

Behind The 'Capitol Hill Sex' Scandals: Rockefeller Tightens Control Of Press

June 25 (NSIPS) — Three distinct but closely related journalistic phenomena of recent weeks define a pattern of events which conclusively demonstrates that the Rockefeller financier faction is moving to impose wartime levels of censorship and "thought control" upon the U.S. population through already largely controlled major U.S. press outlets.

The first such development is the outpouring of obviously rigged exposés beginning with the account of "Capitol Hill Sex" provided by Justice Department tame whore Elizabeth Ray and others, which has since mushroomed into a generalized witchhunt directed against Congress and Constitutional government in general. The insurrectionary nature of the hoax is amply indicated by two details of the Ray caper: the advance preparation of Miss Ray's semi-pornographic "Happy Hooker" memoirs for instant mass distribution on a scale not seen since such earlier press hoaxes as the Pentagon Papers; and the Washington Post's use of illegal surveillance and wiretap methods to build the story. The scope and transparency of the scandals mark the whole affair as a psychological warfare operation of such dimensions as to indicate that a new level of press control has been imposed.

The second element in the pattern is a series of administrative "reorganizations" involving both personnel changes and "restructuring" of news coverage at major U.S. press outlets, including the New York Times, the Washington Post, the New York Daily News and the Associated Press. In some cases the reorganizations are known to have been underway before the scandals erupted in the press. In the case of the Daily News, the single outlet which might be characterized as playing a relatively passive role in the Ray affair, the internal shakeup is known to be closely connected to the "restructuring" going on at AP.

Finally, there is the emergence over a somewhat longer period of a phenomenon known as "precision journalism" as a topic of major concern among, initially, foundations, journalism schools, etc., and within the past year or so, within the major media themselves. "Precision journalism" is quite literally and openly the writing of the news to correspond to "facts" determined by computer print-outs prepared at the Rand Corp., the Brookings Institution, and other Rockefeller think-tanks. The complement to this mania for presumed "objectivity" is the vogue for "interpretative" or "investigative" journalism peddled by such outfits as the Fund for Investigative Journalism, closely interlocked with the Institute for Policy Studies, the major proponents of the "nuclear terrorism" hoax. Both "interpretative" approaches represent an explicit commitment to mass brainwashing.

The Case of AP

The Associated Press reorganization and related matters exemplify the dirty work in progress. The Associated Press, complemented by the smaller United Press International, is the central link in the network of controlled propaganda flow throughout the world. AP is the major source of national and foreign news for all but a handful of newspapers in the United States and most local TV and radio stations, and funnels news to over 5,000 subscribers in 106 foreign countries. AP's wire is the standard against which the work of any independent journalist is measured by editors who are either

themselves agents or who are afraid to go out on a limb with a controversial story not corroborated by the wireservices. According to AP editorial spokesmen, the news agency is undergoing a "shift in its perception of what is news." A decision to cut back on foreign news coverage, while substituting "in-depth" articles on "lifestyles," and so forth is the outstanding element of the new policy. Says newly designated AP general manager Keith Fuller, "after Vietnam, readers are sick of foreign news. They didn't want to know each of the 30 times the Italian government fell."

This decision reflects among other things the entirely correct perception on the part of top AP personnel that the majority of the U.S. population recognizes large proportions of its coverage — particularly with respect to events of global significance — as downright hogwash. In part this is simply the result of the longstanding canons of "objective" journalism, which render the typical wire-service story a hodgepodge of "facts" interlarded with banal sludge, a product which is more or less automatically rejected by the reader as a picture of events simply because as Fuller's remarks backhandedly indicate, it is presented as substantially meaningless. Moreover in certain cases, the deliberate falsity of press accounts has tipped off the population to the psywar character of most news. Wes Gallagher, retiring AP general manager identifies "the 1973 energy crisis" — the Rockefeller-created oil hoax — as the period when "the man in the street became more cynical."

Gallagher goes on to say "what he (the man in the street) wanted was more interpretive reporting. He wanted to know what to do about these problems." Under the rubric of "interpretive reporting," we may consider two sets of facts. First, and most obvious, "interpretive reporting" on AP's own performance is no more than an excuse for the repetition of the "oil hoax" phenomenon ad nauseum. In recent weeks, AP has reported such items as an "exclusive" from London on "a new Israeli peace proposal" in the Middle East which amounted to no more than the factional position of National Security Council-controlled Israeli warhawk Defense Minister Shimon Peres and his ally, ex-Defense Minister Moshe Dayan, and which was promptly disclaimed by the Israeli government. Or the AP's circulation on its international wire of statements by one John Wolf to the effect that any terrorist with \$20,000 could make a nuclear bomb — a statement which has no scientific validity, on a subject with which Wolf himself admitted he was unfamiliar, and which was previously refuted by NSIPS and subsequently by the editorial columns of the Baltimore Sun, among other media sources. "Investigative" reporters for AP have contributed to the "Capitol Hill Sex" scandals; and AP turned the arrest of Congressman Howe in Utah by police-prostitute decoys into a national story.

"Interpretive reporting" is also used to refer to a whole range of "touchy-feely" journalistic techniques in which both the reader and reporter are encouraged to participate in an orgy of "pure feeling" to the deliberate exclusion of any rational examination of the events being reported on. "Tell me, Mrs. Williams, how did you feel when you learned your six-year old daughter was trapped in the upstairs bedroom of the burning building?" The point is to facilitate an ego-

stripping-process in which all the participants (especially news readers) are encouraged to undergo a process of psychological regression for its own sake. This can be accurately described as functional brainwashing.

Such "subjectivity" is, as Gallagher's remarks characteristically indicate, represented as the "antidote" to the acknowledged banality of "objective journalism." The recent glorification of gossip as the subject of a cover story in a national news magazine represents the logical extension of this process. Together with the cutback in "foreign" news coverage, the emphasis on such "touchy-feely" reporting represents a straightforward attempt to create mass paranoia in the population, by constantly reinforcing infantile preoccupation with "my local neighborhood," "my feelings," etc.

"Precision Journalism"

Precision journalism, sold as a new refinement in "objectivity," is in fact a method for conducting more Rockefeller hoaxes in the press. AP General News editor Jack Cappon admits that the AP "restructuring" is in part the result of precision journalism projects: "Of course we keep abreast of the latest developments in the field, such as precision journalism, and consider them closely." AP reporters will be trained in a precision journalism project at Northwestern University this summer.

Briefly, precision journalism includes the involvement of reporters and editors in "role-playing" exercises designed to demonstrate to them their own "inescapable" subjectivity through use of the same types of "touchy-feely" techniques noted above. Once the participants' trust in their own judgment has been broken down, through constant emphasis on "respect for the other guy's point of view," etc., to the exclusion of criteria of actual scientific judgment, the computer is introduced as the ultimate means of taking into account all the "factors" involved in such "complex news situations." The cult of the computer as an "inhuman" "unemotional" instrument of science — emphatically excluding the role of the computer programmer — is an important aid in this process.

By Cappon's own admission, through the AP precision

journalism project all AP news on "urban crises" this summer will be written to fit Rockefeller's think-tank computer print outs! According to Cappon, the AP reporters sent to the Northwestern project will rely on a reading list for urban problems which includes such notorious characters as Rockefeller race scientist and zero-growth de-urbanization propagandist Edward Banfield, as well as "the latest studies by the Brookings Institution and all the big foundations." This team will work with a computer project which includes a 20-point "warning system" to anticipate urban problems nationally — a direct pipeline for Rockefeller's "race riot" "bicentennial terror" and other scenarios intended to pave the way for police state rule.

Where It Comes From

That the original work in precision journalism was performed by the Russell Sage Foundation in the late 1960s and later farmed out to the Markle Foundation and Rand Corp., illustrates both the immediate Rockefeller operation against the press and the nature of the long-term conspiracy of private Anglo-American political intelligence operatives to, as British psychological warfare expert Richard Crossman boasted, "Out-Goebbels Goebbels." The Russell Sage Foundation, part of the National Civic Federation counter-insurgency network established at the outset of the 20th Century, is the grandfather of "sociological" population profiling for psychological warfare purposes. At the outbreak of World War I, its entire office was moved from New York to Washington, D.C. where it operated as the intelligence arm of the U.S. War Department. During World War II, Anglo-American intelligence extended its control over the press through the Office of War Information, the partner of the CIA's predecessor agency, the OSS. Central to the development of the OWI's psychological warfare capability were techniques of mass brainwashing pioneered at London's Tavistock Institute. After the war OWI operatives were farmed out to strategic positions in the national news media. The present reorganizations at AP and elsewhere represent a consolidation of control and upgrading of operational capability for instruments which have continually functioned under conspiratorial control.

Seclusion Of Mao Sets Stage For Factional Convulsions

June 26 (NSIPS) — The factional convulsions that have wracked Maoist China in recent months will shortly be superseded by a period of the greatest instability since the Chinese Communist Party came to power in 1949. This was announced, in effect, by a statement attributed to the CCP Central Committee June 15, which reported that Party Chairman Mao Tse-tung will no longer receive foreign visitors, which observers universally recognized to signify that Mao is on his deathbed.

When Mao, the primary support for the so-called "radical" Maoist faction, finally dies, all hell is expected to break loose. The presently beleaguered anti-Maoist majority faction, supported by China's workers, peasants and students, will swing to the counterattack against the Maoists. The chaotic

process will likely risk foreign military intervention, fragmentation of the country, and economic disaster.

The announcement of Mao's impending demise has imparted critical urgency to the Wall Street campaign aimed at forcing President Ford to immediately recognize the Peking regime and to sell it arms. This the Atlanticists hope would consolidate an alliance before Mao departs this earth and would preempt expected moves back toward the Soviet Union. On the Soviets' side, the announcement has prompted the Soviet Union to escalate its attacks on Mao, while making clear to all his potential successors the Soviets' desire for a post-Mao reconciliation with Peking. In any event, the absence of Mao from Chinese television screens can only fuel increasing internal resistance while he still lives, along the