

to continue funding the Clinch River project until it is fully commercialized. Baker called this ruling "devastating," the June 27 *Wall Street Journal* reports.

#### *Vote Postponed*

While Congress has its finger on the trigger, it has thus far been unwilling to pull it. The full Senate vote on legislation to appropriate funds for ERDA's nuclear budget, including the breeder program, was first scheduled for June 29 but was postponed one day following heavy pressure from Carter backers, who, according to one White House source, felt that "Carter's ass would be roasted" if the vote had occurred. Conservative congressmen regrouped and agreed to bring the fight to a head before the July 4 recess began July 1. Rescheduled for June 30, the vote was again postponed on the initiative of Senate Majority Leader Robert Byrd (D-W. Va.) who was desperate for more time to organize Congress around the Administration's kill-the-breeder program. Now the floor vote will not occur before July 11, when the Senate Energy Committee's authorization recommendations will be voted on.

#### *Deal?*

The main danger facing the anti-Carter bloc is foolhardy compromise. From the start, a primary strategy of the Administration has been to pit fission against fusion. While the breeder reactor, a form of fission power, is an important transitional source of energy, only unlimited fusion power can supply the vast amounts of energy needed by a highly industrialized world economy.

Already, the very same congressmen who voted for the five-fold increase over Carter's proposed breeder budget — itself a compromised figure compared to President Ford's \$237 million budget request — totally capit-

ulated when it came to fusion energy. All four committees earlier cited for their jurisdiction over ERDA's nuclear budget gave full support to Carter's lethal near-20 percent cut in the fusion budget.

While several congressmen say they will stage a floor fight to increase the fusion budget, most are stupidly insisting that the energy battle can be won by fighting one issue at a time, and that they have chosen the breeder as their single weapon. Carter has upped the ante by submitting his 1979 fusion budget request to ERDA with an additional 8 percent cutback, a source in the Senate Energy Committee disclosed.

This "spirit of compromise" leaves the congressmen vulnerable even when it comes to the breeder. Sen. Frank Church (D-Id), who chairs the Senate Energy subcommittee with jurisdiction over the breeder, is championing a \$75 million compromise on funding for the breeder — an amount which he admits would mothball the project for two years — and in fact would kill it. Church, in no way committed to developing the breeder for its scientific and technological advantages, speaks for a faction of "liberals" who are using the breeder fight to ditch a discredited Carter so that they can more expediently push their own fascist austerity program.

In contrast, conservative congressmen like House Science and Technology Committee Rep. Olin Teague (D-Tex) base their support of the breeder on its technological role in solving the energy crisis. In a letter to the *New York Times* published today, Rep. Teague, joined by Rep. John W. Wydler (R-NY), attacked the paper for having "uncritically swallowed the Administration's arguments" on the breeder and for promoting "nuclear isolation" abroad. Just returned from an oversight trip to Europe, the congressmen wrote, "In our meetings we did not find any officials who claimed to understand the Carter position."

## Rocky's Hacks Plot Intelligence Takeover

The Nelson Rockefeller-Henry Kissinger clique of finance capital is promoting a campaign to centralize the United States intelligence apparatus under the incompetent Admiral Stansfield Turner. If their traitorous scheme succeeds, Turner will be named "Director for National Intelligence," in charge of the National Security Agency (NSA), the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), the CIA, as well as the counterintelligence section of the FBI and the intelligence analysis groups at the State Department and Treasury.

To facilitate this plot to control strategic intelligence estimates and policy, overseas operations including counterintelligence, and covert action, Rocky's flunky Secretary of Defense Harold "B-1" Brown is being assigned to contain strong Whig opposition at the Pentagon to the takeover scheme. Brown is promising the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) that it will remain "independent" — that is, it will report directly to him! He is also offering certain concessions — like the recent

appointment of General Keegan-linked head of Air Force Intelligence Eugene Tighe as chief of the DIA — and boondoggle bait, including production of the already obsolete B-1 bomber.

#### *The Story Is Out*

The story on Turner's power grab surfaced in the June 20 issue of *Time* magazine in an "exclusive spread" which according to informed sources was prepared and leaked by forces allied with Nelson Rockefeller and Henry Kissinger. *Time* proudly declares that "the creation of an overall Intelligence Czar with Cabinet status is being considered favorably. This intelligence boss would supervise the budgets of all the intelligence agencies, including those of the military." In an effort to give Rockefeller insider Harold Brown a cover with anti-monetarist groupings in the Pentagon, *Time* adds: "Congress and the White House must still work out how much control the new Czar should have over military

intelligence officials. A gentlemanly argument is developing between Turner and Defense Secretary Brown over this.... But some trends are clear. The Director of Central Intelligence will be strengthened: his control over budgets, assignments, and the collection of information will be tightened; and he almost certainly will be Admiral Stansfield Turner."

Since then, the same story has appeared in the *New York Times*, the *Nation* magazine, and the *Washington Post* — uniformly couched as a bureaucratic power struggle that Turner is winning.

The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, formerly known as the Church Committee, is also a party to the Turner takeover bid. The Committee's chairman, Sen. Daniel K. Inouye, who had heretofore been blocking with the Pentagon and the CIA "old boys" against the Rockefeller centralization schemes, buckled and gave a ringing endorsement of Turner, and declared his support for an Intelligence Czar in a speech before the intelligence community at Langley, Va. on June 17. Inouye declared: "Under Stan Turner's leadership, the intelligence community, I am certain, will continue to serve the country with distinction.... I believe statute should establish a Director for National Intelligence subject to the direction of the President and the NSC, who would set priorities for, allocate resources to, and have responsibility for, the NSA, the NRO, and the CIA.

Rockefeller intelligence community hatchetman Ray Cline, presently stationed at the Center for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) in Georgetown where Henry Kissinger is also holding forth, divulged in an interview this week that "I'm acting as an unofficial consultant to the Senate Intelligence Committee.... I'm fairly happy with the centralization plan the Committee is coming up with."

Another Rockefeller running dog, Peter Szanton, former head of the Rand Institute of New York, also commented favorably on the development, noting that the plan that is emerging from the Senate Intelligence Committee is similar to that suggested by Frank Church and Kissinger front man Tom Braden last year.

The other major financier faction, grouped around Lehman Brothers, Goldman Sachs, and the Meyers (Lazard Freres) — who refer to themselves as "Our Crowd" — are nervously acceding to the Rockefeller move. To protect their own position and their Fabian private intelligence operations, however, they are demanding that the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence legislate a charter for intelligence agencies. In a June 22 editorial, "The Wrong Debate About Intelligence," the *New York Times* tacitly endorsed the control of the intelligence apparatus under a "super-director," but demanded: "The Senate Intelligence Committee promised to produce charters for the intelligence agencies. It should immediately turn to that task. The Church Committee left the blueprint for a charter that would limit the CIA to foreign operations, severely restrict and control covert activities...shut down the political intelligence work of the FBI, and carefully circumscribe its preventive intelligence activities." They are also obviously anxious to protect and promote the power of their own boys in the Administration — Walter Mondale, Cyrus Vance, Andrew Young, and Bob Bergland.

### What's At Stake

There is a lot at stake for the Rockefellers and their "Our Crowd" financier competitors in the intelligence takeover plot. First and foremost, they are driven to try to cover up the real strategic situation that exists between the USSR and the United States. In recent months leading figures connected to the Defense Intelligence Agency, including General George Keegan, Daniel Graham, and forces at the American Security Council (ASC) and in the so-called "old boys" networks of the CIA, have reported the considerable Soviet nuclear war-fighting and technological superiority over the U.S., and have been pushing for the fast breeder reactor and the development of nuclear fusion power to try to close the gap.

Throughout this fight Rockefeller's hacks in his intelligence takeover bid, Turner and Brown, have insisted that there is "rough equivalence" between the U.S. and the Soviet Union. Both have also admitted that they oppose a strategic war-fighting posture that seeks to ensure that the Soviet Union would not emerge as a viable nation in a nuclear conflict. In fact, the foolish monetarist Turner has not only depicted the Soviet Union as a 19th-century Czarist imperialism, but also preposterously claims that the United States is *technologically superior* to the Soviets! Turner is on record as an advocate of the insane "aura of power" approach to international politics, a strategy that substitutes a Big Bluff for real economic and political strength.

An article titled "America's 'Super-Spook' at Work in a Lion's Den" in the June 26 *Washington Post* revealed considerable opposition building to Turner within the CIA itself. The story reveals that it was Turner who was behind the big hoax CIA report for Jimmy Carter which postulated a massive energy crisis and ridiculously claimed that the Soviet Union would be oil importers by 1985. According to the *Post*, many within the agency disputed Turner's claim and criticized him severely. The *Post* quotes a "long-time CIA employee" as saying, "The CIA is a very unhappy, uncertain place. I question whether Turner has the intellectual depth for his job."

The *Post* notes that "The critics insist Turner has limited their access to him, and they object to many of the 't-grams' he sends around the huge CIA headquarters from his seventh-floor office."

Turner is still Nelson's boy all the way. His chief sponsor is Rhode Island Rockefeller Republican John Chafee, a man whose law firm has in the past directly serviced Nelson and his family. A member of the Rockefellers' Council on Foreign Relations, Turner owes his career to the Rockefellers in a manner similar to the case of armchair general Alexander Haig. In 1969 Chafee, then Secretary of the Navy, brought Turner in as his assistant and later set him up as head of the Naval War College in Providence, R.I. Sources close to Nelson Rockefeller and to the intelligence community say that Rockefeller and Kissinger are building Turner to replace the total flop, David Rockefeller's Zbigniew Brzezinski, as the top Rockefeller dog in the Carter administration.

—Bob Cohen