

whole and in the interest of German full employment, that an English government has now succeeded in more or less continuing what its predecessor accomplished: that is, a 12 month social contract between the government and the trade unions, which is certain to make an essential contribution to dampening Britain's especially high inflation. That gives me a certain hope. That will not solve all England's problems. However, it contributes to an optimistic estimation of the overall state of things.

Let me also say something about the relationship to France. I think, in your opinion, ladies and gentlemen of the CDU-CSU, as in the opinion of the FDP and in the opinion of the Social Democrats, Franco-German cooperation must be as it was before, a constant, indispensable basis for work toward European unity. I assure you that this cooperation is intact. I beg of you not to stab your own government and the integrity of this cooperation in the back...

I might mention in this connection that over the past years France and Germany have successfully conducted a very parallel financial, monetary and economic policy with the result, moreover, that both here and in France it can already be seen that for 1976 we will be very close to one another in overall economic success. If I add the United States of America, you have the three countries which currently are giving the strongest upward direction to the general trend of the world economy through their own upward development.

I am convinced that it is necessary to be on the alert and not to allow the recession and stagnation still predominant in other parts of the world to lead to general European fatigue. You know — I am saying it here once more — that our immediate concepts now in effect for the immediate future are: 1) the direct election for the European Parliament within two years; 2) a broad and binding coordination of the economic policies of the member countries, in which all those who bring their economic efforts into line with those of their partners must be able to count on the Community's full support; 3) the realization of the guidelines set forth in the Tindemans Report for a European concept. In all these fields we will lose no opportunity to make constructive forward steps...

Above all, I want to repeat what I said a month ago here in the German Bundestag in a similar context, namely; the Federal Government represents the view that the Federal

Republic of Germany, that all of us, that our people must be ready — we are ready in every way — to make further financial sacrifices for the benefit of the Community or individual partner states, when the parallel efforts of the partner or of the partner state in fact provide that such sacrifice brings progress in European integration.

I emphatically do not confine this to the field of financing the EEC budget or the various funds existing there, but on the contrary I believe that other fields as well can and should be staked out in the future.

I repeat, on account of the roundabout ideas which Herr Carstens has introduced into the debate here, precisely what I said here on April 8. I warned everyone against aspiring toward a German leadership role in such an economic context or in other European contexts. This is the view of the entire Federal Government and my view as well.

At no time have we aspired to such a role; nor will we do so in the future ...

When, however, I now read in the German press or the French press that a declaration of May 5 by the French president on French defense policy expresses a deep cleavage between our two countries, that interpretation strikes me as not only malicious, but I will also say now how utterly misleading that is. President Giscard said — I will quote the translation, which I have here: "If France had a small army at this time, there would still exist only one large army in Europe, namely the German, which is based on conscription. In my opinion, it is important for the military balance on our continent that the French army have the same magnitude as the other armed power on our continent, namely the German."

Ladies and gentlemen, I may say to you that I have held this view and its formulation to be correct for a long time and that President Giscard d'Estaing and I have been united in agreement on this view and its formulation for two years. Nor is it the first time he has expressed himself in this way. It is only that in the present domestic atmosphere of both countries, suddenly a conflict of interests or even a split is made out of it.

Nevertheless, it can only lie in our common defense interests if the French state leadership has made for some time already additional efforts to expand France's conventional defense capability and in this way contribute to the conventional defense capability of all Europe.

We Are Looking Into Hell

PARIS, May 11 (IPS) — West German citizens watching their nation's parliament on their television sets today were given a direct look into hell as the West German Chancellor, Social Democrat Helmut Schmidt, tensely blurted out the fateful names, Hitler and Schacht, to identify the monetary policy he is reporting himself contemplating for the current situation.

Schmidt's awful words warn any informed person that the situation is now so far advanced that the New York Atlanticists viciously pressuring the West German government

are on the verge of actually implementing the Nazi policies of the 1933-36 period. This was directly confirmed by telephone calls to top Atlanticist banking and other spokesmen shortly after the issuance of Schmidt's remarks. Predominantly, everyone of these persons contacted in both West Germany and the U.S.A. was not only not shocked by Schmidt's outburst but calmly emphasized that he was in agreement with such policies.

In fact, that is the policy they are currently imposing upon Italy, the policy they are on the verge of imposing on West

Germany, France, and other countries — including the USA itself. This has been the policy of both the Rockefeller faction and certain groups affiliated with the Democratic party for some time. The difference between the past period and this spring is that now they are on the verge of actually attempting to put a global fascist system into effect. Now we are all looking directly into hell.

As Schmidt emphasized in his address, and as some Atlanticists contacted today also proposed, among the supporters of a Hitler-Schacht 1933-36 policies there are those wishful fools who imagine that they are merely proposing the 1933-36 policies, without the 1936-45 consequences. That is exactly what most of the same New York and London banking houses, the same West German industrial firms foolishly told themselves back in 1933 when they decided to put Schacht and Schacht's protege, Adolf Hitler, into power the first time.

It doesn't function! The establishment of fascist austerity

demands — as Social Democrat Helmut Schmidt himself emphasized in today's address — a Hitler shock. A mere Bruening regime doesn't work. However, once one accepts a fascist state, one has set into motion institutions and forces which can be stopped only by military obliteration. If 1933-36 Hitler-Schacht policies are adopted, then every horror of the Nazi regime — and much worse — will not only inevitably, but rapidly ensue, with nothing but Soviet military power to arrest it.

Fortunately, it will not be easy to impose this fascist regime. I know the U.S. working people. As they see that Nazi policies are again being proposed for Germany, they will begin to act to stop this horror with debt moratoria and other measures. France, already aroused by Franco-German diplomatic flak of recent weeks, will rise in rage against Schmidt's speech. As for the Soviet Union, Poland and other members of the Warsaw Pact, Schmidt's remarks will have incalculable political effect.

LaRouche Denounces Whorish Press Conspiracy

PARIS, May 13 — U.S. Presidential Candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. today cited the conspiratorial blackout of Chancellor Helmut Schmidt's televised "Hitler and Schacht" statement as "proof of the extent and degradation of the international press conspiracy against the truth."

LaRouche identified the following agencies as "knowingly complicit in this monstrous fraud:" Reuters, Associated Press, United Press International, Agence France Presse, The West German Press Agency, and major metropolitan newspapers in Paris, New York City, London, Washington, and elsewhere. "What a miserable lot of press prostitutes these cowardly editors and so-called journalists have exposed themselves to be," he stated. "Where is there a press service which is believable after this disgusting exhibition? New Solidarity International Press Service is the only press service in the world which had the independence, honesty

and courage to bring this important news out into the open. Forgive me for saying what is true, but compared to IPS the rest of the journalistic profession has behaved like a bunch of cowardly pigs on this issue."

LaRouche did not include eastern European news services in quite the same category as the major press services and newspapers of western Europe and North America. "There is a deadly difference," he emphasized. "The Soviets are sitting on Schmidt's outburst for entirely different reasons than the western press network. The reasons for this would properly make most people in western Europe and North America tremble. When top Soviet leaders proceed with seeking new military ranks and appearing in public in military uniforms, their silences on certain notable developments are far more significant than anything they might say."

NY Banker Says: 'Don't Worry About W. Germany, We Control It'

May 8 (IPS) — The U.S. has a deliberate policy of keeping West Germany in the U.S. dollar system by force or arms, an International Department official for a major New York bank told a caller this week. The interview was as follows:

IPS: It is said that if hyperinflationary pressures against the Deutschmark continue, the West Germans will dump the dollar and go for a gold bloc with France, as implied in a recent call by French Gaullist leader Michel Jobert. Could you comment?

Banker: Yes, you're absolutely right, but there is one nerve we can touch and we're touching it like crazy — security. The Germans will squirm and squawk until we drag them back, kicking and screaming, into the dollar system by the short hairs. Let's call a spade a spade. Even since (former Texas Governor and Treasury Secretary) John Connally came to Europe and began saying "Isn't it curious that West Ger-

many's economic position in Europe happens to correspond to American military deployments there," it has been made clear to them that the price of being a U.S. minion in West Europe militarily is a certain economic dependence. They know it, and we know it. It means they have only partial control over their economic and monetary system... Yes, they will have to go on rolling over these mark loans to the rest of Europe, their only alternative is a Communist revolution in Italy... Yes, I suppose that will leave them no choice at a certain point, but to tighten up domestically, which is politically very painful."

IPS: "What would the Germans think of a rightward shift in Italy, the NATO coup that's being talked about?"

Banker: "Publicly they would bemoan it, but privately they would support it. They'd be overjoyed. Why? Because they can't break with the U.S. dollar, now can they."