

# Congress Investigates Zaire Fairy Tale

*The following analysis was released on May 29 by U.S. Labor Party Chairman Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.*

At the close of this past week, authoritative agencies of the U.S. Congress acted to force a Carter Administration accounting for the source of the fairy tale that Angola-based Cuban forces were behind the recent mercenary bloodbath in Zaire's Shaba province. Early during the week, a committee chaired by Congressman Diggs heard

McGovern and others are acting with the knowledge that the U.S. electorate is strongly polarized against a "new Vietnam in Africa."

testimony that the *Bild Zeitung* version of the Shaba incident was a hoax. Later in the week, a committee of the Senate acted.

If the inquiry is successful, the Carter Administration's rejection of the *Bild Zeitung* version of the Zaire affair will clear the way for closer cooperation among the U.S.A., the Federal Republic, France, and Japan on Africa and Middle East policies. Although the White House and Secretary of State Cyrus Vance are cautiously repeating milder versions of the hoax, the U.S. State Department is not repeating the fairy tale with any notable enthusiasm. If White House and State Department are freed from the influence of the hoax, the White House and Vance will immediately gain a freer hand against their factional opponents, such as Henry A. Kissinger and Zbigniew Brzezinski on many issues, including the Schmidt-Brezhnev accords.

At this moment, the leading spokesman for the congressional inquiry into the hoax is a former U.S. presidential candidate, Senator George McGovern. McGovern's public statements this past week leave no doubt that he views the "Cuba-backed invasion of Zaire" correctly as a falsification. McGovern and others are acting with the knowledge that the U.S. electorate is strongly polarized against a "new Vietnam in Africa," with a recent poll showing that 73 percent of the electorate is committed to detente with the Soviet Union.

### *The Two CIA Reports*

A key element in these actions by the Congress is the fact that there are two CIA reports — not one — on the mercenary operation in Zaire. One is a report issued by the office of CIA Director Admiral Stansfield Turner,

reportedly three inches thick. Turner's report supports the fairy tale version of the affair issued by Reuters and Henry A. Kissinger. The other report is reportedly issued by senior professionals of the CIA and is circulating widely among circles authorized to receive communications of such classification. The second report is indicated to refute the *Bild Zeitung* version of the affair.

Reports have it that Admiral Turner and his allies have "long knives" out against the present and former CIA senior officials Turner views as responsible for the second report.

This fight within the USA intelligence community is a continuation of a factional struggle dating from the take-over of the CIA directorship by Kissinger protégé James R. Schlesinger during the Nixon Administration. Beginning mid-1977 with the discharge of Deputy Director E. Henry Knoche and successive discharges of senior CIA officials in lots of hundreds, the bitterness against Kissinger, Vice President Walter F. Mondale, Admiral Turner, Zbigniew Brzezinski, and other accomplices of this "night of the long knives" has

Informed U.S. intelligence circles regard Turner, Kissinger, and others as de facto agents of a foreign power, the British Monarchy, sometimes referring to Turner as an "Admiral of the Queen's Navy."

escalated. Informed U.S. intelligence circles regard Turner, Kissinger, and others as de facto agents of a foreign power, the British Monarchy, sometimes referring to Turner as an "Admiral of the Queen's Navy."

In effect, the CIA intelligence and operations capability has ceased to exist in many key parts of the world. CIA private channels are being systematically gutted currently by Turner, Mondale, Brzezinski, and others, through an operation run largely under the cover of the U.S. Office of Naval Intelligence. The recent action by the U.S. Department of Justice against one Soviet UN official and two other persons detained on espionage charges is reported by authoritative circles as a hoax rigged by the Office of Naval Intelligence to cut off long-standing CIA liason channels with their Soviet opposite numbers.

The issue, in the views of senior members of the U.S. intelligence community, is that the government is being stripped of all independent confidential information gathering and evaluations capabilities. The office of the Director of the CIA is being transformed into a mimeographing service of the British Monarchy's Secret Intelligence Service.

In the view of patriotic U.S. senior intelligence officials, some military professionals, and key political

The mercenaries used were the same forces formerly associated with the operations of Moise Tshombe on behalf of the Belgium-based mining interests.

figures, the false information circulated by Kissinger, Turner, and Brzezinski's office concerning the Zaire affair represented an effort to drag the USA into a "new Vietnam" in Africa, as the British Monarchy set up and pushed USA involvement in Vietnam itself beginning with the Kennedy Administration.

The London-manufactured hoax concerning Zaire is viewed as much more important than a mere choice of issues on which to counterattack the destruction of U.S. intelligence capabilities. It represents the British Monarchy's use of falsified information in an effort to manipulate U.S. policy into a USA-USSR confrontation in Africa.

#### *The Facts of Zaire*

According to the highest levels of various governments and key private intelligence sources, the following facts concerning the mercenary operation in Zaire's Shaba province are as follows.

There was no armed invasion, properly speaking. The arms used by the mercenaries were taken from prepared caches in Shaba province itself. The mercenaries infiltrated the province as unarmed tourists, totaling about 1500. These infiltrators, combined with persons already waiting "in place" in the province, deployed on signal to the waiting arms caches, and then launched their operation from inside the province.

The principal coordination of the operation was from Brussels, Belgium. The forces behind the action included the same complex of financial and mining interests

The Belgian forces involved leaked the information of French action, prompting the mercenaries to conduct their bloodbath against the European technicians in the occupied localities.

which toppled Patrice Lumumba and directed the mercenary operation a few years later, the same forces which directed the abortive operation of last year. The means for bringing the additional arms for the caches were controlled by Belgium-based mining concerns. The

mercenaries used were the same forces formerly associated with the operations of Moise Tshombe on behalf of the Belgium-based mining interests.

The government of France acted with indicated awareness of the nature of the operation, dispatching forces to Shaba province to preempt the situation before the arrival of Belgian military forces. The Belgian forces involved leaked the information of French action, prompting the mercenaries to conduct their bloodbath against the European technicians in the occupied localities.

According to highest level African sources, the immediate trigger for the Brussels coordinated mercenary operation was twofold. First, as was the case in the earlier incident in which French forces deployed to aid the Zairean government, East bloc representatives, this time working in cooperation with Congo-Brazzaville, were initiating peace negotiations between Mobutu's government and the government of Angola. Second, Mobutu had acted to direct that payments for Zairean mineral exports should be processed through the bank of Zaire, rather than the Belgian banking system. The Belgians, together with British International Monetary Fund officials, were enraged by President Mobutu Sese Seko's actions, and the mercenary operation was set into motion.

#### *The Broader Implications*

The Belgian role in deploying the mercenaries is not a reflection of anything but the credulousness of the Belgian nation and its electorate. The forces behind this,

So, as the London and Brussels forces launched their mercenary operation they were in fact acting knowingly and directly against USA and French interests and allies in Africa generally.

the same forces behind the operation against Patrice Lumumba, are London-based financial interests, interests closely linked with the London branch of the House of Rothschild. Despite significant U.S. investment in King Leopold's former private plantation of Congo, the continuing, historical control of the Shaba province mining interests is the City of London's financial interests and commodity exchange, in respect to which the relevant Belgian interests are junior partners of London.

Belgium's special problem in this arrangement is the Belgian national debt, a monstrous edifice squatting on the backs of the Belgian national economy. This monstrous debt depends upon continued flows of debits and profits from Zairean mineral exports, a problem which cannot escape the notice of Prime Minister Tindemanns and his Foreign Minister, Simonet.

The London interests and the Belgian debt put London and Brussels into direct factional opposition to French and U.S. interests in Zaire. Manhattan's Citibank has a major role in lending to the Zairean government. French

interests in Zaire emphasize the importance of stabilizing and improving that nation's mineral export activity. So, as the London and Brussels forces launched their mercenary operation they were in fact acting knowingly and directly against USA and French interests and allies in Africa generally.

This is an old pattern in the region. Since the U.S. Eisenhower Administration and French President De Gaulle's Africa policy, there has been an intensifying conflict between London, on the one side, and France and the USA on the other, in this region. This was reflected in the character of operations against the Angolan MPLA (the Popular Movement for the Liberation of Angola) and the government of Agostinho Neto. The USA-linked operation was tied to Kinshasa and run through Mobutu brother-in-law Holden Roberto. The British operation against Angola was centered in the UNITA gang, and was run in cooperation with elements of Israeli intelligence and the Oppenheimer-linked Botha forces of the Republic of South Africa.

The wires did become somewhat crossed when Henry Kissinger became U.S. Secretary of State. Kissinger demanded that President Mobutu conduit arms to the British-Botha-controlled UNITA forces. It was the British gang for which Kissinger proceeded to recruit U.S. Vietnam veterans as mercenaries.

Kissinger has been tied to the British Monarchy's intelligence service continuously since his supervision by William Yandell Elliott, the former top British intelligence agent at Harvard University. One can justly imagine a wire leading from the London Reuters headquarters to the back of Kissinger's head where it is attached. When Reuters types in London, the print-out appears from Kissinger's mouth. (Reuters has been a branch of the British Intelligence Service — SIS — since Lord Milner's takeover of that former news agency prior to World War I. Every Reuters regular staffer is a British intelligence agent. The BBC was created as a branch of the SIS).

The base for these operations of British intelligence in that region of Africa is the territory associated with the Lunda Tribe. This tribe has been a puppet of British intelligence operations since Cecil Rhodes's operations in that region. The region represents portions of Zambia, Angola, and Zaire, including the so-called uncontrolled territories of Zambia. It was from that portion of Zambia that the bulk of the mercenary infiltration was launched.

This Lunda tribe region is also one of the major concentrations of foreign intelligence operatives in the world today including, at last report, three top operatives of the Israeli intelligence service. The predominant intelligence service activity is British, Belgian, Chinese, South African, and Israeli, with Ian Smith's Rhodesia crew trailing along. Other intelligence services are present, chiefly concerned with keeping a watch on those British-centered forces controlling the Lunda tribe.

This region is the center of a British secret plot for triggering a general bloodbath throughout Africa, a plot reflected on the USA side in the "Tar-Baby Scenario" report of Anthony Lake of the Institute for Policy Studies.

The British plot, coordinated significantly through two branches of SIS — the Institute for the Study of Conflict and the London International Institute for Race

Relations, and such subbranches of SIS as the Amsterdam Transnational Institute, proposes to create a medley of Black-versus-Black and Black-versus-White bloodbaths in this region of Africa, into which the forces of the USA, China and USSR are drawn. (Anthony Lake's use of the name "Tar-Baby" alludes to a folk fable in which an animal of a children's story strikes a manikin constructed of tar. The animal becomes stuck, and is then easy prey for — in this case, London.)

London and Brussel's eruptions of rage against Zairean President Mobutu intersected London's fury against the detente efforts of West German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt and France's President Giscard d'Estaing. It also intersected London's fury against USA United Nations' Ambassador Andrew Young, and President Carter's diplomatic affinity for the Nigerian government and Zambia's Kenneth L. Kaunda. Without some destabilization of southern and central Africa at this time, Rhodesia's Ian Smith will be forced to accept "majority rule," and the heirs of Kruger in the Republic of South Africa will move in cooperation with U.S. Secretary of State Cyrus Vance and others to settle the Namibia issue.

In brief, London's immediate rage against President Mobutu coincided in effect with other points of timing for London's effort to bring Peking mercenaries into Africa — proceeding toward setting up a pacific-centered thermonuclear-war posture between the USSR and a USA-China alliance.

#### *The Shi'ite Parallels*

The truth concerning the Zaire events is more easily understood by examining a comparable case: the Israeli intelligence alliance with the Baghdad-based leader of the Shi'ite Islamic sect.

Beginning with William Pitt the Younger (the evil "Pizzarro" of Beethoven's opera "Fidelio"), the Oxford and Cambridge-based SIS set up a series of Islamic branches of British Secret Intelligence. One of these British fronts was named Ismaili, another Sunni (to which former IMF head Witteveen adheres), and a third

A successful "watergate" against Admiral Turner on the issue of the faked CIA report will most probably uncork the genie's bottle.

Shi'ite. The headquarters of the Shi'ite branch of British Secret Intelligence is Baghdad, with close cooperation with the top British agent inside the government of Saudi Arabia.

The Shi'ite operation ranges chiefly from inside Iraq, through Iran, Afghanistan, Pakistan, into Bengal. Throughout the Middle East and Subcontinent, the Shi'ite branch of British intelligence is closely interfaced with Peking's intelligence service, and with elements of the socialist international, for example, India. Recently, its deep connections to Israeli intelligence have broken to the surface in Lebanon.

The recent exposure of the Israeli intelligence alliance

with Bagdad's Shi'ites occurred as a result of close cooperation between Shi'ite forces in Lebanon and Israeli agent Colonel Haddad, the commander of Israel's Christian-Lebanese forces in southern Lebanon.

To my direct knowledge, Henry Kissinger, in close cooperation with Israeli intelligence and London, developed and coordinated the civil war which ravaged Lebanon beginning April 1975. I am well informed of both the principal elements of that criminal operation and of the credulous follies of Bagdad and other Arab centers in being manipulated by this operation. Just as I am informed that the overthrow and threatened assassination of former Prime Minister Bhutto of Pakistan was ordered by Henry Kissinger personally, and conducted by Shi'ite elements collaborating with Peking. Just as I am informed that my esteemed friend, former Foreign Minister Fred Wills of Guyana, was also targeted for "termination" by Henry Kissinger personally. It is also London and Henry Kissinger who are responsible for the attempted coup against Shah-in-Shah Pahlevi of Iran.

*If McGovern Succeeds*

In the light of these facts, Senator George McGovern's act of this past week is not to be viewed as merely an impending "watergate" of corrupt CIA Director

Stansfield Turner and Henry Kissinger. The most vital strategic interests of the USA, France, and the Federal Republic are the real issue behind this matter of a falsified CIA report.

Kissinger is deeply hated throughout the U.S. population. Local Republican organizations are at the verge of open revolt over the Republican National Committee's disgraceful tailing after the lying Judas-goat Kissinger. The complex of Kissinger, Kennedy, Mondale, Brzezinski and Turner is hated for patriotic reasons by widespread strata among military, industrial, regional banking and other influential circles. Kissinger ally James Schlesinger is despised and hated.

A successful "Watergate" against Admiral Turner on the issue of the faked CIA report will most probably uncork the genie's bottle. All those London-allied forces in the USA now working to sabotage the efforts of France and the Federal Republic will face massive threats to their careers of influence. At the least, such action would free the hands of president Jimmy Carter, Secretary Cyrus Vance, and Ambassador Andrew Young to pursue the economic development strategy for Africa which Young has put forward and which President Carter has publicly embraced.