

U.S. Ambassador to Italy Richard Gardner will cease to determine the rise and fall of Italian governments.

European leaders, LaRouche indicated, should ask Washington if the U.S.-China commitment means that the United States will stop insisting that Congress should subordinate the European Monetary System to the International Monetary Fund.

LaRouche invited Zaccagnini to submit copies of his inquiry to NSC chief Brzezinski and the U.S. embassy in Rome.

It should also be asked, LaRouche continued, whether under the terms of "antihegemony" the Chinese will stop supporting client governments such as the deposed Pol Pot regime in Cambodia, which murdered half of that country's population. Does the clause mean that the People's Republic of China will abandon its cooperation with the Ch'ao Ch'ou overseas population of Chinese opium traders, whose illegal drug revenues funneled through the British Crown Colony of Hong Kong currently form the major source of foreign exchange for Red China at the expense of the economies and populations of other sovereign nations?

Does the "antihegemony" clause mean that the Chinese will stop cooperating with Israel to subvert and overthrow sovereign governments in Africa and Asia, and replace them with fascist dictatorships?

If not, the 1980 presidential candidate warned, world leaders must regard the touted "antihegemony" clause as a total fraud.

U.S. stumbling toward Mideast war?

In response to the failure of the September Camp David summit to wring Egypt away from Arab ranks into a separate peace with Israel, U.S. State Department and National Security Council policymakers are now considering alternative options that will either commit American prestige to another embarrassing tripartite summit or into an increasingly open endorsement of Israel as the so-called "pillar" of North Atlantic Treaty Organization military strategy in the region.

Either alternative will, at minimum, lead to a rapid increase in U.S.-Soviet tensions and very possibly to a thermonuclear confrontation between the world's two superpowers.

The developing crisis in American policy has been epitomized by the recent excursion of State Department special envoy Alfred Atherton to the Middle East. During a stopover in Israel, Atherton spent hours trying to negotiate disputed details of the Camp David accords. Most embarrassing to international perceptions of the U.S., Atherton compromised with Israeli insistence that the presumed treaty include clauses sundering

China says war is inevitable

Despite ostensible changes in perspective since the death of Mao Tse-tung, the Chinese leadership has yet to cease its warnings that "war between the two superpowers is inevitable." Anyone who thinks this warning unserious, metaphorical, or obsolete in the minds of the Chinese is mistaken — like those who thought Adolf Hitler could be steered east without first conquering the west. China's firm belief in the inevitability of a superpower war is proven by their calculated actions in behalf of bringing about the very holocaust they warn of. China knows full well that the Soviet Union can be forced to war if its strategic interests are threatened. China eggs on the U.S. to so threaten these interests, while doing everything they can in their "sphere of influence" to aid that effort — as in Cambodia, the Middle East, Northeast Asia, and parts of Africa.

That much of China might be destroyed in such a confrontation did not deter Mao. He believed that the sheer size of China's population would ensure the nation's survival as the preeminent remaining power. Teng Hsiao-ping more deviously believes he can manipulate the U.S. and the Soviets into a series of escalating limited confrontations from which China can opt out — up to the point of a strategic total war that would leave China relatively unscathed. This view

and every action that Teng advises China to take to further this policy is insane, and places the world, contrary to Teng's intentions, on a very short fuse to Armageddon. Those who disbelieve the Chinese view do so at the peril of humanity.

China conspired to give Israel the H-bomb

A usually reliable and well-informed source in Western Europe reports that the State of Israel and the Peoples Republic of China have closely cooperated since the Communist takeover in China in 1949, and that China gave Israel the atomic bomb.

According to the source, Israel would not have attained nuclear weapons if it had not been for the early aid of the Chinese. It was the leaders of the PRC who in the early 1960s under Chou En-lai provided facilities for Israel to test the results of its bomb programs.

This information is corroborated by what is known to be massive cooperation between Israel and China against Islamic nations. Red China and Israel worked together in support of the military junta that overthrew Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, the legally elected prime minister of Pakistan. Bhutto is now threatened with imminent hanging by the military dictatorship that replaced him two years ago.

The basis of the China-Israel collaboration lies in the fact that China supports the use of nuclear weapons against the Arab nations.

Egypt's commitment to defend her Arab neighbors against Israeli aggressive action while leaving untouched Israel's "right" to a preemptive strike. To square this circle, Atherton penned a "definition of aggression" exonerating Israel from responsibility for its military incursions into Lebanon against Palestinian and civilian concentrations. So ludicrous was Atherton's formulation that Egyptian President Sadat did not even bother to meet him when he shuttled over to Egypt. In fact, the Egyptian government termed the mission a failure in an official statement.

On Atherton's return, matters deteriorated further. Rumors circulated in official State Department "background" briefings that the Carter Administration is toying with the idea of a "new Camp David summit." This would be preceded by a tripartite meeting of the Egyptian, Israeli, and American secretaries of state and foreign ministers.

But with Egypt prevented by extensive Arab pressure — epitomized by the recent groundbreaking Syria-Iraq unity talks in Damascus — from signing a separate deal with Israel, leading Anglo-American and Zionist circles are ready to "ditch" Egypt altogether. The Sadat regime would then be subjected to the "Iran treatment," while Israel is reinforced as the anti-Soviet bastion in the region.

This is being demanded by those Anglophiles responsible for the letter of 170 retired general officers which appeared in the New York Times demanding that Israel be made the NATO extension in the Middle East. After this letter's publication Jan. 21, the Israeli Foreign Ministry elatedly rushed out a report entitled "Israel and the U.S. — the Reaffirmation of Strategic-Political Interests."

According to the Christian Science Monitor: "The purpose of the paper is to refute U.S. critics who claim that the special American-Israeli relationship is due solely to internal pressure in the U.S. Instead, the Israeli document argues that Israel is an asset to the global position of the U.S."

"Arguing that Israel is the only internally stable country in this region, it raises the point that the Israeli Defense Forces are called the only effective military substitute for a considerable U.S. military presence in the eastern flank of the Mediterranean...."

Emboldened by Tories' support, the Israelis have launched a series of escalations this week. In Paris on Jan. 30, Foreign Minister Moshe Dayan declared that the "wave of Islamic revivalism" in the Mideast signified that Egypt would probably no longer want a peace treaty with Israel: Dayan effectively admitted that Israel is freed to do as it pleases in the Mideast.

During the previous week Dayan had warned that Palestinians living under Israeli occupation would be "deported" if they publicly supported the Palestine Liberation Organization. On the same day, the Israeli army razed the houses of family members of suspected terrorists — the first such Israeli action in several months. This in turn was preceded by a call by a prominent Jewish mayor of the Galilee area in northern Israel for 300,000 Jews to be brought into Galilee so that the "increasingly radical" Arabs of that area would not "engulf" the Jews of the region.

—Mark Burdman

Gardner: IMF should rule the EMS

The pervasive incompetence of Carter Administration policy has affected the Administration's stance toward Europe and the European Monetary System as well. Richard Gardner, the United States' Ambassador to Italy, gave the following interview to the French daily Le Figaro on Jan. 27, to say that his and official Carter Administration policy is to place the European Monetary System under the control of the International Monetary Fund, which the EMS is explicitly designed to replace.

Can the world live indefinitely with the dollar as the sole basis? Wouldn't things go better with several reserve currencies?

Gardner's Oxonian pedigree

Richard Gardner's career in economics parallels the creation and training of President Carter's war-mongering national security advisor Zbigniew Brzezinski. Gardner was picked up at Harvard by Professor John H. Williams, the senior Council on Foreign Relations economist who spent World War II plotting how to preserve the British Empire and the pound sterling's reserve status, and occupied himself in the postwar period with implementing antidollar measures.

Under the auspices of Eugene Rostow, an official British intelligence operative at the time, and Tavistockian Harold Lasswell, Gardner was sent to Oxford as a Rhodes Scholar. There he wrote an apologia for British policy and its American stooges, finally published in 1956 by Oxford under the title Sterling-Dollar Diplomacy. In intricate detail, he described how U.S. efforts to expand trade and make the dollar widely convertible for that purpose were wrecked by Lord Keynes, the pro-Hitler Lord Halifax (for whom Gardner recently reiterated his admiration), and the Truman administration.

Gardner's Oxford tutor was Roy Harrod, one of Keynes's closest epigones and companions in pederasty. Other mentors included Alexis Coudert of the New York Coudert Brothers law firm which inseminated so many "utopians" into the U.S. armed forces command and the Royal Institute of International Affairs. It was also through these channels that Gardner was married into the Luzzato family; his father-in-law is Gino Luzzato, a member of the monarchist "black" nobility of Venice, and an MI-6 operative who trained the Anglophile elite among Italy's politicians, starting with Ugo LaMalfa. Luzzato is presently collaborating with Otto von Hapsburg and Franz-Josef Strauss in launching the "Southern Tyrolean Party" as part of this group's effort to balkanize and feudalize Europe to counter the European Monetary System.