

## U.S. POLITICAL NEWSLETTER

# Carter Advisors Form Committee To Ensure Nuclear War By 1977



Oct. 22 (NSIPS) — Elmo Zumwalt, the retired admiral now an advisor on strategic policy to presidential candidate Jimmy Carter, yesterday corroborated in full charges by U.S. Labor Party presidential candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche that Carter is controlled by “a group of men headed by people such as James Schlesinger, associated with Paul Nitze, the Brookings Institution and others, who are committed to thermonuclear war in the early future.”

LaRouche most recently reiterated this charge on NBC-TV's nationally televised “Meet the Press” show on Oct. 16 where he warned that the nature of the conspiracy was such that “If Carter gets into the White House on Nov. 2, this nation will be destroyed in thermonuclear war before the summer.”

In an interview which was made available to NSIPS, Zumwalt, who is running as a Democrat for Senator from Virginia, corroborated both the existence of the “Committee on the Present Danger,” and that this group will attempt to push the United States into war with the Soviet Union within the first three months of a Carter Administration. Responding to a question on the view of many Pentagon officials that war with the USSR is strategically untenable, Zumwalt said:

“We can continue to protect our interests by bluffing....The Soviets won't do anything before the elections because they want Ford to win....I agree with the assessment that we would lose a military confrontation with the Soviets if it came to fighting: we would lose a conventional war, and in a nuclear war we would lose 160 million while they (the Soviets) lost 10 million. But until we get stronger we have to bluff. If Carter is elected, within the first few months of 1977 the Soviets will try the same thing they tried with (President John F.) Kennedy: they will force a showdown probably in the Middle East but possibly in Europe and will try to make him back down. We are organizing a Committee on the Present Danger, and **we have been preparing Carter not to back down.**” (emphasis added)

LaRouche and the U.S. Labor Party have warned repeatedly that it is just such a “bluff strategy” which will most likely lead to war: the forces associated with the Rockefeller-dominated New York banks, LaRouche has warned, are set upon a strategic confrontation with the Soviet Union as a means of forcing a backdown from the Warsaw Pact — a backdown which would mean a free hand at continued looting of advanced sector and Third World populations by the debt-holding New York banks. These Rockefeller-allied forces have convinced themselves, against all relevant evidence from the Warsaw Pact itself, that the Soviets will back down.

The fact that this “show of force” will come from the conspirators around the Carter campaign and not from the Soviet Union is fully confirmed by letters between members of the Committee on the Present Danger, copies of which are now in

the hands of the U.S. Labor Party. “You are fully aware,” writes one Committee member, “that in terms of the shifting military balance — and in our diplomatic credibility in much of the world — the U.S. today is about where Britain was in 1938, with the shadow of Hitler's Germany darkening all of Europe.” “I fully agree,” another Committee member responded, “with your estimate that we are living in a pre-war and not a post-war world, and that our posture today is comparable to that of Britain, France and the United States during the Thirties. Whether we are at the Rhineland or the Munich watershed remains to be seen. I won't quarrel with your dating!”

The U.S. Labor Party is also in a position to now release the following list of the members of the Committee on the Present Danger. All the individuals cited are connected both to the highest policy-making levels of the Democratic Party and to Rockefeller-dominated think tanks such as the New York Council on Foreign Relations and the Brookings Institution. It should be noted that the Warsaw Pact is fully aware of the role of these individuals and institutions in the current shift toward a war confrontation strategy: Horizont, a weekly reflecting the views of the government of the German Democratic Republic, this week identified the Brookings Institution as a major proponent of the confrontation-bound “forward defense” strategy now being forced upon NATO by the U.S. In addition to Zumwalt and former Defense Secretary James Schlesinger, the Committee includes:

Paul Nitze: Military advisor to Carter and proponent of the first-strike strategy which “forward defense” entails; member of the CFR.

Lane Kirkland: AFL-CIO Secretary Treasurer and top Carter labor supporter; member of both the Trilateral Commission and the CFR.

Rita Hauser: An associate of Eugene Rostow and a member of the CFR; a high official in the League of Women Voters and a chief organizer of the Ford-Carter debates.

Henry Fowler: A Carter foreign policy advisor from the CFR; partner in the Rockefeller-allied investment house, Goldman Sachs.

C.B. Marshall: CFR member, General Counsel to IBM and member of the Democratic Advisory Council.

Dean Rusk: The ex-President of the Rockefeller Foundation who is now a member of both the CFR and the Democratic Advisory Committee.

The list also includes a large number of members of the so-called Kennedy wing of the Democratic Party:

Max Kampelman: Member of Sargeant Shriver's law firm, Fried, Frank, Shriver and Kampelman, and the moving force in the “Wall Street Lawyers for Carter.”

Eugene Rostow: A National Security Advisor to Kennedy — where he pushed for confrontation with the USSR) and now an advisor to Carter and a member of the CFR.

Lewis Martin: Described by one Committee member as "an old JFK hand."

Also members are Frank Barnett, David Packard, Charles Tyroler, Charles Walker, Richard Allen Jerome Holland and Sam Pierce.

The danger that the existence and goals of this committee represents to the future of the nation necessitates immediate action. The U.S. Labor Party calls upon all political figures, journalists and concerned citizens to demand that the Democratic Party and all its chief spokesmen and candidates including especially Jimmy Carter, Walter Mondale, Edward Kennedy and Hubert Humphrey answer in full — **before the elections** — the charge that the Democratic Party is committed to a war confrontation policy.

## Zumwalt: Secret Committee Preparing Carter For War

*Oct. 22 (NSIPS) — Admiral Elmo Zumwalt is running for Senate in Virginia on the Carter ticket. He is a member, along with Carter advisors Rostow, Nitze and Schlesinger, of a bipartisan group called the "Committee on the Present Danger," which, as Zumwalt makes clear below, has been preparing Carter "not to back down" from provoking the Soviet Union into thermonuclear war. The following is an interview given by Zumwalt on Oct. 20, 1976*

Q: I am calling concerning a report that General George Brown, in a White House briefing, said that the U.S. was militarily inferior to the Soviet Union and that in a confrontation with the Soviet Union we would lose, unless that confrontation was in Latin America. Brown said that we must catch up with the Soviets through an intensive research and development drive, especially involving fusion research. What do you think about this?

A: I didn't hear that particular briefing but I can well believe Brown said that. I think the same of our military position and I

have been telling this to the President for years. If we had a military confrontation with the Soviets in the Mideast, we would lose. In Europe, we would lose. We would lose a conventional war, and in a nuclear war everyone knows that we would lose at least 160 million people and they would lose 10 million.

Q: What can we do about this? I gather Brown thinks we should lay low for a few years while building up our strength. Do you agree?

A: I don't think Brown meant that. I certainly agree that we must immensely expand our R and D — the Soviets outspend us by 50 per cent. We must increase our force levels as well. But until we do, we can continue to protect our interests the way we have been doing — by bluffing.

Q: Bluffing? How do you mean?

A: We've been bluffing for five or six years. We're bluffing in NATO, and we were bluffing in the Mideast in 1973, with our Red Alert during the October War. We didn't force the Russians to back down but we did force them to give us half a loaf. We had to get the Israelis to give back the Egyptian army but we didn't lose entirely.

Q: But what if the Soviets call our bluff? After all they have good military intelligence, they must know we're bluffing.

A: They won't do anything before the election because they want Ford to stay in. Kissinger is soft. He thinks the West is sinking so he won't fight the Soviets. If Carter wins, then, in the first few months of his term, the Soviets will try the same thing with him as they did with Kennedy. They will precipitate a crisis, probably in the Mideast but possibly in Europe, and try to force him to back down.

Q: Will he?

A: No. A group of us — Schlesinger and Nitze for the Republicans and Rostow and myself for the Democrats have organized a group, the Committee on the Present Danger, which will be announced the first week of November. We have been preparing Carter not to back down.

Q: But if the Russians know we're bluffing what kind of bluff is that? Won't it mean war if we don't back down?

A: Well, we have to keep unpredictable enough so that the Soviets will be uncertain that with our strange Occidental minds we might actually go for a nuclear war if they push us. They don't want that, even if they will win. So to prevent that, they'll at least give half a loaf again.

# "Committee On The Present Danger"

Eugene V. Rostow

May 17, 1976

The Honorable James Schlesinger  
3601 N. 26th Street  
Arlington, Virginia 22207

Dear Jim,

We made progress at our meeting on Friday. The basic approach to the structure of the Committee was agreed; we approved the program and budget; and we roughed out a tentative slate of officers. I believe we will be in an impeccable and impregnable position, from the point of view of the tax laws, and any political attacks based on them. We agreed that Fowler and Packard should be our Co-Chairmen; that you, Dean Rusk, Paul Nitze, and Lane Kirkland should be Vice-Chairmen, along with Sam Pierce (an excellent black lawyer, formerly our student here, and one-time General Counsel of the Treasury), and Rita Hauser. I would be Chairman of the Executive Committee, which would include the officers ex officio, plus Lewis Martin (publisher of an important black newspaper, and an old J.F.K.

NATIONAL STRATEGY INFORMATION CENTER, INC.

MEMORANDUM  
DATE: MAY 24, 1976

May 24, 1976

Dr. Eugene V. Rostow  
Professor of Law  
Yale University  
New Haven, CT 06520

Dear Gene:

Earnestly hoping for your acceptance, our Directors have authorized me to invite you to join our Board. (You should know that we've been granted \$1 million to "crank up" an all-out effort to meet the current and growing threat from the USSR -- whether in military, ideological or economic warfare terms.)

You are fully aware, of course, that in terms of the shifting military balance -- and in our diplomatic credibility in much of the world -- the U. S. today is about where Britain was in 1938, with the shadow of Hitler's Germany darkening all of Europe.

In this context, NSIC is opening a full-scale Washington office to:

a) interact with policy echelons in the White House and Pentagon (where we will have