***Special Report***

# The Scenario for Immediate World War III

**by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.**
**U.S. Labor Party Candidate for President**

Dec. 14. First, I must emphasize the fact that any highly-placed politician, military figure and so forth who disputes my exceptional authority on the present potential for war must be behaving very stupidly. On the record, since early 1974, I and the Labor Committees have consistently warned that the imminent collapse of the world debt structure's liquidity was impelling the Rockefeller Atlanticists toward both Schachtian global fascist austerity and early, general thermonuclear war. Now, in direct and explicit reaction to the collapse of Third World debt payments, the Rockefeller Atlanticists are conducting an operational deployment for World War III under the cover of the "Hilex 75" command structure. When our analysis — as summarized in my "Rockefeller's Fascism with a Democratic Face" document — is compared in detail with the way in which the present situation has developed, no one can competently deny that we have been consistently correct and our critics have been consistently wrong.

Now, I report that we have assembled and evaluated highly sensitive information from a wide assortment of varied authoritative sources around the world, and have maintained close contact with literally hundreds of parliamentarians and agencies. From this we find that the opinion of leading circles is preponderantly influenced by three basic misassumptions which are not only wrong, but essentially stupid...considering the fatal consequences of such credulousness, the stupidity must be considered even criminal. Therefore, I summarily identify these "authoritative" idiocies and then indicate the actual forms which early outbreak of WW III will take.

**Stupidity Number One:** Although the RAND Corporation and so forth freely admit that the Warsaw Pact has only one basic strategic warfighting policy, these agencies insist that "frightened" Soviet political leaders will override their military experts "at the last minute" and capitulate along lines suggested to RAND by the experience of the 1962 Cuban Missile Crises affair. The so-called "flexible response" approach to confrontation is subsumed under that insane judgment.

**Stupidity Number Two:** Among inner circles, Schlesinger and Company privately admit that their estimation of the Soviet political leadership might be mistaken. For this contingency, Schlesinger and Company propose a "credible thermonuclear warfighting" alternative, in which limited general war between the U.S. and the USSR in Western Europe becomes the initial form of general war.

This is a repetition of the well-known stupidity of the French military, which attempted to fight the Franco-Prussian War along the lines of a preceding war, which prepared to re-fight World War I in World War II. Schlesinger's conception of a war in Europe is transparently based on such pathetic "Maginot Line" species of thinking. He is fighting a nuclear version of World War II.

The only intelligent basic strategic approach to World War III by the Warsaw Pact is as follows. The Soviet action must begin with a general first strike against the basic military and logistical fighting capabilities of the opponent while attempting to limit the extent of radioactivity developed in Western Europe. This means that the initial thermonuclear deployment must be a full first strike against the U.S. and Canadian military and relevant logistical capabilities in the North American home base. This must be accompanied by strikes against selected high-priority elements of NATO warfighting capability in Western Europe, with special emphasis upon Great Britain.

The nuclear danger to Western Europe, especially to regions of the Federal Republic of Germany (BRD) east of the Rhine, arises chiefly from the U.S. "second strike" against Warsaw Pact armored deployments during the first 36 to 48 hours of the war, a "second strike" probably occuring by retargetting submarine and other naval force missiles to BRD targets.

In general, within five days of war approximately 180 to 200 million persons will die in the USA and Canada, with the high probability that it will be impossible to forestall automatic deployment of a second strike by the U.S. against the heartland of Western Europe. Naturally, for related reasons, from the onset of warfighting it will be impossible for either side to contain the degree of warfare among naval and related forces.

**Stupidity Number Three:** The various Schlesinger and related doctrines are all permeated with the infantile misconception of negotiations at various points. It appears to be overlooked that the explicit confrontation of a Cuban Missile Crisis-type will

probably be by-passed by either or both of the Warsaw Pact or Atlanticist forces. Furthermore, once warfighting begins, the institutionalized warfighting will go out of the control of the command structure which the insane Mr. Schlesinger and his supporters assume will be in place to conduct negotiated settlements at various critical phases. On this latter count Schlesinger et al. have learned none of the essential lessons of the preceding two world wars.

### The "Trip-Wire"

We are now verging very close to a "trip-wire" triggering of a total first strike by either side. At the point that warfighting appears to be irrevocably committed for the immediate future, professional military men are given powerful arguments to the effect that politics must then be absolutely subordinated to the purpose of obtaining every marginal military advantage available. The desire to launch a preemptive first strike without warning becomes acute and persuasive. On this account, the probability of general warfighting is now much earlier than either of the mooted doctrines would imply.

With my knowledge of the situation, I would consider it entirely reasonable that the Warsaw Pact forces might have already adopted the following posture. Such persons as I can well imagine to be influential would propose that the Soviet political and military deployments be run independently of one another, connected only by a political trip-wire. At the point that the Atlanticist forces had subdued all signs of significant resistance to the operational version of Hilex 75 now going into place, the Soviets would rightly assume that such submission to Rockefeller by BRD members of parliament and others precluded any stopping of the war from the NATO side, and war would then be treated as inevitable and imminent. If I agreed with such a Soviet policy and I were the relevant Soviet official, I would launch a general first strike, concentrating upon the U.S., Canada, and Great Britain, at approximately the moment the last BRD members of parliament and U.S. parliamentary public opposition to Rockefeller capitulated to support of the NATO operational deployment. If I were committed to such a policy, I would launch war preemptively at that point to the end of securing the maximum net advantage for full realization of first strike capabilities.

The same "trip-wire" parallel deployment of political and military activities must now be either in existence on the U.S. side or will come into existence during the immediate period ahead.

I emphasize that I absolutely disagree with such a policy for either the Warsaw Pact or NATO and the U.S. However, I must also emphasize that such a trip-wire paralleling of political and military approaches would be entirely rational, if scarcely optimal from the Warsaw Pact forces' side. They now know that the Rockefeller forces are absolutely insane, and that therefore if leading capitalist forces line up behind the Rockefeller allied Atlanticists, World War III in the immediate weeks ahead becomes inevitable. I would disagree with such approach, but I regard it as based on entirely rational assumptions.

The only way in which World War III can be stopped is to force through an immediate Third World debt moratorium — bankrupting the debt structures on which the Rockefeller economic and political power is based, while simultaneously eliminating the Rockefeller Atlanticist forces from control of every key office in the U.S. and Western Europe.

This can be done. I know that certain relevant industrial capitalist forces in the U.S., Western Europe and Japan would find my proposals an acceptable alternative approach to a "new world economic order", whereas demands associated with Warsaw Pact aversive postures would tend to politically force these same forces into the camp of the Atlanticists. Rockefeller also knows this — which is the reason for the massive threats and slander campaigns, including letter-writing campaigns to parliamentarians within the BRD, directed against those governments, officials, parliamentarians and newsmedia figures who have desired to discuss these matters with me. On the contrary, if these officials enter into informal discussions with me and my associates, that in itself will tend to de-activate the trip-wires on World War III.

Through an extraordinary process, I have been placed in a crucial position for assisting the prevention of World War III. I shall do my duty. Yet, my efforts and those of my associates are not sufficient. If others are intimidated into non-collaboration with us, there is no alternative left but for us to convene a giant memorial service for the human race, singing a requiem for a dumb planet.