

Special Report:

Rockefeller Resumes Insane Drive Toward Thermonuclear War

Sept. 27 — After several months of calamitous collapse in their economic and foreign policy, the Rockefeller Family is now attempting to regain ground by again threatening the world with nuclear destruction.

U.S. representatives, including Defense Secretary James Schlesinger, deployed to Europe for this weekend's meeting of NATO's Atlantic Assembly in Copenhagen have made the threat crystal clear: Western European resistance to Rockefeller's continued drainage of liquidity from Europe must stop; techniques to save Europe's economy such as expanded trade with the Comecon and the Third World, must be abandoned — the only investment allowed will be for a massive increase in European arms production under U.S. direction. If anything gets in the way of maintaining the Dollar Empire, if the U.S. economic situation worsens, one West German source who attended the NATO conference told IPS, then there could be a general push toward war.

To underscore the fact that Rockefeller has shifted to a punitive policy, the U.S. State Department "unilaterally" delayed a purchase of American grain by the Polish Government, according to the Journal of Commerce Sept. 24. The cutoff was purposefully senseless; a Polish crop failure is now causing hardship in that country.

On Sept. 25, a high Soviet spokesman announced that the socialist bloc was not shaken by the shift, and called for renewed disarmament efforts before "a worldwide thermonuclear catastrophe" was unleashed.

Detente Gone Mad

To prepare the background for the threat, a couple of ancient Rockefeller policy spokesmen were brought out to manufacture a radical redefinition of "detente" earlier in the week. According to these spokesmen, Western relations with the socialist countries should now mean that working-class initiatives in the West or Soviet initiatives for peace or development anywhere in the world will mean severe and irrational reprisals from the U.S. In a major piece in the Wall Street Journal Sept. 26, long-time Rockefeller policy advisor Eugene Rostow decried that under Secretary of State Kissinger's "soft" version of detente

diplomacy, the Soviet Union had "simply double-crossed us" in South East Asia, Portugal and the Middle East. Rostow called for subscription to the more openly maniacal version of detente described by Mao tse-Tung, whom he quotes: "I am detente. I keep a million Soviet troops immobilized in Siberia. If Chinese policies were different, they would swarm over Europe." Kissinger should be replaced, claimed Rostow, because the "immense advantages" of China's anti-communism are "being frittered away."

Rostow's declaration came simultaneously with the release of a new book, "World Power Assessment: A Calculus of Strategic Drift," by Ray Cline, former chief of European operations for the CIA now running a think tank at Georgetown University. Cline echoes Rostow's redefinition backing it up with "mathematical" equations, and adding that the U.S., Western Europe and Japan must "retrench" to prepare for coming fights.

At the Copenhagen Atlantic Assembly meeting itself, U.S. agents made clear that the first target of this new diplomacy is the growing faction of anti-Atlanticist politicians and industrialists who have been a major obstacle to unhindered Rockefeller looting of Europe. Setting the tone at an early session of the conference, John Erickson, the head of the Defense Studies Department at the University of Edinburgh, followed the new line, denouncing detente as a cover for Soviet "united front tactics." The think tanker then warned bluntly: bilateral deals between West European industrialists and the East create "internal political alignments and dispositions favorable to the Soviet Union and its interests" — a clear reference to the growing factoin of Europeans now scrambling to Moscow for deals to save their industries.

Erickson was followed by Gen. Alexander Haig, a Kissinger confidant now NATO's Supreme Allied Commander, who stated that there would be no solutions to the depression outside of the confines of NATO's anti-communist strategy. A unified, belligerent NATO is now more necessary because of an economic crisis which "is made up of more than purely fiscal, cyclical doldrums, but rather has a broader, more pervasive base." Rockefeller

preparations of a possible new oil hoax (See article page 6) is another backup measure to force the "emergency unification" of Europe.

Schlesinger Demands War Machine

A heavily armed, unified Europe was the strident demand of Secretary Schlesinger on his trip through West Europe in preparation for the conference. Meeting with the top Atlanticist agents in Britain, France, Denmark and West Germany, Schlesinger ghoulishly described himself as an "international missionary for defense." Schlesinger summarized his evangelical work at Copenhagen, claiming that NATO had reached a "peril point" and that all member countries must immediately increase their defense spending and war production, making "sacrifices to protect the good life." What Schlesinger said specifically to member governments was leaked by angry pro-East-West trade Conservatives in Britain. Officially calling for NATO "standardization of weapons," Schlesinger demanded that Europe massively increase arms outlays — most of them for U.S.-made weapons — and effectively reduce their own highly-developed aerospace and technical industries to "sub-contractors" of a giant U.S. war machine. In most cases, these are just the European industries that are needed to supply exports for the Third World and the Comecon countries.

The same West German source told IPS that the demands of the Americans along these lines completely split the parliamentarians at the NATO conference, with those allied with the "big bankers" — the Rockefellers — openly pushing for war preparations.

In Britain, anger over being a Rockefeller satrapy exploded at Schlesinger's suggestion. After Conservatives leaked the Schlesinger program to the press, the nominally pro-NATO Daily Telegraph denounced the plan as "politically unacceptable." Speaking for British industrial interests, Geoffrey Pattie, head of the Conservative's Aviation Committee, claimed correctly that the plan would help destroy the capital-intensive British industry, sacrificing its "unique technological advances." The London Financial Times, usually a mouthpiece for pro-East-West trade elements, reported the leak without comment but placed the report conspicuously next to

an article on the sale of Rolls Royce aircraft engines — for peaceful, not military use — to the Soviet Union.

Soviets Respond

The Soviet Union had already answered the arms push threat as soon as it became clear that a shift was occurring. The Soviet government paper *Izvestiya* on Sept. 25 carried a major column by N. Polyanov on the Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction (MBFR) talks in Vienna, stating that "the arms race has its own negative logic...only military detente can reduce the risks of conflicts, and the risk of those conflicts turning into a worldwide thermonuclear catastrophe." The Polyanov warning culminates a renewed Soviet drive for serious disarmament negotiations which was kicked off last week at a United Nations speech by Soviet Foreign Minister Andrei Gromyko.

With the Soviet affirmation that their position on such Rockefeller provocations is unchanged, the immediate target of the Atlanticist threat, the pro-East-West trade factions of the rest of Europe have so far remained silent. This is for the most part due to the fact that there has been a wide press blackout on Schlesinger's actual mission on the Continent. That will necessarily break shortly. If the British response is indicative — and the British faction is, in many ways, the least organized anti-Atlanticist faction in Europe — there will be strong pressure for these bourgeois layers to join in a united front with the working class to drive Rockefeller's agents out of Europe before he gets any closer to the thermonuclear button.

LaRouche: We Have to Stop This War

BOSTON, Sept. 28 (IPS) — Speaking at a campaign meeting here today, U.S. Labor Party Presidential candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche laid out the Labor Party campaign to eliminate the National Security Council's (NSC) zombie army on methadone maintenance.

"We're going to nail this thing," LaRouche said. "Virtually every parent whose child has been turned into a methadone zombie, every woman who has been raped, every person who has been robbed, every family who has had a member killed by one of these zombies — who should they blame?" LaRouche asked. "Nelson Rockefeller!"

"Don't let anyone temporize about this," LaRouche warned the audience of 80 organizers, "There's an operation here to set up a police state by 1976."

LaRouche located the necessity to stop the NSC drug traffic in the ghettos and in the plants in the context of Rockefeller cabal's overt threats of nuclear war in the past few days. "The average worker," he said, "doesn't have the guts or the brains to conceive the reality of imminent nuclear war or biological holocaust. He may agree in principle with what we're saying, but he doesn't see the imminence of the danger or the scope."

"Drugs are different," LaRouche continued, "drugs are personal." The drug issue can "crystallize for these workers the efficacy of the Labor Party's Marxian world view, and show workers how they can change the situation by mediating their actions through a political organization."

LaRouche described how the Labor Party had built up political influence over the last decade and the method of analysis the Labor Party uses. "We have been successful," LaRouche said, "to the extent that our forces are targeted directly against the 'invisible government.'" To make this point crystal clear, LaRouche contrasted the Labor Party modus operandi and quality of political intelligence to the antics of the Office of Economic Opportunity poverty programs in the 1960s, through which many of the organizers present had lived.

The poverty groups would gather their forces en masse, LaRouche related and charge down to the office of a local official. "We want X," a group of homicidal mothers would demand. "You gotta give us X."

"We don't fight the machine that way," LaRouche said. "The only thing that really counts is saying to these local officials, 'Look buddy, we're prepared to replace you. But in the meantime there are a few things we'd like you to do for us.'"

LaRouche stressed that the Labor Party is building a political force which can expect to poll in the Midwest and industrial areas 20 to 30 per cent of the vote in any election.

To illustrate how workers see Labor Party organizing advances as their own, LaRouche described USLP Springfield mayoral candidate Steve Desmond's interchange at a press conference yesterday with Sen. Birch Bayh (D-Md). When Desmond challenged Bayh's support "as a liberal cover" for the police state bill S.1, Bayh floundered around in front of a room full of reporters. "Why I disassociate myself from that. I'm washing my hands of that," Bayh stuttered.

When the worker sees this on national TV or reads about it in the press, LaRouche said, he thinks to himself, "Hey, we've got a better leadership than their leadership. Did you see out man making a monkey out of their man?"

The Labor Party members and supporters at the conference — including 20 new organizers — ranged from hospital workers, industrial workers, students, and a delegation of Haitian workers.

In the question period, LaRouche ran down what the Labor Party had pieced together about the NSC drug network — the IBM-Thomas Watson internal security network in the plants, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the fascist Ustashi network, the modus operandi of the drug distribution in auto plants like Mahwah in New Jersey, the drug culture, and the role of marijuana. "Organized crime, the so-called Mafia," LaRouche said, "is nothing but an arm of the National Security Council."

"We Have To Do It."

Tying the NSC drug operation directly to the threats of "paper-clip general" Haig in NATO to start a nuclear war, LaRouche said, "We have to stop this war. This isn't our 'program.' We have to do it."

The Boston Herald Tribune and four local radio stations covered the press conference preceding LaRouche's speech.