

French press is reporting a renewed Israeli naval blockade of southern Lebanese ports, and several sources have reported new Israeli incursions, with tanks included, into southern Lebanese towns.

The second key crisis is between Egypt and Libya. Last weekend, Egyptian President Sadat toured the "western front" border with Libya and promised Egyptian military units that Egypt would "hit (Libyan leader) Qaddafi with a thousand times more power than the last time." This tour was followed up days later with a staged hijacking of a French jet bound for Cairo that the Egyptian government immediately pinned on the Libyans; resolute Libyan government refusal to allow the jet to land in Libya, however, stopped this projected "Entebbe" operation cold.

London's *Daily Telegraph* Aug. 9 linked the renewal of Egyptian-Libyan tensions to Sadat's "determination to see the Vance diplomatic mission succeed."

Each of these crises threatens to trigger a massive Soviet response, with incalculable consequences for

world peace. Soviet Chief of Staff Ogarkov is due in days to arrive in Algeria, Libya, and Syria, to promise Soviet backup for these U.S.-targetted regimes. The Soviets have also made it clear that they would regard any U.S. decimation operation against the PLO as a dire provocation.

Complicating the situation is the apparent indecision on the part of European governments. On Aug. 11, the French Foreign Ministry publicly denied persistent rumors that the French government would push for a rewording of 242; Israeli sources indicated that the French have come under intense pressure from Vance to back off from such an initiative.

According to an Arab diplomat in the U.S., Vance has "partly succeeded" in raising tensions in the Mideast with his trip, and the question of war or peace in the region will depend on "the will of Europe's Big Four — West Germany, Italy, France, and Great Britain — to act independent from the U.S." But, "so far," he added, "we haven't seen that will express itself."

Brzezinski Tricks Begin Into Breakaway Ally Set-Up

The following statement was issued on Aug. 1 by Lyndon H. LaRouche, U.S. Labor Party Chairman and Presidential candidate.

Like every head of government who credulously negotiates in good faith with the Carter Administration, Israel's Prime Minister Menachem Begin has been had. The Carter Administration's games with the Israeli, Arab, and other relevant governments are now rapidly moving the Middle East in the direction of Henry Kissinger's longstanding gameplan for that region: regional war according to the Rand Corporation's "breakaway ally" scenario.

I have the strongest reasons to believe Begin's report that the Carter Administration had assured his government that the U.S. would not directly deal with the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO). I do know that, immediately following Begin's arrival in Jerusalem following his USA trip, his government abruptly dropped a number of key options in favor of total commitment to collaboration with the Carter Administration.

Also crucial, if easily overlooked, is the Syrian government's recently launched Inquisition against the tenth century Islamic genius, Ibn Sina. The Israeli government will correctly read that signal in more or less the exact same way in which I do: *jihad!* I also know the origin of that new Inquisition against Arab humanism, at an address within the USA. In effect, both the Syrian and Israeli governments are being trapped into the role of Carter Administration agents, who will now fight one another (according to the Rand Corporation scenario) for the greater riches of Kuhn-Loeb.

No one should be deceived by the Carter Administration's formal dealings with PLO representatives. One of the Carter Administration's included objectives for the overall operation is the total butchery of the PLO — with the complicity of Assad and Chamoun. To one who understands the Arab mind and the implications of Ibn Sina in that context, an Inquisition against Ibn Sina is an ideo-

logical deployment for butchery of the "Mawali"-tainted PLO! I know what the evil tradition of Al-Ghazali signifies in Arab politics. It was the cult of the reactionary Al-Ghazali that was decisive in destroying Arab civilization from within.

The primary objective of the "breakaway ally" scenario is massive destruction of Middle East Arab oil fields, to bring Western Europe and Japan to their knees before the Carter Administration and — more relevantly — the New York Council on Foreign Relations, for which the Carter Administration is merely a tool. I concede that some blockheads among Arabs, Europeans and others stoutly insist that the United States would never do such a thing. The trouble with those blockheads is that they refuse to face the fact that that Carter Administration does not represent the interests of the United States, but a gang of desperate moral imbeciles in lower Manhattan, who are already committed to an energy program that would send the world back to the "Middle Ages" as international terrorist planner and controller Vance proposes. These moral imbeciles, the masters of the current wave of environmentalist terrorism, care nothing to the world, except their determination to rule at all costs.

The complication in the present situation is the Israelis themselves. Prior to his trip to Washington and Manhattan, Prime Minister Begin represented a positive element in world politics, a force which had thrown out the Manhattan-controlled Mapai and was attempting to find a truly independent role for Israel in terms of direct negotiation with Arabs. A reported 900 millions pounds bookkeeping adjustment of the Egyptian debt occurred in time to cause Sadat to break off peace negotiations with Moscow and to launch the attack on Libya on orders from the Carter Administration. The Soviets and most Europeans hesitated to sharply confront Carter on this atrocity, with the result that Begin's peace effort was scrambled, and Begin was thrown to the wolves of Washington and Manhattan to scrape up as much as he

might manage pretty much without serious backing or assistance from those in whose vital interest it was to enhance Begin's capability of maintaining independence.

By trapping the Israeli government in this situation, a hopeful situation of some weeks ago has now been transformed into an incendiary mess. The Israelis have been trapped onto the Rand Corporation "breakaway ally" course, and this circumstance has evoked the so-called "Masada complex," the "flight forward" psychosis which has been a growing potentiality within Israeli politics. Unless this is stopped, Israel will be impelled upon a lunatic-suicidal course from which no influence of reason could dissuade it. This must be turned around immediately, or Israelis will be mobilizing to die in honor of the Polish Ghetto uprising.

Soviet Implications

As the *New York Times* and *International Herald-Tribune* are currently gloating, following the Dartmouth Conference at Riga, Rockefeller agent-of-influence Georgii Arbatov's patrons in the Soviet Politburo have surfaced to shift the course of Soviet policy temporarily in a retrograde direction. To all practical intents, those backers of the current pro-Rockefeller shift are variously witting or unwittingly agents of lower Manhattan financial-political interests.

Evidently, the *New York Times* (mouthpiece of the New York Council on Foreign Relations) has learned nothing from the experience of this past spring. The strong "Oblomovist" tendency around the Soviet leadership makes it susceptible to certain types of Mutt-and-Jeff psychological warfare tricks. However, that same well-documented and much-discussed psychological flaw in the Soviet leadership has a complementary side. Once someone threatens to directly set fire to Oblomov's bed or rape "Mother Russia," the duped Politburo peasant mentality explodes in towering rage.

The most stupid, in fact suicidal, game to play at this juncture is to attempt to resurrect Henry Kissinger's games with the Soviet Oblomovist tendency. The best chance of peace is to ensure that the Soviet leadership is rationally and correctly exploring genuine pathways to world peace. The Soviet forces which are rational to that effect are the so-called "hardliners" and the Soviet military. By attempting to restore the influence of Rockefeller agent Georgii Arbatov and his backers in the

Politburo, and thus subjecting the Soviets to the gross disinformation about the OECD countries which pathological liar Arbatov and his cronies represent, the situation is being set up in which the Soviets will reject workable alternatives for preventing war, and will simply explode in total-war rage at the point of the thermonuclear showdown being projected by the New York Council on Foreign Relations.

The lunatics in Manhattan refuse to face the fact that the Soviet economy is not in trouble, that the Soviets are not about to run out of oil reserves, and that no matter how many articles Rockefeller's Georgii Arbatov sneaks into even *Red Star*, any effort to bluff the Soviets, to gain a strategic position to their decisive disadvantage means total war! Just to make the point all the clearer, the NATO countries are being plunged toward total war under circumstances in which NATO is not qualified to fight that sort of war, but only the conventional, semi-conventional or "theater-limited" scenarios which the Warsaw Pact forces will either avoid or react to immediately with total war.

Is there any general officer in Europe so incompetent that he would wish to fight a total war under the leadership of Zbigniew Brzezinski and General Alexander Haig, former Kissinger stooge and White House office-boy?

This is the time for sane forces with state power to take dramatic action to put the world on a sane course. We are all running out of minutes — I and others on Zbigniew Brzezinski's Baader-Meinhof hitlist are not the only ones in this category. The majority of us in the OECD countries, centered around the industrialists and trade unionists, are absolutely committed to industrial progress and nuclear power. Let us then act now to put these policies into motion and steamroller those liberal and "left" imbeciles who are wailing and shrieking — and committing terrorist crimes in opposition to the absolute welfare of humanity. Many of us are attempting to move in the right direction, but there is not sufficient time to develop these alternatives at the pace now considered sufficient.

For diplomatic reasons, I shall not publicize the measures needed to stop the Middle East war. However, I am available to any responsible, suitable person to state my evaluations. This damned war can be stopped, but for once some key people will have to approach the matter finally in my way — nothing else is going to succeed.