John Foster Dulles' first-strike "massive retaliation" policy and the alternative "flexible response" doctrine associated with Henry Kissinger and Robert McNamera fixed the parameters of discussion in such a way that no real choice was possible: on the one hand, one could have the direct confrontation which would lead to thermonuclear holocaust; or, one could have restructuring of conventional forces which would transform the military into a counterinsurgency operation paralleling Mountbatten's groups and the CIA. There was no real difference between "massive retaliation" and "flexible response" -- both cabal-generated policies.

CIA think-tanks like the RAND Corporation and Herman Kahn's Hudson Institute proliferated. Maxwell Taylor, using the cover of a mild rift over "strategic bombing" doctrine, in his book The Uncertain Trumpet drew great attention to the think-tank "flexible response" proposal and sought to overcome traditional military resistance.

In fact, "massive retaliation" and "flexible response" are merely different aspects of the Rockefeller endgame scenario, precursors of Schlesinger's Conceptual Breakthrough. Thus, if the

Soviet Union does not yield continually to mounting political, economic, and psychological pressure, characteristic of counter-insurgency "flexible response," then "massive retaliation" is the alternative.

Thus introduction of the think-tank operatives and their new "military doctrines" initiated the process of divorcing the traditional military from strategic policy-making, accomplished through immersing the military in bewildering, mindless computer-printout analysis of "policy" while placing real policy decision-making in the hands of the cabal's civilian appointments to the Department of Defense and of the think-tanks, employed on a contractual basis.

The appointment of Robert McNamara, president of the Ford Motor Company, as Secretary of Defense catalyzed the replacement of the military in descision-making by the cabal's so-called "whiz kids," creating what Admiral Hyman Rickover characterized before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee as a "civilian general staff." New agencies were created under these Eastern Establishment Rockefeller types: the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA), the Defense Supply Agency (DSA), the Defense Communications Agency (DCA), the Office of the Director of Defense Research and Engineering (ODDRE), and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Analysis. Among the Rockefeller men introduced into the Defense Department were Alain Enthoven, Cyrus Vance, Paul Nitze, Roswell Gilpatrick, Stanley Resor, Morton Halperin, and Adam Yarmolinsky.

By immersing the military in mindless systems analysis McNamara set the broader force levels and structure, mission requirements, etc., around which the military establishment began to cooperate. A conventional military force structure that could provide an alternative to Rockefeller nuclear confrontation policy was subjugated to the doctrine of "flexible response." Forced to fight with the civilian cabal on the issue of systems analysis rather than openly on fundamental policy, the traditional military establishment was at a considerable disadvantage. This conflict opened the way for cabal military men, such as Elmo Zumwalt, to gain acceptance under the guise of battling the "whiz kids."

ROCKY'S ROAD TO THE BUTTON: THE BAY OF PIGS AND THE CUBAN MISSILE CRISIS

The divorce of the military from policy-making facilitated the cabal's first trial run of what was to become the Conceptual Breakthrough. Maxwell Taylor, "laundered" by his brief stint as a civilian, assumed the key post of Presidential Assistant for National Security Affairs, direct liaison to the National Security Council and the CIA, who would become a "filter" for knowledge of CIA operations to the President. McNamara completed the job, effectively isolating the Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) from CIA operations, by eliminating the office of the Assistant to the Secre-

tary for Special Operations. As the cabal intiated the Bay of Pigs operations for which they had been training Cuban expatriates for several years, the Joint Chiefs of Staff and to a lesser degree the President were isolated, as is well known, from knowledge of the operation until the last minute.

The panel headed by Maxwell Taylor and Allen Dulles which pinned blame for the Bay of Pigs fiasco on the Joint Chiefs of Staff facilitated McNamara's further reorganization of the Department of Defense. The day after the aborted invasion McNamara totally insulated the Joint Chiefs of Staff by surrounding them with CIA personnel in a huge reshuffling of operatives. The Special Assistant for Counterinsurgency and Special Activities (SACSA) was attached to the JCS, replacing the Assistant to the Secretary for Special Operations which had been attached to the Secretary's office. CIA personnel, such as newly promoted "General" Ed Lansdale (the protege of Allen Dulles), were given complete control of SACSA, which became the separate command control point for CIA Special Forces and military counterinsurgency in general. When Taylor became Chairman of the JCS, this insulation was no longer necessary.

The long process of preparation came to fruition in the Cuban Missile Crisis, where brinksmanship was the byword. Through Maxwell Taylor, George Ball and Senator William Fulbright, "surgical" nuclear strikes against Cuba were called for by the cabal, as a critical test of the Soviet's willingness to accept "tactical" nuclear strikes as an alternative to Mutually Assured Destruction. However, as the Soviets repeatedly stressed, their removal of their missiles constituted a sane aversion of nuclear war over a minimal issue and in no way involved the vital interests of the Soviet Union. Thus, despite the wishful thinking of the cabal, the Cuban Missile Crisis actually does not stand as a paradigm of the application of the Conceptual Breakthrough in the present period.

THE KENNEDY ASSASSINATION

President John F. Kennedy resisted the nuclear frenzy of the cabal members around him, despite his belief in counterinsurgency and his firm anti-Soviet posture. After the inadequate and deceptive briefings he received around the Bay of Pigs fiasco, Kennedy was little inclined to risk thermonuclear war; in fact, he threatened to draw the traditional military establishment into policy decisions in a National Security memo which remains classified to this day. In 1963 Kennedy halted another invasion plan and resisted the Vietnam buildup. Kennedy's firing of CIA director Allen Dulles and his top assistant Dick Bissel was further evidence of Kennedy's growing awareness of the secret government. Vicious in-fighting occurred in this period over precisely the issue of who would control the nuclear button. Two quotes indicate the character of this struggle:

*John F. Kennedy, June 10, 1963: "...And above all, while

defending our own vital interests nuclear powers must avert those confrontations which bring an adversary to a choice of either a humiliating retreat or a nuclear war. To adopt that kind of course in the Nuclear Age would be evidence only of the bankruptcy of our policy --or of a collective death wish for the world."

*Robert Kennedy: "...My brother refused to be a Tojo."

The evidence is overwhelming that President Kennedy paid for this intransigence with his life.

The traditional military was not merely scapegoated for the CIA operational fiascos, but the nuclear brinksmanship policy pushed by Taylor, Bundy and other cabal members was ascribed to conservative layers. A vicious psychological-warfare operation against Barry Goldwater's election campaign in 1964 was waged in which he was pictured as a thermonuclear-warmongering madman; thus the man closest in U.S. politics to the traditional military establishment was dealt with.

Two weeks after Kennedy's assassination Taylor and McNamara went on a so-called "fact-finding" tour of Vietnam, recommending a massive increase of the United States' presence. The Vietnam war was run fundamentally by the cabal: McNamara, Taylor, McGeorge Bundy, Walt Rostow, Dean Rusk, John McCone (former head of the CIA and the CIA linked Bechtel Corp.), Elliot Richardson, Ellsworth Bunker, Henry Cabot Lodge; and the CIA operatives under military cover: Lansdale, DePuy and George Brown. These same individuals were instrumental in attempting to Watergate the traditional military establishment over the perpetration of atrocities which had, in fact, been the standard operating procedure of the CIA since at least 1954: the My Lai massacre and the Pentagon Papers.

THE WATERGATING OF THE MILITARY: MY LAI

Lieutenant Jesse Frank Frosch, stationed in the My Lai area at the time of the massacre, was the first to expose that the gestapo-like slaughter of civilians was carried out by Charlie Company-Task Force Barker, under explicit directives from the CIA agent in charge of the CIA's Operation Phoenix for the Quang Ngai Province. Task Force Barker had been provided with a "black list" of persons tabbed for systematic extermination in the My Lai hamlets, among them children of My Lai considered by CIA operatives to be Viet Cong "boy scouts and girl scouts."

While the CIA received praise for Operation Phoenix and the way in which they eliminated the Viet Cong infrastructure, the top-secret Peers Commission was established to get the "official military" record of the events at My Lai under Lt. General William R. Peers, a most trusted CIA military man who had led one of the first OSS units in the Pacific Theater during World War II and was closely associated with "Generals" Taylor, Krulak, DuPuy, Stilwell, and Lansdale. Rockefeller's own former special assistant,

Wall Street lawyer Robert MacCrate, was appointed to co-chair the Commission. Somehow information was "accidentally" leaked to Seymour Hersh, the New York Times top CIA cover-up man.

To maintain credibility Hersh was forced to print that Robert Ramsdell, the CIA man who had ordered the massacre, had led a special assassination squad just days before on the city of Quang Ngai in which 44 persons were killed, supposedly part of a "shadow government." Explicit denial of the existence of such a "shadow government" and charges of recrimination were levelled by numerous other Army officers at the hearings, among them Army intelligence officer Gerald Stout and Captain Randolf Lane. Despite the overwhelming evidence of CIA command and control, the Peers Commission proceeded to indict Major General Samuel Koster (Commander of the Army's Americal Division at the time of My Lai and later Superintendent at West Point), Brigadier General George Young, and 12 lower-ranking officers for the actions performed by "their" men. Lieutenant William Calley was tried and convicted.

This CIA inside-outside operation had its intended effect. The Army was publicly scapegoated for CIA atrocities, traditional military men were forced out of the service, and most importantly, the entire military command was immobilized while a further cabal consolidation took place in the Department of Defense around the new Secretary of Defense and former CIA director, James Schlesinger.

Having previously served as strategic studies director at RAND and Director of the CIA, Schlesinger --his own strategic thinking never much more than a think-tank computer printout --began a reorganization of the military on the scale of McNamara's 1960's operation. The military was further divorced from policy with the establishment of the Forces Command (FORSCOM) system, the push for a volunteer army, and the introduction into the U.S. of counterinsurgency techniques tested in Vietnam. A major psychological-warfare attack on the military was facilitated by the introduction of Race Relations "counseling," "therapy," and other behavior-modification brainwashing techniques developed by Rockefeller's Tavistock Institute. These psywar techniques were designed to break any traditional principled (no matter how misguided and obtuse) military value structures and instead produce psychotics and semi-psychotics who would reenter civilian life.

Chief among the executors of Schlesinger's psywar campaign was Admiral Elmo Zumwalt. Zumwalt, along with another cabal operative, Marine Corps Commandant Leonard Chapman (now heading Nacht und Nebel operations in pursuit of aliens with the Immigration and Naturalization Service), attempted to divide the military into hostile racial camps, disintegrating traditional military authority. In one of the more infamous Z-gram affairs, Zumwalt publicly dressed down 80 top Navy and Marine Corps officers for their refusal to implement his brainwashing order. They were all ordered to attend special seminars at the newly established Defense Race Relations Institute

THE WATERGATING OF THE MILITARY: THE PENTAGON PAPERS AND ROCKEFELLER'S REORGANIZATION OF THE COMMAND STAFF

The Pentagon Papers were a CIA operation that pinned the entire responsibility for the Vietnam War on the traditional military. The CIA was excused, having done everything they were chartered to do as per the original National Security Act. With all due machinations to provide the credibility of "former" CIA agent and RAND consultant Daniel Ellsberg, in a pseudo-conversion, Damascus Road, who "leaked" the Pentagon Papers to the Rockefeller-controlled New York Times in June 1971. The CIA's role in Vietnam was whitewashed.

The Pentagon Papers were commissioned to compile a CIA-version "historical" study of Vietnam policy-making from the 1950s. Morton Halperin, a "whiz kid" brought in from Harvard by McNamara to head the Department of Defense CIA State Department," the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for International Security Affairs, began writing the Papers in 1967 along with other Harvard colleagues known as the May Group. Recruited to the effort, which also psychologically profiled the personalities involvolved (see U.S. Labor Party Congressional Brief on the Murphy Commission), were Leslie Gelb, Senator Jacob Javits' foreign affairs aide; William Kaufman from Harvard; Richard Holbrooke, CIA agent; Ellsberg, an assistant to Henry Kissinger; and Melvin Gurtov, RAND Corporation. Henry Kissinger, then serving as Nelson Rockefeller's personal staff advisor on foreign policy, was commissioned as a consultant.

On the basis of the 1971 Pentagon Papers "leak," Henry Kissinger, who by then President Nixon's National Security Advisor, persuaded the President to form the plumbers unit which was used by the CIA to achieve Nixon's downfall. The Pentagon Papers were the key operation that set in motion the entire Watergate discrediting of the Office of the Presidency, and the immobilization of Congress and traditional military layers. Robert Cushman, Marine Corp Commandat presently involved in waging a chaos and confusion operation against traditional military layers in the Marine Corps, was then Deputy Director of the CIA, providing the plumbers with equipment for the breakin on the CIA's "disenchanted" Ellsberg. (A full brief detailing Watergate as a Rockefeller operation to destroy Constitutional government in the U.S. is available from the U.S. Labor Party.)

ROCKEFELLER'S REORGANIZATION OF THE COMMAND STAFF

Alexander Haig, now Supreme Commander, Allied Forces, NATO, Europe was targetted while at West Point for collaboration in the Rockefeller circles, and is an excellent example of the cabal-sponsored "military"man. Serving in non-combat roles, except for a brief period in Korea, Haig received a degree in "International Relations" and began working in the Defense Department in the late 1950s. As soon as McNamara assumed office as Secretary of Defense, Haig was immediately appointed a deputy assistant to the Office of

the Secretary. The only command experience to build his credibility as an officer was a mildly traumatic experience commanding a battalion in Vietnam.

Haig served under Joseph Califano, President Johnson's top advisor on domestic counterinsurgency affairs, and Cyrus Vance, both when he was Army Secretary and when he was promoted to the number two job in the Defense Department. In January 1969 Haig was chosen to become Henry Kissinger's chief assistant at the White House. Within three years, working for Kissinger in a civilian job, Haig rose from the rank of Colonel to "earn" two stars. In September 1972, on Kissinger's advice, President Nixon promoted Haig over 240 higher-rankin- officers to become vice-chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. The resistance to this promotion, which made a mockery of the traditional military command, threatened to trigger mass protest resignations. To preempt such a move and further demoralize tradtional military resistance, Nixon was instructed to "recommend" 25 two- and three-star generals for early retirement.

Again on K-ssinger's advice, President Nixon appointed Haig as White House Chief of Staff, replacing Bob Haldeman who resigned in May 1973. As the CIA Watergate operation proceeded (see U.S. Labor Party brief on Watergate), Haig and Kissinger were the inside team "counciling" and pressuring the President. Haig and Kissinger effectively seized the reins of Executive power during Nixon's last months in office.

A similar Haig-Kissinger operation Watergated Admiral Moorer, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Reports on the China negotiations, which Haig was conducting as leg-work for Kissinger and Nixon's trips to China, were deliberately kept from the Chairman of the JCS, who was constitutionally entitled to the reports. A Kissinger and cabal-manufactured "scandal" ensued when Yeoman Radford picked up the China reports from Kissinger's desk, forcing Moorer's early retirement.

The real importance of Haig, however, becomes clear when one examines the proposals of the Rockefeller-controlled Brookings Institution, which may be reported out of Senator Nunn's or Representative Nedzi's committee in the coming days.

Attempting to provoke the Soviet Union into a two-front war with both the U.S.-NATO and China, the Brookings proposal would redeploy two-thirds of the U.S. conventional force out of the Asian and Western Pacific Theaters and into Western Europe, coupled with a reorganization of existing NATO forces. This clearly builds psychological momentum for Rockefeller's endgame scenario. Reducing U.S. presence in Asia, while trying to draw China into direct collaboration with NATO (as per the invitation to China to attend the September 1974 NATO Brussels meeting), the objective of the proposal is to psychologically and militarily isolate the Soviet Union and provide the political basis for nuclear confrontation. Simul-

taneously, the massive conventional redeployment is intended to disorient and confuse those in the traditional military establishment who would oppose Rockefeller's brinksmanship. As Jeffrey Record of the Brookings Institution admitted, there is a "virtual state of war between us (Brookings) and the officer corps."

With Haig as Supreme Commander, Allied Forces, in Europe, there can be little question as to the intention of the redeployment.

It should be noted that, in flagrant violation of military rules, Haig used a military flight to transport, among other things, his dog and liquor supply to Europe. Since he arrived on the continent, he has on at least one occasion commissioned a military car and chauffer to drive his dog to a new location.

CASE-STUDY IN POST-WATERGATE POLICY: THE ACDA LEGISLATION

The sequel to the Pentagon Papers is being prepared to back up the ongoing drive by the Rockefeller forces, in coordination with their agents in the Congress, to place all questions relating to the U.S. military under full cabal control.

Through pending legislation, sponsored by brinksmanship advocate and Rockefeller agent Senator Hubert Humphrey and Representative Clement Zablocki (a member of Nelson Rockefeller and Robert Murphy's Commission on the Organization of Government for the Conduct of Foreign Policy --the "Murphy Commission"), all remaining control over program design, deployment, procurement, construction, etc., would be passed to cabal chieftains through a formal arrangement with the Director of the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency (ACDA). The Bill (in various forms: S.1170; H.R.1550; and H.R.4265) is being strongly pushed by Humphrey, Zablocki, and agent Rep. Michael Harrington (D-Mass.), all of whom are playing key roles in the Rockefeller assault against Congressional and traditional military authority. Humphrey and Zablocki are the key sponsors of another Bill designed by the Murphy Commission which would collapse the present foreign and armed forces policy committees into a single rubberstamp Rockefeller-controlled "Joint Committee on National Security."

The formal features of the ACDA legislation would, if passed, accomplish the following.

(1) Make the Director of the ACDA (at the moment Fred C. Ikle) a full member of the National Security Council. At present, Ikle is a "de facto" member of the NSC, joining NSC meetings whenever "arms control" is discussed.

(2) Extend the broad "advisory" powers and membership of the General Advisory Committee on Arms Control and Disarmament (GAC/ACD), which serves as the central coordinating body for the cabal on strategic arsm policy.

(3) Force all Department of Defense departments, sections and agencies to be accountable to the ACDA Director and the GAC by making those sections submit an "Impact Statement" to the Director on the "arms control impact" of all requested authorizations and appropriations for Research and Development, deployment, construction, arms, ammunition, military facilities, etc., if the specific request involves a one-year expenditure of over $50 million, or if the total program cost associated with the specific request exceeds $250 million. Within 30 days of submission of this initial Impact Statement, the ACDA Director would issue a "critical" evaluation report, in collaboration with members of the GAC, to the DOD, Congress, NSC, and the Office of Management and the Budget.

While this proposal is being sold in the halls of Congress as a means of ensuring cabal control over a "potential" arms race, the organizing drive conveniently serves as a cover for both renewed slanderous attacks on the traditional military layers ("those crazy Pentagon Generals," etc.) and the stepped-up drive by the Rockefeller forces for massive arms production and nuclear brinksmanship. While the public is fed the line that the Pentagon is to blame for proposing large military budgets, the actual control over the increased procurements, etc., is directly in the hands of the Rockefeller cabal.

The current Director of ACDA is Fred C. Ikle, the former director of the Social Sciences (psychological warfare) Division at the RAND Corporation. Dr. Ikle is a member of David Rockefeller's Council on Foreign Relations and the London-based Rockefeller think-tank, the International Institute for Strategic Studies. His major contributions to "arms control" (i.e., Rockefeller control of nuclear weapons) have been in the field of psychological warfare against Soviet negotiators, and, more importantly, in the development of the so-called Permissive Action Link (PAL). PAL, developed by Ikle and others at the behest of then Defense Secretary Robert McNamara, was designed not so much to avoid "accidental" nuclear missile launchings as to circumvent the officer corps and the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Among the current members of the GAC to whom Ikle answers are: William C. Foster, former ACDA Director; Dean Rusk; John A. McCone, former Director of the CIA; and four leading members of David Rockefeller's supranational Trilateral Commission: United Steelworkers president, I.W. Abel, AFL-CIO Secretary-Treasurer, Lane Kirkland, William Scranton, and Gerard C. Smith.