

NSIPS Exclusive

NSC War Council Plots Nuclear Terrorism

NEW YORK, June 13 (NSIPS)—“What this conference must work out is a set of lethal international sanctions against any government irresponsible enough to harbor terrorists ... If there are terrorists in Libya, we must go in after them, even if it means air drops. If Libya goes down the drain in this process, so be it ... I'm confident that the Third World can be coerced into agreeing to joint action against terrorism. The Third World will compromise if terrorists reach the point of using atomic bombs, as I'm sure they will ... I realize my words are dripping with blood.”

With these words, Jacob Javits, the Senior Senator from New York State opened his June 9 keynote address at a three day “Conference on International Terrorism” which met here under the auspices of the Ralph Bunche Institute at the UN. The National Security Council-run planning session gathered more than 60 second level operatives into the meeting at John D. Rockefeller III's Japan Society in mid-town Manhattan.

Following directly in line from the NSC's now-notorious Glassboro, New Jersey April terrorism conference which detonated Rockefeller's nuclear “Pearl Harbor” scenario, this week's New York City conference had the unmistakable character of a wartime military briefing session.

Beginning with Javits' blood-curdling keynote, marching orders direct from frenzied war maniacs Nelson Rockefeller and Henry Kissinger were handed down by the Republican Senator and his NSC cohorts throughout the three day meeting. Conference participants have now been deployed as part of active NSC plans for:

- * the immediate setting off of war in the Middle East to force a U.S.-Soviet nuclear confrontation in the area at all costs;

- * the full activation of NSC Arab and Israeli countergang networks, with the possible detonation of a nuclear terrorist attack against a Mideastern target;

- * the unleashing of CIA “ethnic” terrorist gangs against pro-development Arab nations, and directly against member states of the Soviet bloc;

- * direct military intervention into the Third World under cover of anti-terrorist protective action.

The Hard Core

Transmitting these orders to the assembled lower level operatives was a group of high-level National Security Council terrorist planners, many of who have been present at each of the scenario-launching terrorism conferences since Glassboro. These top agents included Yonah Alexander of the State University of New York at Oneonta and Robert Freedman of Baltimore Hebrew College, who jointly issued the order to activate nuclear terrorism in the Mideast; Jordan Paust, a CIA-linked University of Houston Law School professor who has been involved in profiling the psychological impact of terrorist assassinations on government leaders; former U.S. Attorney General Ramsey Clark, the designer of the CIA's domestic arm, the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration; Institute for Policy Studies operative Irving Horowitz of Rutgers University; Uri Ra'annan of the Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy,

creator of CIA “ethnic” terrorist grouplets and a proponent of upgrading terrorists' weapons technology; and self admitted CIA agent Edward Mickolus, who on the final day of the conference unveiled the NSC's computer system for planning terrorist attacks.

Mideast Nuclear Terrorism

The NSC's marching orders were forcefully reiterated by their operatives throughout the conference proceedings, notably in the June 10 afternoon panel run by Yonah Alexander and Robert Freedman. Joined by Shin Beth (Israeli Intelligence) agent and New York Post reporter Michael Berlin, Alexander and Freedman ran amok with accusations of terrorism against the Soviet Union and its Mideast allies.

“The Soviet secret police is the largest terrorist organization in the world,” Freedman ranted, going on to accuse the USSR of supporting Palestine Liberation Organization “terrorism” and backing both sides in the Lebanese civil war as part of a plot to eradicate Western influence in the Middle East. “The Soviet calls for peace cannot be trusted,” Freedman raved. “They seek domination over the Middle East and they will overrun Israel at the first opportunity.” To bolster Freedman's report, George Gruen of the American Jewish Committee read the results of a “public opinion poll” purporting to show that 75 per cent of all Americans consider the PLO to be terrorists.

Yet another member of the Alexander-Freedman panel charged that “the Arabs, through their terrorist actions, are turning the Mideast into another Vietnam,” precisely the conditions Kissinger's personal envoy L. Dean Brown has attempted to spark into full-scale war. Freedman ominously concluded: “It cannot be ruled out that the Soviets may soon become the target of the very terrorism which they have encouraged.”

Under the rubric of a talk on “Psychological Warfare Propaganda in the Mideast” panelist Alexander then described NSC plans to provoke an Israeli nuclear strike against an Arab target by manipulating the nation's “Masada” complex. He was followed by Uri Ra'annan, who labeled the Lebanese leftists, currently fighting a Kissinger-ordered Syrian invasion of their country, as “common terrorists.”

Extending the scope of the NSC war plans beyond the Mideast proper, panelist, Eugene Methvin of the right-wing American Security Council's Readers Digest maniacally called for the “blockading and invasion” of Cuba. “There's still a Monroe Doctrine, you know,” the bug-eyed Methvin bellowed, pounding the podium with his fists. “If we're not strong enough to defend the Caribbean against the Russians, then we're dead and we don't know it. What will the Russians do? They'll just yell like we did when they invaded Czechoslovakia.” An inebriated Methvin later confided he was “sure” Castro was responsible for the assassination of John Kennedy.

Despite the aggressive tone of the conference, it was readily apparent that the U.S. Labor Party's exposé of the Institute for Policy Studies-NSC nuclear Pearl Harbor

conspiracy has induced a marked psychological deterioration among the top agents since Glassboro.

The mere presence of one Labor Party organizer at this week's terrorism conference was enough to set off public and private psychotic episodes by a number of the less-stable operatives, while others were observed intently pouring over every piece of USLP literature they could lay their hands on.

By the last day, the general psychological atmosphere resembled a men's room in a gay bar — a result of the fact that an attempted cover up of the Institute's nuclear terrorist operations had become a major unstated purpose of the conference. Under pressure of the USLP exposé, in fact, agent Nicholas Kittrie of the American University Law School was forced to concede the essential correctness of Labor Party charges that the CIA controls most terrorist groups, solely to cover up the actual role of the Institute itself! Kittrie, who sports red-tinted hair, ornate jewelry, and high-heeled cowboy boots, said he had "personal knowledge" that the Institute wasn't what the USLP said it was because he was "intimate" with many Institute members, including Institute Director Marcus Raskin.

Gonadal Approach

The political demoralization of conference participants was so rapid and so intense that for the bulk of the proceedings, it was difficult to tell whether politics or pornography was being discussed. One participant, Edward Glick, a Temple University counterinsurgent, got up to complain that he was suffering from male menopause, and to plead with the audience, "I hope you all still love me."

Javits at Terror Conference

"My Hands Are Dripping with Blood"

NEW YORK, June 19 (NSIPS) — The following are excerpts for the keynote address delivered here by Sen. Jacob Javits (R-NY) on June 9 to the "Conference on International Terrorism" sponsored by the Ralph Bunche Institute. Sen. Javits' remarks were entitled "International Terrorism: Challenges and Responses."

I have been concerned and connected with terrorism for some time. . . . The problem we face is a very subtle one. . . . The reason for the critical danger now is that terrorists can get their hands on much more lethal weapons. The day is not far off when terrorists will get their hands on nuclear weapons which can be used as blackmail. . . .

The kind of punishment meted out today to terrorists is much too mild. Terrorism seems to pay off. It is very urgent that international action against terrorism be taken. Countries which harbor or otherwise aid terrorists must be the targets of international sanctions, such as cutting off all communication and transportation between them and the rest of the world. Very lethal measures are needed, especially against such irresponsible nations as Libya. . . .

The Third World nations believe that terrorism is an indispensable weapon in achieving national liberation. This is a cynical proposition, but many Third World countries feel it's all right to be cynical. But I'm confident that the Third World will compromise if terrorism reaches the point of using nuclear weapons, as I'm sure it will. This is inevitable. However, if it requires an air drop to get at terrorists taking refuge in Libya, then there will be an air drop, and Libya will go down the drain.

If terrorism is on the rise, as I foresee, then independence

Bernard Johnpoll of the State University of New York at Albany suggested in his presentation that a "gonadal approach" to terrorism be taken. Johnpoll demonstrated his own gonadal approach under questioning by a Labor Party organizer. Brandishing a cigar, Johnpoll nearly leaped off the stage, screaming, "You have disrupted my classes in Albany, you disrupted Glassboro, you are ruining this conference. I want you locked up in a mental institution. I can't stand to hear any more about what you are saying. We are all polymorphous perverts here. Shut the hell up!" Johnpoll later admitted he'd played a major role in breaking the 1937 Flint sit-down strike on behalf of the UAW.

World War III by Terrorism

Through this gaggle of self-professed perverts Rockefeller is now moving to implement his conference marching orders for immediate war in the Mideast, "ethnic" terrorist subversion of Arab governments and the Soviet Union, and nuclear terrorist blackmail coupled with direct military attack against nations now leading the Third World fight for a new world economic order. Until the Institute for Policy Studies and its allied international agencies are thoroughly dismantled, the minute-to-minute danger that this scenario will trigger global thermonuclear war remains. In this chilling vein, American Security Council alcoholic Eugene Methvin reminded participants at the final conference seminar session that, "World War I was started by a terrorist assassin: World War II was started with a terrorist incident, and nothing makes me think the situation is any different today."

and liberty will be compromised. It's worth Spartan living to preserve morality in the world. This whole question comes down to very big issues of a material nature.

This conference should decide how to coerce Third World countries to agree to joint action against terrorism.

I realize every word I'm saying is dripping with blood.

Exclusive Interview

Nicholas Kittrie: A Nuclear War Plotter Predicts Rise in Terrorism

NEW YORK, June 18 (NSIPS) — The following interview with Nicholas Kittrie, a professor of Comparative Law at American University in Washington, D.C. was released to NSIPS by a New York-based reporter. Kittrie was a participant in the Conference on International Terrorism here from June 9 — 11 sponsored by the Ralph Bunche Institute on the United Nations and the State University College at Oneonta. An "intimate" of Institute for Policy Studies head Marcus Raskin, Kittrie characterized the Conference on the second day as "shaping up really well... We're finally getting down to the real nitty-gritty" of terrorist activation and police state implementation.

Reporter: How did you get involved in studying terrorism?
Kittrie: I view terrorism as an incidental question to the broader area of political crime. I started in the field in the late 1960s, following my experience in the anti-war