

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE

Jerusalem launches

LaRouche: fake attack on Haig part of

A public outburst by the unstable Prime Minister Menachem Begin, in the course of a terrorist controllers' meeting in Jerusalem last week, is a clear signal that Henry A. Kissinger and others are now committed to launching the long-prepared international terrorist bloodbath inside the United States itself.

Correlated with the crucial evidence obtained from the Jerusalem meeting is the echoing outburst from Senator Henry Jackson (D-Wash.), himself politically allied to forces behind international terrorism, and the public-relations stunt involving former NATO commander General Alexander Haig in Brussels a short time before the Jerusalem terrorist-controllers' meeting.

The exact timing of the launching of the planned terrorist wave is not yet known to us. It is only clear at this moment that the launching of the bloodbath is imminent, and that it is intended to be coordinated with the projected invasion of the Persian Gulf by U.S. special task forces. From undercover investigations, it has also been discovered from the lips of next to the highest-ranking relevant circles that the terrorist campaign is projected as indispensable to boosting the presidential campaign of Haig.

Two crucial features of Jerusalem

During the past week, Jerusalem hosted a conference on the subject of international terrorism. Among the numerous participants in the affair, the featured figures on the podium are all known to us as either high-level specialists in developing and coordinating international terrorism, such as British intelligence's Brian Crozier, or political figures involved in the deployment of terrorist campaigns, such as Henry A. Kissinger.

The crucial Goebbels-like repetition of the theme: *the Soviet KGB is behind terrorism*. This repetition of the "big lie" would have little effect in Western Europe, where the relevant intelligence services run international terrorism under coordination of the British and continental "black nobility" figures behind the scenes. The only

part of the world in which such a hoax would be politically accepted by intelligence services and the national legislature is the United States.

The participation at the conference underlined the same working-point: it is the United States and, possibly, also Canada which are the intended new leading targets for the fresh escalation of terrorism.

The special accent of the affair was Begin's outburst concerning the Muslim Brotherhood in Syria. Begin proposed that the Muslim Brotherhood would continue its terrorist bloodbath in Syria unless and until Damascus joined Cairo in submitting to the terms of the Camp David agreements.

It happens that we, like informed intelligence services, know that Israeli secret intelligence has a controlling hand in the operational deployment of the British Secret Intelligence Service's (SIS's) Muslim Brotherhood. This is exemplified by massive Zionist network involvement in the operations of the Muslim Brotherhood inside the United States. It was exhibited by the Israeli intelligence services' deployment via its covers in the Savak and the Iranian air force in bringing the mad butcher Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini to power in Iran. (Only credulous people are deceived on this point by Khomeini's embrace of Yassir Arafat or by his anti-Israel noises.)

However, only a lunatic like Begin would publicize such a connection from the highest levels of the Israeli government. Even admitting the pronounced deterioration of Begin's mental condition since November 1977, he would not have emitted such a *faux pas* unless he had been excited into an exceptionally manic state of virtual psychosis by the atmosphere surrounding the Jerusalem conference. Plotting an imminent bloodbath and virtual, Haig-centered coup d'état in the United States is very, very heady stuff, even for the collection of inveterate butchers decorating the podium at that conference.

Launching such an operation in the United States is, as some of my Irish-American school chums used to say, the big potato.

terrorists against U.S.A.

plan to prepare for crisis government

Background

For the information of those unfortunates who imagine that I am not qualified on this subject, I turn their attention to the current sweep against terrorists inside Italy. If those persons compare the results of recent arrests and seizures of evidence with the published papers my associates and I have produced since the 1976 Party of International Terrorism brief, especially our 1978 published brief on the assassination-plot against former Italian Prime Minister Aldo Moro, they should be overwhelmingly reassured that I am a qualified expert on this matter.

The topmost creation and control of international terrorism and of the international neo-Malthusian movement is the same. It is centered in British intelligence and the City of London topmost circles, which are the coordinator and rallying-point for what is properly termed the oligarchical faction internationally. This faction includes the "black" faction of the continental aristocracy and the high-Episcopalian-centered, anglophile circles gathered around the New York Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) in the U.S.A.

One should add that CFR was created as the U.S. subsidiary of the London Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA). RIIA, otherwise known as Chatham House, is the leading formal conduit for British foreign policy strategy and for shaping the deployment-policies of the British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS). CFR is a subsidiary of the RIIA to the present date.

The key subordinate agencies controlling both international terrorism and the "greenies" are the U.S. anglophile partners of London and Israeli-Zionist intelligence networks worldwide. Both the "environmentalist" and terrorist movements were launched at the same time, during late 1969, and by the same agencies.

The central institution for coordinating international terrorism is the London Tavistock Institute, where Henry A. Kissinger received his advanced training by the British SIS during the 1950s. The principal private cover under which the Tavistock Institute networks

deploy terrorist and greenie forces is the Bertrand Russell networks, plus the networks of the U.S.-based Institute for Policy Studies. Wherever sections of the Socialist International networks tightly intersect both the Bertrand Russell, Tavistock, and Israeli-Zionist political-intelligence networks, there one finds invariably a node of control of both the terrorist and greenie forces.

Frequently enough, laymen and politicians who should have known better, are stunned to discover that representatives of the "black nobility" turn up as operatives or closely connected background figures of "left"-profiled "greenie" or terrorist operations. This is a consistent pattern, and not an accidental one. The "black nobility" are more prominent, via Madrid and other centers, in their direct connections to terrorist forces such as the Muslim Brotherhood.

Despite the machine-pistols and other special, modern technological features of terrorist deployments, the purpose and character of terrorism has not changed since the terrorist Dionysian cults of ancient Greece. "Anarchist" modes of terrorism today, like those of the Swiss-safehoused, pre-World War I anarchist international, are a specific mode of political warfare employed by the political heirs of the zero-growth cults of Apollo and Isis in their efforts to weaken and destroy republican forces dedicated to a city-building policy of economic and technological progress.

The objective of the forces controlling terrorism today is that elaborated for anyone to read by the leading published documents of the Club of Rome, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, and the McGraw-Hill series which presented the policies CFR adopted for its Carter administration during the 1975-1976 period, the so-called 1980s Project series. These policies were drafted under the coordination of Cyrus Vance, W. Michael Blumenthal, Zbigniew Brzezinski, and other key policy-makers for the Carter administration.

This CFR crowd is the same crowd which is in the process of dumping the used-up Carter for the purpose

of implementing the next phase of its outlined policies under the cover of newly chosen puppet-President, General Alexander Haig.

Any public figure who denies that I am entirely correct on this matter is simply a fool.

The stated objective of the Club of Rome is to reduce the world's population to about one billion persons by the year 2000 A.D., leaving some room for adjusting of the proposed degree of population-reduction over the next 20 years, that is also the neo-Malthusian policy formally adopted by the RIIA and the CFR. The policy is to be implemented chiefly by the use of massive famines, epidemics, and labor-intensive, Auschwitz-like projects in the developing nations. This famine and epidemic genocide are to be facilitated through projects of destabilization such as the "arc of crisis" policy promulgated by British intelligence's Bernard Lewis, and echoed by Henry A. Kissinger and Zbigniew Brzezinski. The Khomeiniac holocaust brought upon Iran is exemplary of this policy of genocide.

To accomplish this policy, the London and CFR crowd have imposed what are termed "IMF conditionalities" and World Bank "appropriate technologies" programs. Both are complementary means for imposing genocide immediately on nations such as Uganda, Zaire, and other most-vulnerable nations. The so-called Camp David agreements, a continuation of Henry Kissinger's role in catalyzing the 1973 war, and the 1973-1974 oil-price crisis, are aimed to accelerate genocidal processes in the Third World while wrecking the economies of the industrialized nations, especially France, Germany, Japan, but also the United States.

Such a combination of forced general economic collapse and multi-billion person genocide means a "New Dark Age," of course. That is what Bertrand Russell proposed during the 1920s and 1930s. That is what top pro-London policy-makers are saying behind the scenes.

The name "New Dark Age" is also being pushed publicly.

The hard core of the "environmentalists" is openly dedicated to return toward some relatively barbaric condition of life.

James Schlesinger, a shamelessly avowed "neo-Malthusian," proposes to turn the English-speaking world back to the wood shortage of 16th-century England with his "wood chips" nonsense, and proposes to turn the world economy back to the technological level of energy policy of the early 13th century with wind power.

The poor dupe in the White House is given a book to read, a book which gloats over the "Dark Age" which raged in Europe from the defeat of the Hohenstaufen during the middle of the 13th century, and in which more than half the population of Europe died during approximately a century, concluding with the aftermath of the Black Death. This unhappy advocate

of "human rights" who professes to admire this book is the same President whose administration is implementing policies precisely analogous to those which brought on that holocaust.

Clearly, more than 70 percent of the electorate in the United States will not willingly tolerate such a policy. The peoples and governments of France, Italy, Germany, Japan, as well as many Third World nations, will resist that criminal insanity.

"Very well," the London-centered forces respond. "We shall break their will with our Seven Sisters control of petroleum distribution. We shall destroy whole nations with Muslim Brotherhood psychotics and similar types. We shall terrify the people of even the United States into submission with the contrived energy crisis, war threats, and a bloodbath of terrorism."

I look at the so-called leaders who sometimes imagine themselves my equal or better. I hear the words of Friedrich Schiller echoing in my thoughts. This time of crisis has once again found a defective people among most of the leading institutions of the world. How miserably ruled and led we are generally, that so openly proposed an evil as this is tolerated by those who pride themselves on their prestigious positions.

A man or woman who will not fight this monstrous evil without compromise is not fit to be elected honorary dog-catcher.

The Soviet angle

Unfortunately, although the Soviet Union is not directly behind terrorism, certain thick-headed fools in Moscow appear to have swallowed a "triple agent," Philip Agee. As long as the Soviets give political support of one sort or another to Philip Agee and his crowd, the Soviets can be pinned credibly with most of the terrorist acts about to be deployed in the United States—and many Americans will believe the evidence presented.

The British-coordinated terrorism is not simply assassinations. It is assassinations deployed to produce a widespread psychological effect. Terrorism is a branch of the British intelligence services' psychological-warfare division, the Tavistock Institute at which British agent Henry A. Kissinger was given his advanced training.

This psychological-warfare character of terrorism produces two public features which distinguish it from ordinary intelligence-type assassinations.

First, a collection of terrorist loonies is created, like the Weathermen, the Puerto Rican nationalists, or the Baader-Meinhof or Red Brigades gangs in Europe. The type of person who is to become the typical victim of these terrorists is then defamed by intensive, Josef Goebbels-style slander campaigns. That is what the British mean when they refer to the terrorists they have created as a "sociological phenomenon."

Second, when the assassination or other terrorist attack is performed, some babbling idiot makes a telephone call or scribbles a note, announcing "we did it," giving the name of some exotically named bunch of unwashed sodomists as the agency involved.

Unless the government whose citizens have been victimized takes proper action, the terrorist operation tends to succeed in its psychological-warfare purpose.

Proper action would be automatically expelling the entire embassy and consular staff of the British and Israeli governments. Or, in the case of Italy, also expelling U.S. Ambassador Richard Gardner as persona non grata. That is, unless governments act directly at high levels of the political entities on whose behalf the terrorists are deployed, the effect of not taking such remedial action is to make one's government and population impotently defenseless against terrorism. It is such capitulation to the British and their accomplices which permits terrorism to succeed as a technique for cumulatively destabilizing a government or even the institutions of the nation.

In a number of cases, the group of unwashed sodomists who claim credit for the terrorist attack may not have executed it. It may have been done by professionals. The point of terrorism is not who actually performs the act, but what sort of development and grouping receives the credit for it.

It cannot be argued that I, as a prospective President of the United States, do not know how to clean up terrorism. The limited application of my proposed methods in Italy shows that my analysis and my methods work.

The most dangerous use of terrorism is as a form of provocation between states which have an existing or potential adversary posture. This, the most dangerous employment of such criminal methods, is what confronts the United States today.

The narrow objective

The narrower objective of the proposed terrorist attacks inside the United States is to aid in the implementation of Presidential Review Memorandum, PRM-32, the strategic policy memorandum establishing the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

The memorandum is precisely equivalent to the emergency powers law used to make Adolf Hitler the dictator of Nazi Germany. The classic analysis in law on that point has been given by Carl Schmitt.

That danger is not only the legal implication of PRM-32 and FEMA. It is the stated intention of those who drafted the policy behind FEMA, including the Trilateral Commission's Samuel P. Huntington.

At the same 1975 Tokyo meeting of the Trilateral Commission which handpicked the relatively obscure Jimmy Carter to become President in 1977, Hunting-

ton's proposed policy was widely circulated. This policy insists that the time has come to end parliamentary government, and to replace representative forms of government with authoritarian regimes adequate to impose the forms of drastic austerity CFR and London demand.

The PRM-32, permitted to be enacted by a Tip O'Neill-featured Congress, has the same potentials for establishing a dictatorship in the United States as the law which placed Hitler in power in Germany. However, so far, the people of the United States are neither a people with a deep sense of defeat—the Vietnam war demoralization aside—and they are a sturdily independent citizenry with deep commitments to "fairness" and representative government. It will not be so easy to break the American people as the defeated and depression ridden Germany of 1932-1934.

Terrorism, especially if blamed on Soviet-backed Arabs and Arab sympathizers, is clearly the intended supplement to the energy crisis and the Parkhurst caper. These accumulated crises, plus a major war-scare are the means CFR has chosen to attempt to break the will of the American people, and to push Haig into the White House.

The operational profile

If one has an adequate counterintelligence knowledge of how London's terrorist psychological warfare works, one can accurately determine from the propaganda buildup for a terrorist campaign how the campaign is intended to be deployed. If one also knows the general order of existing terrorist and terrorist-sympathizer capabilities at London's disposal, one is able to define the capabilities of the intended British operation with sufficient precision for appropriate political and related countermeasures against the operation.

There is, at the present moment, one missing ingredient in the packaged capabilities aired at the Jerusalem conference. To set the projected plan into motion, London will require something on the order of a new Middle East war. This need not necessarily be an actual full-scale war, but any bloody atrocity of the sort to generate intensified Arab rage.

The creation of Arab rage around the new incident will then be used as the cover-story for Arab terrorism inside the United States. This "Arab terrorism" will include some Arab persons, but will otherwise depend upon non-Arab terrorists "acting in sympathy with our Arab brothers."

Key to this special shaping of the operation will be the massive Zionist lobby penetration and control of the East Coast and much of the southwestern U.S.A. Arab organizations, plus Zionist lobby control of the kernel of command-and-control of the Muslim Brotherhood and the Muslim students' organization inside

the United States. It is around this kernel that the non-Arab native-American terrorist gangs will be co-deployed.

It is implicit that the sort of operation about to be deployed in the Middle East will involve highly-visible Soviet logistical support for Arab contenders. That will correlate with the Goebbels-like insistence of the Jerusalem terrorist controllers that the KGB is behind terrorism. Arab-flavored terrorism in the United States will thus be blamed on the Soviet KGB.

To give this credibility, the connection between the contaminated, Hamburg consulate of the Soviet Union and Philip Agee will be key. Agee and his gang of the Institute for Policy Studies networks, the gang funded by the same Lilly Endowment which funds the British-intelligence-controlled Heritage Foundation, is linked to every terrorist gang waiting for orders inside the U.S.A. and to numerous foreign terrorist gangs as well. Catching Agee's crowd red-handed in terrorist connections will be used to "prove, conclusively" that the KGB is "truly, absolutely" behind the terrorist attacks.

This scenario will then be used to motivate a popular support for U.S. military intervention into the Middle East and other parts of the world, and to motivate a mood for sharp confrontation with the Soviet Union.

My dear friend, if this is not stopped, you are already looking into the bowels of radioactive hell. The maniacs behind this operation may be in control of the matches igniting a confrontation. The results of ignition are out of their control.

General Haig's public-relations caper

Right into the middle of that radioactive can of worms plops a public-relations stunt pulled off recently in Brussels.

The gist of the incident is that a shaped-charge mine, set off by remote control, exploded on a Brussels bridge *immediately after Haig's car had passed over that spot*. Shortly after the incident, a known Belgian terrorist-capability group, never before publicly surfaced, the Committee for Freedom and Revenge (CFR) gained credit for the affair. Later, the Baader-Meinhof gang credit.

We investigated the matter with various experts.

On the basis of the film and associated evidence, as confirmed by officials involved in the investigation of the explosion, the conclusion was as follows.

The explosion on the bridge conformed to the use of a standard type of mine available to NATO. This mine is labeled, according to the experts, "top" and "bottom," so that no error can be made by a literate

user in placing the device for desired effects. In this case, the device was placed so that it would exert virtually no damage upward. It is this sort of device beside which one can stand with relative impunity if one knows the hang of the matter. However, the reactive force of the charge did lift a piece of pavement, which plunked onto the front of the following security car.

Verdict: it was not intended to cause any damage to Haig or anyone else. It was a publicity stunt.

CFR (Freedom and Revenge) is a group which coincides exactly with the publicity-stunt verdict of the experts consulted. It is a group which has been under observation for some time, according to Western intelligence sources. It is composed of Walloons, some French nationals, some West German nationals, and a few GI deserters.

That profile conforms exactly to an "asset" of the British side of NATO intelligence: GI deserters now in "underground" life, ten years later, are hard-core British Russellite assets of British intelligence (Tavistock). Although there is a NATO intelligence involvement in most European terrorist groups, especially British elements of NATO intelligence, CFR is rather extreme in this respect.

Another Western intelligence source, highly placed, tipped us off to the likelihood that this publicity stunt of Haig's backers could probably be directed against Haig's competitor for the White House, Lyndon LaRouche. This information tended to be corroborated by a curious feature of early leaks to the Belgian press, and has been confirmed almost conclusively by recent developments in Brussels.

The Council on Foreign Relations has been toying since August 1977 with the idea of pushing the proposition that I command a secret capability for knocking off Brzezinski and Kissinger in the event their terrorists assassinate me. Whether that is the main feature or only a sidelight of the publicity stunt pulled off on the Brussels bridge is not yet determined. It is a contingency which is being watched closely.

In any case, the incident is being played out in the press. The whole gambit could not be expected to succeed unless it were intended to dovetail with the launching of a wave of terrorist attacks in the United States. In that case, it would become significant. Haig would be trotted out as a near-victim of such attacks, and would be expected to pick up sympathy on that count—as, supposedly, some sort of courageous, anti-terrorist fighter.

That publicity stunt would then obviously serve as a cover-story for the British and Israelis proceeding with my own assassination.