EXCLUSIVE

Call To Investigate The Institute For Policy Studies

The Senate Committee on Intelligence has as its obligation, in accordance with its Charter, the proper jurisdiction for conducting a thorough investigation into the activities of the Institute for Policy Studies.

Under the previously constituted body, the Select Senate Committee on Intelligence, or the Church Committee, past and present abuses by the intelligence community were brought forth for public scrutiny, and, in some cases, clear violations of the United States Constitution were documented. As a result of those findings, several remedial steps were and are being taken to establish a legal framework for the conduct of intelligence activities.

However, the steps taken and the hearing conducted only delved into *official* governmental agencies, and left open for further investigation the *private* intelligence networks of which the Institute is a crucial feature. These private intelligence networks, although they interface with many official intelligence functions of the government, serve the interests of Wall Street, specifically the Rockefeller financial interests.

The U.S. Labor Party, along with many trade unionists, industrialists and other political party spokesmen, insist on the basis of the evidence below that the Senate Committee on Intelligence launch a fullscale investigation into the conduct and affairs of the Institute for Policy Studies as the primary means for explaining to the American people the mechanisms of this private intelligence network.

Bill of Indictment

1. The Institute for Policy Studies from its inception in 1963 was specifically set up as an institution modeled on the British Fabian Society for the purpose of coordinating special overt and covert intelligence operations in the interests of political forces connected to key financial institutions allied to the Rockefeller family. One major goal of the Institute has been to "infiltrate" legitimate organizations and political institutions and take them over. These takeovers have been central to the Institute's primary function: coordinating terrorism internationally and domestically.

2. The Institute receives its money and funding from selected foundations and has used such funds illegally for terrorism and for the creation of "dummy" political organizations, both domestically and internationally for the purpose of destabilizing sovereign governments and legally constituted organizations, such as trade unions, political parties, etc., through acts of violence, rigged elections and other "dirty tricks."

3. The Institute has worked closely with certain government and extra-governmental institutions for the purpose of carrying out the illegal activities cited. These governmental institutions such as the FBI and CIA and extra-governmental organizations like Interpol help facilitate and maintain the "cover" for these operations. The Institute also interfaces with foreign intelligence operations, such as Israel's intelligence and secret services and the Bundesnachrichtendienst, West Germany's intelligence service, as well as maintaining contact with certain foreign citizens of influence such as former Chancellor of the Federal Republic of Germany Willy Brandt.

Chain of Command

The overall command structure of this private intelligence capability functions on two parallel levels: governmental and extra-governmental. The starting point for any competent investigation is the Rockefeller-centered banking circles which direct and control key law firms and foundations which supply the funds and personnel for these covert operations. It is extremely relevant to the investigation that individuals within the National Security Council and associated institutions and circles who are involved in these operations have close associations with and in some cases direct links to IPS. In fact, the two co-directors of IPS, Marcus Raskin and Richard Barnet, come from the National Security Council and the State Department respectively.

In view of the fact that the Carter Administration includes members of the David Rockefeller-organized Trilateral Commission in its highest levels, for example Zbigniew Brzezinski as National Security Advisor, the Institute for Policy Studies is well protected from any serious investigation by the executive branch as currently constituted. In addition to this type of protection, the Institute directly interfaces internationally with Interpol, an organization infested with former Nazis and fascists which has functioned since 1923 as the Rockefeller family's private police force. Interpol provides the logistical support for the IPS networks, including the smuggling of guns and drugs. The old Nazi "Die Spinne" apparatus comprised of members of Otto Skorzeny's special SS units, the French fascist networks of the Secret Army Organization's Jacques Soustelle and assorted networks operating in southern West Germany and Austria provide the crucial interface between the so-called "left"-wing and "right"-wing terror operations, including the majority of international "wetwork" or assassination operations.

On the lower levels of this vast interlocking network of private institutions, many pseudo-organizations function

as the offspring and appendages of IPS. The various maoists, trotskyists, and radical ecology groups, such as New England's Clamshell Alliance, carry out many of the policies created by the Institute and its satellite networks.

Background of IPS And Related Institutions

The Institute for Policy Studies was established in 1963, under the direction of President Kennedy's National Security Advisor, McGeorge Bundy. Bundy, now President of the Ford Foundation, incorporated the Institute along with Hans Morgenthau, Stephen Muller, Thurman Arnold, and Marcus Raskin and Richard Barnet. The architect of every counterinsurgency project carried out in Vietnam, Latin America, and domestically, Bundy consciously modeled IPS on the British Fabian Society. IPS was created, therefore, as a neo-Fabian, private political intelligence network for running special counterinsurgency projects, such as community control, "new left" organizations, black nationalist groups, and terrorist operations — operations of the sort which were pioneered by British intelligence agencies, which place major emphasis upon the use of private institutions whose overall activity is inextricably linked to official government institutions. It is important to note that these operations are the outcome of political intelligence operations set up prior to World War I under the auspices of the National Civic Federation and the Russell Sage Foundation and which were officially institutionalized following World War II under the aegis of the CIA. Importantly, during the period between the two world wars and during World War II, most official U.S. political intelligence functions were chiefly dependent upon British services. As a result, many of these political intelligence operations incorporated into the Institute's program of activities are based on British methods and British ideas which are totally alien to the United States Constitution and the principles of U.S. foreign and domestic policy established by our Founding Fathers.

From the mid-1960s through the present, IPS set up a network of primary organizations and so-called think-tanks internationally. These networks of think-tanks have worked in turn to establish a network of pseudo-organizations called countergangs from the theories of the British counterinsurgent, Brigadier General Frank Kitson, including zero-growth, anti-technology organizations, environmental groups linked up to political parties, and special terrorist operations, especially under the auspices of the anti-war movement. The Cambridge Institute in Cambridge, Massachusetts, the Transnational Institute in Amsterdam, Holland, and related organizations such as the group of so-called ex-CIA and military intelligence personnel around CounterSpy promote such policies to seed the political climate with the idea that many of these actually covert operations are really expressions of popular political will. With the help of the mass media and selected newspapers, many of the operations are made to appear as legitimate expressions of a political point of view.

Funding of IPS And Related Institutions

The foundations that supply the funds to sustain the illegal activities of the Institute can be divided into two basic categories. First, top level foundations such as the Rockfeller Family Fund, Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Ford Foundation, and Field Foundation, which serve primarily as policy determining bodies which earmark funds for the specific operations desired. Significantly, the official reports of such foundations rarely identify the precise purpose of these special operations; instead a "cover" organization or activity is used to facilitate the disbursement of funds. On the second level, foundations, such as the Kaplan Fund, Stern Fund, and the Janss and Bernstein Foundations merely serve as conduiting points for selective political and covert functions.

Through a vast network of corporate assets and tax free institutions, IPS and its satellite organizations internationally receive vast funds to carry out their operational capabilities.

The Case of Marcus Raskin And Richard Barnet

Prior to the deployment of Marcus Raskin and Richard Barnet into the Institute for Policy Studies in 1963, both had been trained by a succession of agents from the top levels of Rockefeller's private and official political intelligence machine. Though each has a different history, the essential quality of their development is in some fashion similar and is well suited for the purposes of IPS.

Raskin's initial development came under the tutelage of Representatives James Roosevelt, son of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, and Robert Kastenmeier of Wisconsin, one of the top Fabians in the U.S. Congress.

Representative Roosevelt specifically aided Raskin in formulating the plans for the Fabian-Rockefeller takeover of the constituency-based Democratic Party in order to mold it more towards Rockefeller's needs. During the late 1950s , the plans for the takeover were formally drawn up. Thus began the Liberal Papers Project, which was to be implemented in earnest during the early phases of the Kennedy Administration and the heyday of Rockefeller manipulation of the civil rights movement and related social control programs.

Raskin's earlier training came at the University of Chicago Law School, a political factory for Rockefeller agents and operatives. Raskin acquired his initial grounding in Fabian practices under the influence of then-Dean of the University of Chicago Law School (later U.S. Attorney General) Edward Levi. From 1961 to 1963, Raskin served on the National Security Council under the direction of McGeorge Bundy. He participated directly in every nasty intelligence operation generated during the Kennedy Administration, including assassination of anti-Rockefeller political figures. Italian industrialist Enrico Mattei was one assassination victim.

Bundy was one of the architects of U.S. policy toward Vietnam, particularly the notorious Strategic Hamlets

Giorni Vie Nuove Retracts IPS Exposé

Giorni Vie Nuove, the weekly publication of Italy's Communist Party-linked cooperatives, on June 9 retracted its recent articles exposing the U.S.-based "left-wing" Institute for Policy Studies (IPS) and its director Marcus Raskin as the Rockefeller-funded controllers of Italian terrorism over recent years. The articles had been printed in coordination with efforts by the Andreotti government to root out terrorism, and were retracted in the face of concerted pressure mounted by the Rome U.S. Embassy, the Riccardo Lombardi wing of the Italian Socialist Party — itself deeply implicated in the brainwashing of terrorists — and by IPS co-director Richard Barnet, who arrived in Italy in May as Lombardi's guest while the series was being published.

Responding to a letter by Lombardi faction member Agnoletti, the editor of *Giorni Vie Nuove*, Davide Lajolo writes that "we do not have the proof" that IPS is Rockefeller-controlled. "If IPS has as much merit as Agnoletti maintains, then it was clearly a mistake on our part to have criticized them and we do not hesitate to correct ourselves.... We have taken precautions... not to pick up our information from suspicious informants. We fulfill our duty to those we unjustly accused and above all slandered the political positions of two comrades like Lombardi and Agnoletti whom we esteem so highly."

In a press release issued from Wiesbaden, West Germany today, U.S. Labor Party chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. stated that "Although I am informed of the pressures from the Rome U.S. Embassy and certain Swiss bankers which forced editor Lajolo to publish a false retraction, I must regretfully report that editor Lajolo has lied.

"The magazine, *Giorni Vie Nuove*, has in its possession official documents of the Washington, D.C. Institute for Policy Studies and from official public records of foundation grants in the United States. These documents... conclusively prove both that the Institute for Policy Studies is nothing but what is called in the USA the "left-wing faction of the CIA," and that the principal funding of IPS is from Rockefeller family sources and through conduits exposed in the 1967 National Student Association affair as CIA conduits.

"It is also fully documented that IPS networks and key figures are directly associated with terrorist networks.

"I emphasize again that editor Lajolo made this fraudulent retraction under the most massive pressure from officials and financial circles linked to David Rockefeller. It is to the credit of *Giorni Vie Nuove* that it surrendered to the defenders of terrorists only after a stubborn resistance. The magazine deserves credit for showing far more courage than others who also had the same information, but were too cowardly to publish it.

"Nonetheless, I must also state that editor Lajolo has displayed cowardice in battle — and that because of his cowardice, one does not know how many of Lajolo's own friends will die at the hands of the terrorists now more encouraged by his cowardice.

"War is cruel. It demands courage... all cowards who refuse to expose the networks linked to the Institute for Policy Studies are morally responsible for condoning the deaths of the terrorists's victims."

programs and the murderous "Operation Phoenix" program in the Mekong Delta region. He taught Raskin all he knew. In fact, Raskin's official position on the NSC was within a unit known as the "Special Staff" where Raskin became one of the overseers of special covert operations and destabilization scenario planning — a unique experience in creating and developing terror. Raskin's tenure at the NSC also coincided with the critical Bay of Pigs-Cuban Missile crisis period of confrontation with the Soviet Union, planned and carried out by the NSC. It was this counterinsurgent background that Raskin brought with him when the Institute for Policy Studies was formed in 1963.

By then, Rockefeller and the founders of the Institute, Hans Morgenthau, Thurman Arnold and McGeorge Bundy, all well experienced in running Rockefeller operations, had begun to set up a systematic cover for running anarchist-leftist operations through the coopting and influencing of a vast international network of operatives for "black operations."

Also key in effecting this development was the creation of the Students for a Democratic Society (SDS) in the U.S. With the help of the leading U.S. figures in the social democratic "Second International," such as Michael Harrington and UAW leaders Victor and Walter Reuther, the SDS became the flagship "synthetic new left" organization for spinning off phony "leftist" operations internationally. Through a process of splitting and then regrouping such organizations, a selection procedure was established for creating terrorist organizations. Raskin supervised this operation starting in 1963 and including the period when the Weathermen and other terrorist organizations were set up.

Pravda Reports Giorni Vie Nuove Exposé

The Soviet Communist Party daily Pravda *published the following short article in its June 10 issue, in a collection of reports under the headline "unceremonious interference":*

The CIA is organizing, financing and leading terrorist groups, which in recent months have waged partisan "street warfare" in Italy. In other words, they have entered into total struggle against the state, according to an article by G. Cappato in the Italian weekly *Giorni Vie Nuove.*

When Raskin left the NSC in 1963 to become co-director of IPS, he initiated a series of projects designed for the profiling and eventual deployment of the various regroupments of SDS and left groups internationally. He supervised the Radical Education Project, created in part by the University of Michigan's Institute for Social Research, the U.S.'s leading "conflict resolution" think tank. Raskin had also been thoroughly trained in psychological profiling through the National Training Laboratories, a project set up for the Rockefellers by the German fascist psychologist Kurt Lewin. NTL specializes in brainwashing through various methods, including transactional analysis and therapy and group therapy, as well as harder brainwashing methods, all employed to create the social basis for running terrorist operations.

This is the same modus operandi which was used by the University of Trento's School of Socioloogy head Francesca Alberoni to create Italy's murderous Red Brigades.

Out of the projects arose the "radical ideology" and "youth movement" operations, otherwise known as the counterculture. In the U.S., the violent Revolutionary Youth Movement (which spawned the Weathermen) and every Maoist organization came into existence through these programs. At the same time, Raskin became an editor of *Ramparts Magazine*, *Vietnam Reader*, and, along with other neo-Fabian intelligence operatives like Tom Hayden and Bo Burlingham, produced a series of new left journals — all publishing black propaganda to condition college and high school aged youth in the U.S. to accept drugs, terrorism, and other aspects of the "counterculture."

Raskin, however, is no mere terrorist controller. He, like his counterpart Barnet, was also involved in the negotiations for nuclear disarmament in the early 1960s. In 1962, Raskin represented the U.S. at the Geneva Conference on nuclear disarmament and proliferation. He later advised the Johnson Administration in 1963-65 as a consultant for the White House Office of Science and Technology — despite the fact that he did not know anything about science or, for that matter, technology. He later served briefly as head of the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, now under the leadership of Paul Warnke. Using the limited knowledge he gleaned from the scenario negotiations, Raskin has since aided the deployment of ultra-left anarchic countergangs against pro-industrial forces. The anti-nuclear demonstrations in Brockdorf, West Germany and Seabrook in the U.S. are exemplary case studies.

Raskin's network created and currently controls every Maoist and left anarchist grouplet in the USA. His network directly interfaces allied operations which together created the various Maoist groups in Western Europe, whose deployment is coordinated by the Transnational Institute. Raskin's recent excursions have also brought him to the Caribbean, where he has arranged for the running of destabilization operations against the pro-development governments of Jamaica and Mexico.

The Barnet case is not significantly different from Raskin. A lawyer trained at Harvard Law School in 1954, Barnet was placed in the highly respected law firm of Choate, Hall and Stewart, a firm with connections extending into nearly every intelligence agency. Barnet worked in the State Department from 1955 to 1959 as a specialist in Russian affairs and was connected to the Russian Research Center at Harvard. Following his stint at the State Department, Barnet moved into an important post at the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency and in 1960 became the U.S. Army's legal counsel. Through his various activities, Barnet was well situated to develop special black propaganda concerning the Soviet Union, especially around the nuclear disarmament issue during the Kennedy confrontation years. Barnet held a post in the U.S. Information Agency, while serving as Deputy Director of Policy Research for ACDA.

Barnet is also one of the leading formulators and advocates of the utopian military doctrine. His "specialty" lies in areas of nuclear disarmament and proliferation. Barnet's notion is that a posture of military superiority by either the Soviet Union or the U.S. is wrong; superiority is an unthinkable position because it leads to aggression which therefore leads to thermonuclear war.

Barnet's line is the classic "soft" side of U.S. utopian strategic doctrine. Its purpose is to attempt to gull the Soviet Union into reducing their strategic arsenal. His theories, along with those of other leading utopian strategists such as James Schlesinger, have evolved from the writings of Henry Kissinger, who once described Barnet's close associate Arthur Waskow as "brilliant" on the question of nuclear war, and who believes in bluff and "limited war" as the basis for U.S. strategic posture. Barnet, in 1965, was simultaneously co-director of IPS and director of the Princeton Center for International Studies where he edited a book, *Security in Disarmament*, with fellow IPS staffer Richard Falk.

More recently, Barnet has been somewhat upgraded in his ranking among Rockefeller policymaking circles, by being accepted for membership on the New York Council of Foreign Relations. He is also presently involved in two newly created Rockefeller organizations, New Directions and the Institute for World Order.

One of Barnet's more important functions, in this context, is his meetings with Georgii Arbatov, the head of the Soviet Union's USA-Canadian Institute in Moscow and the leader of the USSR's "Arbatov faction" of Rockefeller agents and dupes. In fact, Barnet in recent years has repeatedly met with Arbatov concerning world strategic questions to glean insight into Soviet response to various Kissinger and now Carter Administration operations, including IPS itself, which Arbatov wittingly protects.

Barnet has also traveled extensively throughout Europe and Latin America defending Rockefeller-IPS policy. As a member of the Institute for World Order, Barnet has been a crucial cog in the counterorganizing against the New World Economic Order among Third World and OECD nations. He has written speeches for Jimmy Carter and, as special advisor to the New Democratic Coalition, the Fabian wing of the Democratic Party, has pushed the same deindustrialization programs with the Carter Administration.