

NEW SOLIDARITY INTERNATIONAL PRESS SERVICE

U.S. Political Newsletter

Carter Campaign Collapses

Ford Loses Savvy: Endorses Kissinger



WASHINGTON, D.C. Sept. 10 (NSIPS) — President Ford's public endorsement of war-criminal Secretary of State Henry Kissinger in an interview with ABC-TV commentator Harry Reasoner last week followed by a series of Presidential statements backing the Kissinger foreign policy line is an act of political suicide. If Ford continues to travel the insane course, trading off the retention of Rockefeller hatchetman Kissinger for promises of votes and cooperation from Wall Street, he will rapidly be facing a nation racked by financial chaos and war, a demoralized Republican constituency, and a Wall St.-connected press dealing out to him the same political drubbing presently being dealt to its hapless creation, Democrat Jimmy Carter.

An all out assault on Carter this week by the leading Atlanticist press and columnists is only a reflection of the internal collapse the Carter campaign has suffered over the past two weeks. This fiasco, which fliees in the face of one of Wall Street's most carefully constructed scripts for a Carter "victory," is attributable to the U.S. electorate's rejection of the policies the Carter campaign stands for — de-industrialization, zero-growth "Naderism" etc. The lead editorial in the Labor Day Washington Post focuses on this point admitting that the U.S. population is still motivated by the "idea of progress." It indirectly pointed to the U.S. Labor Party's influence in promulgating that idea by referencing the Viking space probe as having excited the U.S. population. The Mars landing had been specifically developed by the Labor Party in a series in its newspaper, New Solidarity, as exemplifying the idea of progress in current terms.

The same day, the New York Times acknowledged that the U.S. Labor Party with presidential candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche is the third major party in the U.S. Accurately covering the USLP for the first time, the Times reported on candidate LaRouche's analysis of the impending September monetary crisis. The piece went out via the Times wire service and was picked up by the International Herald Tribune, the Mexican paper Excelsior and a score of major U.S. press.

Ford Stupidly Falls For Rocky's Electoral Boondoggle

In an interview with ABC's Harry Reasoner aired Sept. 7 Ford announced that Kissinger would be welcomed to stay on as Secretary of State for as long as Kissinger wished. Even if Ford were elected Nov. 2, it would be up to Kissinger as to when he would resign, the President said. The next day, Ford gave his full support for a proposed-Kissinger "war" shuttle to southern Africa. Ford, foolishly associating his office with Kissinger's shuttle stated that he - the President - was "launching a major effort in Africa." "While there is no specific American plan," he — the President — will use the "good offices" of the Administration to achieve a settlement.

Commenting on the White House's idiocy in going along with Kissinger's African operations, a prominent Washington conservative said, "Ford is probably dumb enough to believe that

Kissinger is an asset. He thinks Kissinger is pulling something off in Africa." But Kissinger, scorned by Black Africa and forced to invite himself on his African safari, is attempting to start war for his Rockefeller masters. Ford's actions will assure that if Kissinger and his Nazi ally Vorster of South Africa are successful in starting their bloodbath, the President will be dragged through the mud. The Atlanticist press have already warned that Ford is chancing a major electoral risk by getting involved in an all-but-lost African situation at this time. Washington Post reporter Maury Marder leaked the scenario for setting-up Ford after a Kissinger African debacle. Quoting countergang National Security Council-linked elements of the Rhodesian liberation front ANC, Marder notes there is a "deep distrust of Kissinger" and a belief that his shuttle will incite acts of "sabotage" and "racism."

The Administration seems to also have bought the current Kissinger negotiating policy vis-a-vis the Third World on the debt question. Ford Advisor William Seidman speaking Sept. 8 at a Chicago gathering of international representatives of the machine tool industry, was questioned about the Administration's response to the Colombo resolution and the U.S. response to Third World demands for general debt moratorium at the upcoming North-South meetings in Paris. Seidman replied that the U.S. will only negotiate on the debt question on a case by case basis. When it was pointed out that his was the Kissinger position, Seidman retorted, "Our position towards the Third World has not changed." While top local Administrative figures from the Office of Management and Budget, the Department of Agriculture, etc., took copious notes over the last week when briefed by the Labor Party on the breaking developments surrounding the North-South meetings, the U.S. apparently is now prepared to walk into the crucial Paris meetings with a suicidal policy of confrontation manufactured by Kissinger. The only signal for a possible post-Paris flexibility in the U.S. negotiating position was offered today by a high level aide to Greenspan who stated that the U.S. would not respond with any precipitous action to a declaration of unlimited debt moratoria on the part of the Third World.

Nevertheless, the endorsement and the subsequent freedom of action afforded Kissinger, unless rapidly reversed, will send the U.S. economy through shock waves of crisis this month and give the desperate Rockefeller-Kissinger forces enough maneuvering room to push for a thermonuclear confrontation with the Soviet Union.

The fact that Ford would stupidly open himself up to this series of disasters can only be traced to his blind electoral cretinism. Sources indicate that Rockefeller and others have appealed to Ford to make no bold moves to avoid losing votes and this tact has succeeded, judging by the week's events. Plus, promises to douse Wall Street's campaign of pre-packaged Administration watergatings, appeals to the limited mind of

Ford. To keep Ford duped, Rockefeller is even willing to sacrifice his creation Jimmy Carter by having the press shift toward accurate coverage of the non-existence of Carter's synthetic campaign. One high level OMB official, a loyal Ford supporter, was aghast when it was reported to him that Carter was the candidate of Wall Street. "What do you mean," he retorted. "Haven't you read the Washington Post edit on the Draft column, (both castigated Carter-ed.)"

This week's aborted Watergating of Republican Vice Presidential candidate Sen. Robert Dole (Kan.) appears to be part of the same containment operation. As if to show Ford that they meant business about Watergatings, Rockefeller press conduits the New York Times and the Washington Post carried front page stories on information from former Gulf lobbyist Claude Wilde, Jr. that he had slipped Dole's office illegal campaign contributions in 1970 and 1972. Wilde, an associate of Rockefeller cabal member John J. McCloy who has been used to finger several Rockefeller political opponents for "campaign fund" scandals, suddenly did an about face and said that his information was wrong — the day after Ford endorsed Kissinger.

Carter With No Support Watches Campaign Collapse.

Jimmy Carter's campaign for the Presidency is almost a thing of the past. With the public, albeit reluctant, admission of the Labor Party's LaRouche's role as a formidable White House contender by top Wall Street policy circles, the dying Carter campaign has entered the terminal phase. In the same issue of the Times which covered LaRouche columnist Anthony Lewis exposed Carter's California campaign as a total shambles. Citing one Democratic Party analyst to the effect that Ford enjoys a slight margin over Carter in the state, Lewis concludes that if the race is open here, if many natural Democratic voters still feel detached or doubtful about the candidate, the same might be true in Michigan or New York.

The following day an enraged Tom Wicker, the Times' liberal-in-residence, held the corpse of Carter up for all to see. Referencing the "Clockwork Peanuts" numerous flip-flops over the last few weeks, especially his decision to emphasize "inflation" rather than "unemployment," Wicker bitterly complained that Carter has permitted the Republicans "to press

their campaign against him as a man who constantly alters or obscures his positions."

Loyal Wall Street press conduits, including Hobart Rowen, Joseph Kraft and the Washington Post picked up on this theme. Focusing on Carter's failure to heed instructions from his Democratic Party masters while instead following the advice of his Georgia clique of advisors, Kraft asks whether such a synthetic creation as Carter is fit to be President.

Carter's inability to please his masters is attributable to the fact that Carter cannot sell a product that no one will buy — "corporativism." Labor Party members attending a Contra Costa County, Calif. Central Labor Council-sponsored Labor Day picnic sold \$45 worth of USLP literature, including LaRouche campaign buttons, while the featured speaker, Dem Party Vice Presidential nominee Walter Mondale begged an unsympathetic and unattentive audience for support. Meanwhile, Carter was suffering a similar fate in Norfolk, Va., where 10,000 people stood in stony silence as he delivered his sermon. Again Labor Party organizers sold substantial amounts of literature. One worker complained to a USLP LaRouche campaign worker, "Carter didn't say a thing," another said, "After this I've made up my mind, I'm voting for LaRouche." The few Carter supporters were shrieking to the stunned crowd, "Burn your scientists — Down with Progress."

But nowhere was this non-support more apparent than in Chicago yesterday night. In a torch light parade, which Chicago's Mayor Daley claimed would draw 100,000 Carter supporters, only 5000 people showed up and most of these were parents who came to watch their school age children march in the parade. As one informed source reported, "The Daley machine could not turn them out."

Is Jimmy Carter Brainwashed?

NSIPS Special Brief

\$1.00

The Press Goes After Carter

Sept. 10 (NSIPS) — With little exceptions, the nation's press declared open season this week on Wall Street's Presidential candidate, Jimmy Carter. Even Carter's erstwhile "PR men" at the New York Times and Washington Post joined in the target practice on the hapless Democratic candidate. We offer some excerpts below.

Anthony Lewis:

Carter "Too Perfect For Comfort"

Sept. 8 (NSIPS) — The following is excerpted from an article entitled "The Distant Candidate," bylined Anthony Lewis and appearing in the New York Times Sept. 9.

...I am convinced that, notwithstanding the polls, Jimmy Carter has serious problems among natural Democratic voters of the West and North.

He remains an utterly distant figure to many: That is the

fundamental problem. Over an over, people say they have no feeling for him, no attachment, no emotional connection. On the contrary there is a sense of remoteness from Carter, of uneasiness...

Indeed the debates may not be enough for Carter to close that feeling of distance. Some Democrats will see them as just another staged occasion where a clever candidate can come up with studied answers. And the debates are unlikely to break through the indifference that may be the most serious threat to the Democrats this year — the danger that a majority of potential voters may not bother to vote.

If there is going to be a movement of feeling toward Carter...some now unimagined crisis may be required as the catalyst. It could be a world event or a personal crisis: something to test Jimmy Carter's behavior under strain...I think the doubters will be looking not only for wise judgment on Carter's part but for humanity. For the way they talk about him suggests that they find him too neat, too controlled, too perfect for comfort....