

various programs for "energy self-sufficiency" and labor-intensive employment. Vice President Mondale is back stumping for his make-work programs. The Conference of Northeast Governor's has resurrected its scheme for an Energy Corporation of the Northeast for energy self-sufficiency in a deindustrialized Northeast.

"The United States is on a course to becoming a military dictatorship," reads a statement issued March 17 by 1980 presidential candidate Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. "We are heading rapidly toward World War III. In effect, Haig is already in the White House; the boys in New York have fixed it. Unless France and West Germany openly oppose this lunacy, unless they openly denounce the government of the United States, we will have World War III... It is a serious question as to whether the political forces abroad have the political courage and perception to do what's necessary."

Haig is making policy in the Oval Office again and the following report documents this as fact. The career of the paper clip general is presented, his supporters are listed, and his policy — foreign and domestic — is outlined as he would implement it and as it is now being implemented by the Carter Administration.

—Kathy Stevens

Zbig colleague sees fascism next in Europe

In an interview made available to this news service, J. Bowyer Bell punctuated a discussion of foreign affairs predicting the rise of mass fascist movements in Europe in the near future. Bell, a colleague of Zbigniew Brzezinski, is a "terrorism" and "insurgency-counterinsurgency" specialist associated with the Columbia Institute for the Study of War and Peace and the British International Institute for Strategic Studies; he is billed as "an advisor on terrorism to over 50 governments." During the interview, Bell emphasized that the domestic and foreign policies now being pursued by Jimmy Carter are exactly those that

Alexander Haig would pursue were he in the White House, and predicted the rise of overtly fascist movements to power in Europe.

Q: *How do you see the situation in Iran and the surrounding area developing?*

Bell: Iran will have unstable conditions for a couple of years. The rebellion in Afghanistan will grow and receive strong outside support although the prospects for immediate success are not encouraging. In Pakistan, we're dealing with two sets of people which means instability.

Now nobody can rule Iran. Khomeini can't rule, although you have to admit he's really dedicated. Bazargan can't hold power. The danger is that down the road, say in two years, there could be a self-styled, left-wing military coup like in Ethiopia, what I call the pious left, or the Algerian model. The danger does not come from the Tudeh party, the Communists. They are not significant, they are completely out of touch with the dynamics of the situation.

The Maoists in Iran are much more important and one of our assets — you know, they would be extreme conservatives if it wasn't for the Shah; that's their profile. They might have been selling condominiums. I personally know a lot of key people in the Fedayeen in Iran. They call themselves Marxists-Leninists but don't take that seriously. What's key is that they are staunch anti-Soviet fanatics and will be quite useful to us.

All in all, we've come out way ahead of the Russians in the Iran situation. The Russians are in big trouble. Despite the problems we face, we're in good shape — much better than we had with that megalomaniac the Shah. For example, the Islamic revolution's spillover into the Muslim areas in the Soviet Union will be explosive, will cause the Soviets grave problems — analogous to the freedom movements we've organized in Eastern Europe.

Always keep in mind geopolitics — that's crucial. Halford MacKinder and Karl Haushofer were absolutely right. It's the old question of the world island, the Eurasian land mass. We must prevent the Russians from dominating the Eurasian land mass. That's the big game. That's the context from which every political question in the world must be viewed. Whatever we're able to consolidate in Iran must be seen from the point of view of geopolitical struggle.

Q: *What role do you see the ethnic and tribal groups playing in the Iranian situation?*

Bell: They're of course extremely important, not only in Iran, but the role they're playing in the war against Soviet communism. Already our contacts in the Iranian government are cooperating with us in facilitating the movement of certain Muslim tribal groups armed to the hilt across the border into Afghanistan. The Turkoman tribe on the Soviet-Afghanistan border is important. So

are the Iranian Arabs, the Baluchis, and, of course, the Kurds. The Kurds will grab what they can get. All of them are assets. The Muslim restoration will soon hit the Soviet Union as you will see.

Of course, it's necessary for us to maintain an island of Western culture in the area. We can't go all the way back to the 13th century. That's why we're pushing the women's liberation movement, that's the reason Kate Millet and Ralph Schoenman were there. In order to keep control, English speaking people in the Khomeini camp and other centers of power must have freedom of action. In the insurgency against the brutal and arrogant Shah, American and British-born agents were limited in what they could do. So we had to work almost solely through American and British-educated Iranians, especially around Khomeini.

Q: *Speaking of the ethnic groups and insurgencies, do you anticipate anything along these lines in Europe?*

Bell: In the immediate future there is going to be a big wave of terrorism across Europe. If you were a betting man going to the race track you could take my tip and come out a big winner (laughing). Sort of a sure bet if you know what I mean. In Italy, terrorism will keep up and escalate sharply, and watch for the rise of the right.

One of the keys is what we call the "ethnic pools." The Turks in Berlin, for example: the Turks in Germany are not being absorbed and that creates tinderbox conditions. This will cause no end of problems for the Schmidt government. Also the West Indians in West Germany. You know the West Indians' profile — aggressive and violent! The Germans are going to have a real problem on their hands!

Of course, there will be a reaction to this. There will be a rise again of classical fascist movements. I expect the fascist right to take power in Italy within a couple of years. In the tradition of Mussolini — the bankrupted middle class, the uprooted workers, the underclass will be the shocktroops, and strong fascist leaders will emerge. There will be neo-Nazism in Germany that can take power also. The conditions are ripe and all the old inhibitions have broken down. Ethnic terrorism will be the spark and many of the ethnics themselves will later try to join fascist forces. It's definitely going to happen.

Q: *How do you view Carter's performance as President: Do you think Alexander Haig has a chance?*

Bell: I think Carter is now implementing the very same policies that Al Haig has advocated and would pursue if he were President. Carter has many accomplishments under his belt, especially recently. Our China policy has proved a smashing success. Carter's accomplishment with Camp David is tremendous, of great strategic significance. We would stick with Carter for now. Al Haig would be excellent. The only thing I worry about is that the last Republican military man (Eisenhower—ed.) turned out to be a total disaster.

A sharp look at

Alexander Haig is now the leading candidate to succeed Jimmy Carter as President of the United States. His candidacy is being promoted by the British oligarchy through a number of British institutions and networks, including the Royal Institute for International Affairs, the British-based Ditchley Foundation, the Lazard Freres investment bank of London and New York, the Tufts Fletcher School of International Diplomacy, the inner circle of the New York Council on Foreign Relations, the Anglo-Zionist Committee on the Present Danger, Her Majesty's Order of St. John of Jerusalem (of which, according to Mexican sources, Haig is a secret member), and the Heinz family of Pittsburgh.

An attempt is being made to see Haig, the candidate for war, as a great American Republican military man in the tradition of Douglas MacArthur and Dwight David Eisenhower. The British public relations specialists have got to be kidding. That image just won't wash.

Far from greatness, Haig has never even attained mediocrity in any of his endeavors.

Hardly an American — despite the coincidence (unlike Henry Kissinger) of having been born in this country — Haig is a complete acolyte of the British aristocracy.

Republican? Haig has never been a member of the party and has a record of doing a hatchet job on leading Republicans, including his notorious inside job on Richard Nixon in the Watergate affair.

Military commander? Not only has Haig never commanded men in battle, but this British created General has tried to force upon NATO policies that would ensure defeat for the United States and Europe in a general thermonuclear war. Wags say that the only deployment the General has proved in the least competent is moving the paper clips from one secret NATO document to another.

The British are orchestrating the campaign

The Haig campaign is a straight British operation — and carefully orchestrated. It was kicked off last year — before European pressure, especially from the West Germans, forced Haig out as head of NATO — with a warmongering appearance at Ditchley Park 11 miles outside London. At an event sponsored by the Ditchley Foundation, a planning body for a "New Dark Ages," composed of the Anglo-American inner oligarchic elite and numbering among its members U.S. Secretary of State Cyrus Vance, Lord Tweedsmuir, Lord Gore-Booth, Paul Nitze, and Amory Houghton, Haig demanded a massive NATO buildup and for the NATO