

U.S. POLITICAL NEWSLETTER

The Primary Fraud

Through their top down control of major media outlets and their control of the various presidential "contenders," the Atlanticist cabal is using the presidential primary process to create the illusion for both domestic and European consumption that the American population is now shifting to the right. In the long term, the fraudulent primary system is also designed to discredit the entire electoral process. Mouthing the cabal line on this, Washington Post editorial board member Charles Sieb declared this week, "Americans don't give a damn who wins the presidential election."

Even before the final votes had been tallied in Massachusetts this week, the media was already "interpreting" the vote as a sign that America had turned to the right. The size of the vote for former Alabama Governor George Wallace was said to be a sign that America had rejected the "concept of big government" and the welfare freeloaders; the "victory" of Sen. Henry Jackson (D-Wash) was said to show that even the majority of Democrats were rejecting soft detente policies toward the Soviets and demanding a new hardline stance. The previous week's strong showing for Republican contender Ronald Reagan in New Hampshire primarily had already "shown" according to the press that Republicans favored a "get-tough-with-the-Soviets" attitude.

The entire primary process, however, is a fraud.

First, the presidential candidates themselves are puppets of the New York banks and have been fed issues such as "busing" and detente by their cabal-controlled advisors.

Jimmy Carter, the conservative peanut farmer who won last week's New Hampshire Democratic primary, is a longstanding member of the Rockefeller-controlled Trilateral Commission and recently proclaimed that his foreign policy advisor was Zbigniew Brzezinski, the Commission's director and an "authority" on the detente issue. Sources reported this week that the campaign of Rep. Moe Udall (D-Ariz.), whose second-place finish in Massachusetts has made him the so-called liberal standard bearer of the Democrats, is being run directly by the 84 year-old Democratic patrician Averell Harriman and his towel boy Morton Halperin of the Center for National Security Studies. Ronald Reagan, who has been engaging President Ford in a contest of cold war rhetoric, announced as he launched his most rabid attack against Ford's detente policies March 4 that his political briefings were coming directly from former Defense Secretary James Schlesinger the architect of Nelson Rockefeller's limited nuclear war confrontation policies. And so on.

In addition, as has been extensively documented by the U.S. Labor Party, the computerized voting process is itself carefully directed by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA), the domestic arm of the CIA. Under such conditions, the modus operandi for a fraud operation is simple. If the controlled press can create the illusion that a vote is "credible," any tally will be accepted.

While the returns have not been fully analyzed yet, there are already indications that the Massachusetts primary results were a product of vote fraud.

As if scripted, the Boston Herald American immediately billed the primary results as a "shift to the right" and announced that reversal of the state's "traditional liberal voting patterns" had occurred. The press had prior to the primary set the stage for this by reminding everybody over and over again that Massachusetts was the only state carried by Democrat George McGovern in the 1972 elections. Wallace was reported to have carried most working class districts in Boston and Jackson to have carried most working class districts in the rest of the state while finishing second to Wallace in Boston.

This was all "credible," the cabal controlled press reported, since Americans were voting "against detente." Similarly the Times revealed that a survey of 1000 voters "proved" that 70 per cent of the American electorate was now rejecting detente.

DID YOU VOTE FOR JACKSON?

Prior to the election, a poll conducted by CBS and the Times had predicted the order of finish of the candidates. Since three out of the top four Democratic finishers were conservative, the Times reported this proved that America indeed would turn right. Jackson's strongest campaign plank, blared the Times, was his opposition to detente. Syndicated columnists Evans and Novak produced a poll that showed similar results.

Even if the votes were accurately tabulated, the results would still be meaningless. Most voters stayed away from the primary clown show: the statewide turnout was a pitiful 32 per cent and in some working class districts as low as ten per cent (The cabal press found some reason to rejoice about the light turnout; as several papers reported that turnout was 4 per cent higher than the pathetic 1972 turnout of 28 per cent).

Interviews with voters, however cast a reasonable doubt on the accuracy of the tally. While some people admitted to being suckered into voting for Wallace, U.S. Labor Party organizers found that almost no one had voted for Jackson. Jackson, a walking zombie who has trouble speaking, had hired a whole team of "body psychologists" to turn him into an alive and kicking candidate. He apparently fooled nobody with his "energetic" appeal to so-called lunchpail issues. Workers pointed out that Jackson and the rest of the candidates studiously dodged real economic questions about how to solve the depression crisis. Angry that the press was playing up their supposed "rightwing shift," workers who were veterans of the blatant fraud against Labor Party mayoral candidate Gibbons in the primary elections last fall (Gibbons was robbed of a place in the runoff by the computers; polls had shown him receiving more than 30 per cent of the vote.) demanded to know who the hell had voted for Jackson anyway: "I didn't vote for him, did you?"

GET THE FRONTRUNNER

Meanwhile, the press and other candidates have been careful to not allow any one among the Democrats to get too

far out in front. When Carter won the New Hampshire primary, and looked like he would build up a head of steam, it was decided that he would be knocked down a peg in Massachusetts: he was placed fourth. As part of the little "in-fights" that are allowed within the controlled environment of the electoral process, Carter bristled, "Non-elected politicians of Washington fear that someone who is not their candidate might actually become the next president. They can hurt me by propping up someone like Church (Sen. Frank Church, D-Idaho)." Asked who "they" were, Carter named Averell Harriman.

Now the controllers are moving to undercut the new Democratic frontrunner, Jackson. A similar process takes place among the Republicans, with Ford and Reagan being alternately boosted in order to provoke more hysterical anti-detente outbursts from both.

The travelling road show now moves to Florida for next Tuesday's primary. Stirred by the hot Miami sun, Reagan has already launched a major assault against President

Ford's "conciliatory stance towards Soviet aggression." Meanwhile, Jackson has already told Florida voters that Carter is behind a "weak defense" policy, while Carter retorted to the attacks on his integrity with charges that Jackson is a liar.

In the end, the entire confusing process is intended to produce no presidential candidate, a brokered Democratic Convention and chaos at the Republican Convention. When the dust clears, as has already been mooted by several press sources, Sen. Hubert Humphrey, the Atlanticists' most trusted congressional stalwart will emerge as the Democratic candidate (Nelson Rockefeller, as previously reported, would wind up being the Republican standard bearer) or so the script goes. Anthony Lewis, wrote in the Times March 4 that the Massachusetts primary makes it hard to believe that anyone will emerge from the primaries with enough delegates to get the nomination and in the end the liberals and the moderates will cheer for Hubert at the July Democratic Convention.