

The Jones cult and the Mau Mau model

The 'defectors' group is key to the looming terrorist deployment

The following informational report was prepared by the U.S. Labor Party Security Section.

Law enforcement officers and private public interest groups investigating the terrorist potentialities of the mass suicide in Guyana must make themselves familiar with the essential features of the two-sided Mau Mau operation of British intelligence services in Africa. The orchestrated gang and countergang deployment of the main Jones group and the so-called "defectors" group conforms in every essential detail of pedigrees and deployments to the gang-countergang operation which the British ran in the Kenyan Mau Mau operation.

The writings of Brigadier Frank Kitson of British intelligence provide the law enforcement officer with all but one crucial fact one needs to know to cope with the dangers posed by the so-called "Jones defectors" group. Kitson merely omits to mention that the original Mau Mau group was created by British intelligence; the rest of the tale as given by Kitson is close to the truth on essentials.

We outline the basic features of the British Mau Mau operation first. Then, we indicate the crucial points of agreement defined by superimposing the Jones follower-defector deployment pattern on the Mau Mau model.

The Mau Mau operation

The basic elements of the British intelligence services' Mau Mau gang-countergang operation in Kenya are as follows:

1. Creation of the gang: The original Mau Mau cult, under the titular leadership of London-trained, "radical" Kenyan nationalist Jomo Kenyatta, was created by the British intelligence service as an Anglican Jesuit operation coordinated by the British Psychological Warfare Division at the Tavistock Institute (Sussex University).

2. Phase one of the deployment: The overall operation was set into motion through a wave of Mau Mau raids against (principally) farms owned by European settlers, with some killing of black Kenyans to spice up the horror effects.

This produced hysterical polarization among the black and white populations of Kenya, with both white-versus-black and black-versus-black features

included. This hysteria secured the British the mandate for launching phase two.

3. Phase two of the deployment: A covert, fake Mau Mau gang headed by disguised native whites was deployed as a terrorist force, while a public relations cover was developed around a group of Mau Mau "defectors." "Revelations" about the Mau Mau were prepared by British intelligence and played through the mouths of the controlled "defectors" group. The defectors group thus provided the cover required to aid terrorist operations deployed by the covert, killer squads for which the "defectors" served as a front group.

4. Phase three of the deployment: Fake Mau Mau gangs deployed by British intelligence perpetrated terror against black villages in the populated areas of Mau Mau deployment. This bloody "chaos and confusion" operation impelled the villagers to distance themselves in terror from the real Mau Mau. The Mau Mau forces were thus herded into a concentration in which a final air-assisted search-and-seal paramilitary operation wiped out the organization.

5. Model for the operation—Malaya: The "wet-run" model for the Kenya Mau Mau operation was made at the close of World War II in Malaya.

During the war, the British intelligence under China-Burma-India Theater coordination of "that bastard" Lord Mountbatten, and such subalterns as Enoch Powell, had created in the Malaysia area a reserve guerrilla force headed by the Chao Chou opium-running networks of the "golden triangle." The British never fully equipped or armed this ethnic Chinese force during the military operations against the forces of Japan. However, at the conclusion of the war, the ethnic Chinese guerrilla reserves were supplied with arms and other equipment in adequate supply for a full-scale, protracted guerrilla operation.

Guerrilla assaults on plantations (especially) were used as a pretext for setting the counteroperation into motion. It was in this setting that "Malaysia" Thompson and others developed the techniques which Thompson and others introduced to CIA operations in Vietnam at the beginning of the 1960s — the techniques which contributed in the greatest degree to developing in-depth support for the National Liberation Front forces in the rural regions of South Vietnam.

The British obsessively refuse to comprehend the

qualitative distinction between such models as "war games" or "controlled experiments" and actual wars involving in-depth warfare considerations. However, the lessons of Mountbatten's bloody controlled experiment in Malaysia did provide a reference point for a successful gang-countergang operation in Kenya, precisely because Kenya represented a controlled experiment — where Vietnam did not.

6. Policy motives for Mau Mau: Jomo Kenyatta, prior to the Mau Mau operation, was a titular leading figure among Kenyans oriented toward national independence. The British were prepared to give Kenya nominal independence as, predominantly, an agricultural goods and raw materials exporter, within the monetary order of the British Commonwealth. The British were fearful that the newly liberated colonies would opt for either the American Revolution or the Soviet case as a model — a model of dedication to urban-centered general progress in technological development of agriculture, industry, and infrastructure. The British needed to draw potential national leaders into an operation in which those leaders and associated layers of the native populations would be sufficiently tamed to submit to the British version of "national independence."

In general, the combined Mau Mau operation was to subject Kenya to a "bloody example" of terror for this desired effect.

The Kissinger policy for southern Africa was and is based on the same British-designed Mau Mau model of white-versus-black plus black-versus-black horror shows, with white mercenaries providing the crucial catalytic element of mass butcheries needed to bring all the other conditioned elements to a maximum degree of frenzy.

An important consideration

The Mau Mau operation, like many other standard British intelligence covert operations techniques, relied on the foolishness of the average citizen, his or her tendency to "pick sides," either as a spectator or participant, in any ongoing fight he or she witnesses. On the basis of this principle, the following sort of operation is standard.

Operation objective: Assassinate a tertiary quality of target who is known to be meeting a contact in a barroom at a given time.

Method: Deploy two separate teams to the location. Team "A" to start a brawl. Team "B" to hit the target under the cover of the diversionary brawl.

Procedure: Two operatives of Team "A" start an argument in the barroom, preferably involving unwitting third or third and fourth parties. If the issues of the rigged conflict are sufficiently aired to the others in the barroom, those bystanders can be psychologically involved on one side or another before the first blow is struck. In the melee, the victim is killed.

That is a crude, classic, and obvious case, but the

principle is illustrated — as in those elections during which most of the population contests preferences for two wretched candidates, both controlled by the same background interests. People generally are suckers for whatever is put front stage as the conflict of a rigged drama; audiences have great difficulty in rising to the mature levels of judgment in which they focus their attention on backstage operations, in which they have the maturity to recognize that it is the audience which is the real target of the operation.

Rabbi "Mau Mau" Davis

Understanding the Kenyan Mau Mau model enables the investigator to understand the case of Rabbi Maurice ("Mau Mau") Davis, formerly of Indianapolis, currently of White Plains. It was Davis, in Indianapolis, who gave Jones his first temple, a disused synagogue sold to Jones under the most informal, "softest" terms — a "pay me whenever you can" arrangement. The same Davis has been prominently associated with kidnapper Ted Patrick in so-called "deprogramming" of youth recruited to both cults and non-cult organizations.

Although Davis's organization operates under the public-relations cover of rescuing persons from "brainwashing," two known sets of facts concerning the kidnapping operations reveal the true character of the "deprogramming" cult headed by Davis and others. First, although some of the kidnapped persons were in fact "rescued" from behavioral modification groups, this is essentially a convenient, diversionary, public relations cover for the actual character and broader purpose of the "deprogramming" operation. Second, the methods used by Ted Patrick and other "deprogrammers" are based on the most brutal sorts of "back room" brainwashing techniques.

A study of the pedigrees of the Jones "defectors" group, and the background to the organizational cover of the group of "ex-Mau Maus" publicized in the wake of the Jonestown suicide-murder orgy, warns us that any terrorist deployments linked to the Guyana bloodbath are coordinated by forces separated only by "cutoffs" from the sponsorship of the "defectors group." Any person given the crucial facts concerning the background and current propaganda-line of the "defectors group," provided that person knows the Mau Mau model, recognizes immediately that this conforms on all crucial test points of intelligence evaluation to the "NAG" front-group aspect of a standard British-intelligence gang-countergang terrorist operation. It is the same Mau Mau model which the British intelligence services have been perfecting for years in the Ulster horror show.

This conforms to the fact that California kookery has been developed chiefly through various British intelligence deployments, and that the Uki-cults, of which the Jones case is an example, were developed under the auspices of the "Golden Dawn" operation of British intelligence, the same operation in which Allen

Dulles's "MK-Ultra" and Rand corporation involvements were key.

The connection between Lilly Endowment-funded and related operations in Indianapolis to California kookery is second in significance only to the kook-operations played into California and other locations via nasties embedded in the University of Chicago. In New York State, Edgar Bronfman's Westchester County, plus Rockland State Hospital, is one of the nastiest centers of cultism and other kookery — including the "Son of Sam" killings.

If one also knows the basic rules for untangling Zionist networks, and knows the key role Israeli intelligence played in the Timothy Leary operations side of the "MK-Ultra" project, the general pattern of British-Zionist-Quaker networks involved in the development of the Jones and other kook cults is understood. Rabbi "Mau Mau" Davis's overlay fits all crucial points of the "Golden Dawn" deployment pattern.

The case of Timothy Stoen gives another, useful overlay. Stoen, reputedly a former CIA agent, was crucial to Jones's success during the early phases of development of the Peoples Temple, and was involved in every phase of the "nasties" which came abruptly

to the surface between Summer 1977 and the recent Guyana bloodbath. Stoen is now key to the "defectors group" and the central figure in the chain of circumstances leading directly into the murder of Congressman Ryan and the mass bloodbath. This does not necessarily imply that Stoen is fully witting of the implications of his role, but merely that he is at least a controlled element in the threatened terrorist phase of the "Mau Mau" model under consideration.

Key in the planning level of any terrorist activities linked to the Guyana horror-show is Brian Jenkins of the Rand Corporation. Jenkins is cooperating at high executive levels with British intelligence in planning terrorist operations, and has taken a key role in planning the cult phase of terrorism. This should not be surprising to anyone who is informed of the background of Rand or its various involvements in creating Jones and other cults. Rand was integral, together with such entities as Israeli intelligence and the Office of Naval Intelligence's British-controlled National Training Laboratories, in furthering the British "MK-Ultra" project run under Allen Dulles's CIA cover. Undercover and other most-reliable sources have given us a hard dossier on a very, very "dirty" Brian Jenkins.

PUT THE WORLD IN PERSPECTIVE

- News
- News analysis
- Latest science breakthroughs
- Historical features
- U.S. Labor Party statements
- and the world in perspective—the U.S. Labor Party perspective

How you see the world depends on how you look at it. Read **New Solidarity** and see the world through the eyes of the U.S. Labor Party. In seven languages, twice weekly in English, **New Solidarity** will make a world of difference in your perspective.



\$20 for 100 issues
\$40 for 50 issues foreign airmail
Make checks payable to: Campaigner Publications
GPO Box 1920 New York, N.Y. 10001

**READ
NEW SOLIDARITY**

Advertisement