

IMF pressures Guyana against LaRouche

The brutal economic policy behind world terrorism

Last week the Executive Intelligence Review published statements by the U.S. Labor Party's chairman Lyndon LaRouche and its Executive Committee on assassination plots against LaRouche and former Guyanese Foreign Minister Fred Wills. Those statements cited the activities of a minor Guyanese government official, Kester Alves, and stressed the interests of the International Monetary Fund in this form of terrorism.

This week we present an analysis by LaRouche of the economic policy basis of the IMF operation, focusing on the blackmail control exercised by foreign banking institutions over many countries, including Israel, and the terror methods used to maintain that control. The article is reprinted from the Sept. 15 issue of the Labor Party newspaper New Solidarity.

On the heels of the fairly widely circulated slander against U.S. Labor Party chairman LaRouche by New York-trained Maoist Kester Alves, other Guyanese persons acting under direction of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) have now escalated that same line of slanderous attack. The IMF's included motivation in this matter is to foster two early political assassinations, that of LaRouche and of former Guyana Foreign Minister Fred Wills.

The Peru precedent

We are familiar with the foul practices of the IMF, Henry A. Kissinger and others to this same effect. The earlier case of Peru is exemplary.

The Latin American Labor Committee was organized in Peru at the invitation of the government of that nation. Although Labor Committees' literature had been widely circulated in that nation for several years, the organization of a Peruvian Labor Committee organization and the establishment of an NSIPS press office in Lima were both actions prompted at the suggestion of representatives of the Peruvian government.

The leadership of the Peruvian government was then predominantly Catholics dedicated to the 1967 *Populorum Progressio* policies of Pope Paul VI. Al-

though *one faction* of the Peruvian Communist Party and leadership of certain large trade unions supported the government, and although the governments of the Soviet Union and German Democratic Republic developed friendly relations with the government, the Kissinger line, that the Peruvian government was "leftist" or "Communist" in impulse, was simply a lie.

During late 1975, the government of Peru, then a leader among the nations working for a new world monetary system, came under cruel pressures from the IMF, World Bank, RAND Corporation and Henry A. Kissinger's personal gang of thugs. It was demanded that Peru submit to what are today generically termed "IMF conditions," the same conditions to which Guyana was forced to submit more recently.

In addition to hideous austerity demands and both financial and economic warfare against Peru, the nation was threatened with the RAND Corporation's "Second War of the Pacific." Under this Kissinger-RAND scenario, the conflicts between the Bolivian government and Kissinger's puppet-government of pro-Hitler Pinochet over Bolivia's access to the Pacific would be the pretext and trigger for launching a war in which Peru would come simultaneously under attack from Ecuador and from the south. This same scenario involved options for directly, quickly pushing Brazil, Argentina and other nations into this same "Balkan-modeled" conflict, unleashing general war throughout the South American continent.

This scenario for a "Thirty Years War" in South America was echoed by a Kissinger project for similar general conflict in the Central America-Caribbean region. That was directly complemented by the Kissinger-coordinated, attempted 1976 destabilization of the Mexican government of President Echeverria. It was paralleled by a similar Kissinger scenario for generating a hideous sort of general warfare in all of southern Africa: racial warfare, intraracial war, and so forth.

The unwillingness of the Soviet Union to risk confronting Kissinger directly in Latin America caused the Soviet Ambassador to Peru to refuse Peruvian requests for economic assistance to aid it in undertaking

a unilateral reorganization of its nation's external indebtedness. This turn-down from the Soviet Union proved crucial; step by step, the resistance of the Peruvian government crumbled during 1976. Pressures from the outside were complemented by subversive destabilization activities from Peking-coordinated Maoists and British-controlled Apristas.

Former leaders of the Peruvian government were not only "sacrificed" on demands from Kissinger and IMF, but later imprisoned and, in some cases, cruelly tortured. The powerful fishermen's union was broken up and its parts crushed. In the conclusion of this phase of submission to "IMF conditions," members of the Peruvian Labor Committees were subjected to vicious, fraudulent charges and outright political repression. Under pressures from London and Kissinger circles, the NSIPS office in Lima was closed down.

In this process one of the new IMF-selected appointees to government positions took the lead in organizing this political repression and in slandering the U.S. Labor Party in international transactions. For the moment, we were left to weep at the cruel, fascist forms of austerity the IMF and Kissinger had succeeded in imposing upon the isolated, helpless nation of Peru.

The Guyana case

The Guyana case is similar. Just as we have had amiable relations with the government of the present President of Peru, so we have always had amiable, collaborative relations with the government of Forbes Burnham of Guyana. However, Forbes Burnham is now caught in the same IMF "prison" as President Morales Bermudez of Peru. The faults now associated with those two governments do not originate within the national government, but are cruelly imposed upon presidents who must weep for their country, inaudibly, behind the closed doors of their most private quarters. These are men, like Forbes Burnham who cling to power as the great conductor Wilhelm Furtwaengler clung to the Berlin podium during the Hitler regime: unable to stop the evil, but believing that their presence in their positions offers the best visible chance for ameliorating the suffering of their people.

In the same vein, our concern at this moment is to create conditions such that the City of London's intent to overthrow Forbes Burnham is hindered and, preferably, altogether defeated. This means to defend Burnham in part by exposing the London-allied forces preparing various aspects of the projected fascist coup, including such creatures as Maoist Alves, creatures planted into the Burnham government itself under dictated "IMF conditions."

The Mobutu case

During the past spring this writer's representatives had close discussions with the highest level circles of the Zairean government of President Mobutu Sese

Seko. These discussions developed in the setting of the London-Brussels invasion of Zaire's Shaba province through proxy forces of the Lunda tribe from the "controlled territories" of Lunda population in Zambia and Angola. The immediate sponsors and armers of the invasion force were the LonRho company and the Belgian Société Générale.

There were two, intersecting reasons for that invasion.

Immediately, the first objective of the London-Brussels conspirators was to topple the Zaire government in a process including the projected separation of Shaba province from Zaire. The trigger for this action was the Zaire government's transfer of flow of payments for Zairian exports from control of the Belgian banks to the Zairian national bank.

More broadly, the destabilization of Zaire dovetailed with the joint intentions of Kissinger, Brzezinski and London — directly contrary to the policies of the U.S. State Department and U.S. government policies generally, to trigger a general "Thirty Years War" scenario throughout southern Africa. For related reasons, Brzezinski, Kissinger and CIA Director Admiral Stansfield Turner lied variously in their reports to the White House, in their lobbying around Congress, and in their public statements on the Shaba affair.

It is to be emphasized that our own analysis and facts concerning the Shaba affair were in concurrence with those of various European and African governments. Hence, Turner, Brzezinski, and Kissinger were not "mistaken," but lied respecting the information available to them from the governments most directly involved in resisting British-Belgian atrocities in that matter. They lied in behalf of the British intelligence services. Since Henry Kissinger conducted himself in such a fashion as to provoke President Kennedy's ordering the firing of "that lunatic" from the government, Kissinger has been consistently a liar in behalf of the British intelligence services.

Fortunately, in the Shaba province case, the government of Zaire was not lacking in aid from French and other forces. Zaire was not obliged to submit to crushing demands in the way in which more isolated governments of Peru, Guyana, Jamaica and others have been obliged to submit to surrendering their national sovereignties and permitting a colonialist dictatorship by virtual occupation forces of the IMF.

Despite the frantic efforts of London and such British agents as Henry A. Kissinger, trends in Africa are moving predominantly in the direction of stability and the new world economic order associated with the Bremen EEC agreements.

LonRho, the company which conduits British intelligence operations throughout the former British colonies of black Africa, has recently attempted to penetrate and influence the forces of Nkomo, and to add its subversive contributions to British and IMF pressures on the Zambian government of Kenneth Kaunda. Lately, London and LonRho attempted to cash in on the "debts" Nkomo has incurred indirectly

through LonRho aid, to force Nkomo into an agreement with British puppet Ian Smith, an agreement intended to trigger black-against-black war in the ranks of the Patriotic Front, and to shatter the alliance among the front-line states.

Fortunately, through various agencies, including Tanzania's President Julius Nyerere, the dirty little LonRho-Owen game-plan around Nkomo and Smith was publicized, and remedial measures taken to preserve the alliance of Nkomo and Mugabe. British puppet Ian Smith has naturally exploded in a monstrous rage of sheer frustration, shrieking "betrayal," and threatening war crimes against Zambia on this account. If Smith engages in such operations, he will go out with a bloody shirt, not in the peaceful manner offered to him as an alternative.

Other important developments have also occurred.

Various sponsoring nations have aided Angola and Zaire to reach agreements in Brazzaville, the host city for their negotiations. New openings are developing between the Republic of South Africa and Angola. The matter of the former German colony of Southwest Africa is in process of solution, with West German economic aid in substantial amounts aiding in making those arrangements durable. The consolidation of the Bremen agreements will set into motion French-German initiatives in realizing the alternatives developed around the leadership of Baader-Meinhof-murdered Dresdner Bank head Juergen Ponto: the racial problems of southern Africa will be solved within a context of durable common interest among the races, based upon a massive, joint participation in a high-technology development of industry, agriculture and infrastructure throughout the region.

If this were to fail — as London, Kissinger and Brzezinski are determined to cause failure — then all southern Africa falls prey to a genocidal "Thirty Years War" scenario.

The Israel case

Although the major press media ignore this basic reality, Israel's current national policies are dictated not by "Zionism" nor by Israeli national interests. They are dictated by "IMF conditions." The present government of Israel is under blackmail control by those foreign institutions which control Israel's \$10.7 billion external debt, and which also control the miserable hand-to-mouth financial rations doled out to the government of Israel — each time, in return for a shift in Israeli domestic and foreign policies as dictated by London and allied controllers of Israel's finances.

In consequence, Israel is presently living under a fascist economic order like that prevailing in Nazi Germany during the 1933-1936 period. There is no contradiction in the fact that these very policies were prescribed by the same economic fascist, Milton Friedman, whose policies govern the fascist dictatorship in Chile, and overlay the fascist policies earlier prescribed by Hjalmar Schacht admirer Abba Lerner.

The "IMF conditions" are explicitly fascist policies which sweep away the sovereignties of those nations forced to submit, reducing the victim-nation to a neo-colonialist satrapy of the IMF dictatorship over its domestic and foreign policies, the composition of its government, and every other tiny detail of its economic life. *This is what Milton Friedman and other associates of the "fascist international" (the Black-Maltese Mont Pelerin Society) mean by "free enterprise."*

It is not inconsistent that Friedman is a "libertarian" maverick Keynesian, with Vienna School complications, and that Lerner ranks among the leading "liberal" Keynesians in the USA. Nor is it accidental that Lerner is a close collaborator of social-democratic intelligence operative Sidney Hook. The same "ex-Trotskyist" Hook is closely interlocked with the machine of William F. Buckley, through such common "ex-Trotskyist" points of past and present interest as Max Eastman and James Burnham. The exemplification of John Maynard Keynes is the introduction to the first edition of his *General Theory*, published in Berlin, in German, in 1936. In that introduction, Keynes proposes that the form of government then existing in Germany, the Hitler government, was the sort of society to which his monetary doctrines were most appropriately dedicated. The circle is closed by the fact that both Friedman and Lerner have publicly and repeatedly defended their admiration for the same Hjalmar Schacht who designed Nazi Germany's economic and monetary policies. Schacht was not only a protégé and agent of S.G. Warburg, but his policies were based on the counsel of S.G. Warburg. The fact that Friedman and Lerner are ostensibly Jewish, and advisors to Israel is not axiomatically inconsistent with their admiration of Nazi economic policies and of Nazi economic czar Hjalmar Schacht.

We know exactly what Milton Friedman and the Heritage Foundation mean by "free enterprise"; they mean fascism, pure and simple. We know why the Heritage Foundation launched its "free enterprise" campaign against the Bremen EEC agreements and in support of the "IMF conditions" during this past June. What they mean by "free enterprise" is freedom of the City of London financial interests to impose fascist dictatorship over the world — or, at least most of the world.

Guyana and Jamaica go to pot

The case of Guyana is a most efficient illustration of what "IMF conditions" really mean. Under a series of downward ratchetings of Guyana's economy and credit, not only are the indices of Guyanese production sliding, but the population has been driven during the recent two years preceding the present "IMF condition" dictatorship, to a bare, fragile subsistence level. The hideous deeper slashes in personal consumption ordered by the IMF mean that large portions of the

population are immediately driven below the subsistence level.

The combination of below-subsistence consumption and labor-intensive projects of the sort demanded by the IMF and World Bank, and proposed by the "Brandt Commission," mean nothing but an exact duplication of the Nazi slave-labor/death-camp system. The combination of labor-intensive occupations with below-subsistence consumption means in Guyana today, as it meant in Nazi-occupied territories during 1943-1945, a systematic elimination of "excess populations" of "useless eaters."

Caribbean nations such as Jamaica and Guyana, which ought to be aluminum exporters, and have other capabilities for moving upward toward economic prosperity as nations, are instead driven downward in their gross and per-capita output trends, toward sub-productive forms of primitive, labor-intensive projects of the sort prescribed by the "Brandt Commission." This is Schachtian genocide, exactly paralleling the way in which the Nazi's Schachtian policies of "fiscal austerity" motivated the slave-labor/death-camp system during the 1943-1945 period. This is what Friedman, Brandt, Lerner, Robert McNamara, and others demand for most developing nations, including Israel, Peru, Chile, Ecuador, Guyana, Jamaica.

Now, look briefly at what the IMF proposes in the way of new export earnings for Guyana, Jamaica and Colombia. *Marijuana!* Starving populations in Jamaica, Guyana are instructed by the IMF and others to "grow pot" — not food! — for export into the United States. Not only will each U.S. pot-headed nitwit be destroying his own mind and body, and contributing to the drug culture which is destroying even pre-puberty schoolchildren of his neighbors, but the smoke rising from that wretched "joint" will be smoke rising from the Nazi death camps of slave labor in the IMF dictatorships of Jamaica, Guyana, and other countries.

Israel's current foreign policy ironically underlines the same point. The immediate ally of Israel in the Middle East, the ally in whose behalf Israel is prepared to trigger general thermonuclear war, is a Nazi-created Nazi organization, the Falange, headed to this day by Hitler-appointee and Hitler-lover, Pierre Gemayel. Marching in support of hideous crimes against humanity perpetrated by the Nazi Falange, the Israeli military, the new auxiliary forces of the continuing heritage of Adolf Hitler, themselves commit war crimes against women and children of Lebanon, all the while shouting "Never Again!" and

pretending that this policy and practice is accomplished in honor of the Jews who died at the hands of the Nazis during World War II.

U.S. Labor Party policy

Any government which willingly supports the policies of Israel, which supports or tolerates the "IMF conditions," which supports or tolerates the policies of Milton Friedman, the Heritage Foundation, the Mont Pelerin Society, today is a government which is in flagrant violation of human rights, in defiance of the Nuremberg Code provisions of the United Nations, in defiance of current international law.

In face of the facts we have summarized, any policy which is in conflict with the U.S. Labor Party's on these matters is either criminal, cynically immoral, or merely a reflection of moral imbecility. There are practices by governments and other institutions against humanity which are so hideous, as Justice Jackson argued at Nuremberg, that no positive law or treaty is required to render the perpetrator a criminal in the eyes of humanity. Any state which condones such practices, by pretending to ignore such practices when that government should have known of their existence, is complicit in that criminality.

In the persons of Henry A. Kissinger and Zbigniew Brzezinski today, we have persons who merit being brought to account beside Hitler, Himmler, Goering, Hess, and others in the Nuremberg dock. Robert McNamara also belongs in that same dock, as does former IMF official Witteveen. So does Israeli Defense Minister Ezer Weizman.

Toward the perpetrators of such hideous crimes no considerations of politeness or diplomacy are admissible. The only policy is: cease these practices or we shall crush you at the first instant we have been given the means to do so. Our spies and other persons working undercover to aid our purpose will, of course, be polite and diplomatic, as the business of deception requires.

Toward such creatures as Kester Alves, already self-designated as a moral imbecile by his profession of Maoism, such a miserable errand-boy of the fascist IMF and the City of London, no diplomacy or politeness by the U.S. Labor Party is permissible. Forbes Burnham has our deep compassion, but those miserable creatures now engaged in plotting his overthrow, and aiding the assassination of Fred Wills and LaRouche, will be treated as the most fundamental principles of morality prescribe.