President Carter's foreign policy debacles

by Criton Zoakos, Contributing Editor

Back in July of 1979, an artificial "Carter debacle" had been preprogrammed by certain circles associated with the New York Council on Foreign Relations and Georgetown University. This was supposed to cause a major foreign policy embarrassment for President Carter, and at the same time pave the way for the late, lamented Alexander Haig's ascent to the Presidency.

But by the first week of March, not only had the CFR boys been completely unable to carry out that scenario. Instead, a different Carter debacle had already emerged, which in fact is the debacle of their own foreign and domestic policies with which the poor, pathetic Carter is burdened. The fellows had schemed to throw their ship's captain overboard, and they are now seeing the ship itself sinking fast.

Taking stock of developments as of the March 5 landmark Israeli cabinet meeting, we have the following:

- The Camp David treaty is virtually finished.
- The "Islamic fundamentalist" card in Iran has turned sour and out of control, and Iran is increasingly in danger of falling captive to Soviet pressure, on the principle, recently identified by a senior Israeli intelligence officer, that in Islamic tradition, military victory (such as the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan) is viewed as divine favor bestowed by Allah upon the victor.
- In the People's Republic of China, a leadership has consolidated with the recent Central Committee meeting, fully dedicated to two propositions: to play the "American card" to the hilt while never allowing Washington to play the China card.
- In continental Europe, the Paris-Bonn combination has emerged as an effective continental leadership organizing itself, not for the purpose of "splitting" Europe from the United States as the Thatcher-Keith Joseph government in Britain had calculated, but for the purpose of imposing its own conception of strategy for the future of the western alliance upon the United States itself. (See International)
- In terms of both foreign and domestic economic policy, both the Carter administration and its controllers in New York and London find themselves in the midst of the most devastating debacle of all: by February, the rate of inflation had shot dangerously close to 20 percent, with discount rates following closely behind. The federal government was discovering thatone of the major sources of the economy's inflation is its own anti-inflationary policy! The current "interest rates war" has caused a situation in the international credit markets which threatens the Carter administration with a wholesale deflationary collapse either at the end of the second quarter in June, or at the end of the third quarter in September.

Who will survive?

This year, whoever controls the flow of Arab petroleum revenues, will determine which major commercial banks and which governments around the world will go bankrupt. Whoever attracts Arab bank deposits, or Arab cash transfers for trade or technological deals, will be in a position to decide whether to bankrupt all the major seven New York commercial banks and whether to bankrupt the United States Treasury itself.

With the developments now being reported in the Middle East, the partnership between Schmidt and French president Giscard has a better than good case to claim that it is on the verge of obtaining just this sort of

leverage over the political affairs of the United States. This is where the European-Arab dialogue and the collapse of Camp David come in.

The Camp David Treaty issue

Back in 1978, when every other political leader and strategist was engulfed in the euphoria of the false peace, *Executive Intelligence Review* warned that Camp David would prove unworkable. Soon, the Syrian, Jordanian, Saudi and Iraqi government concurred. At a later point, the governments of the European Community also concurred with this evaluation. As of now, it is the firm but still "top secret'" evaluation of the Israeli government that Camp David "will not work." The Sadat government in Cairo has also sent out signals (especially to the continental European governments) that Camp David will not work.

The special problem confronting Begin's government at this juncture is that if it were to relent to the almost universal pressure to return back to its 1967 borders, given the chaotic political situation in the United States, it is left with absolutely no guarantees for its national security. In point of fact, neither a Carter, nor a Kennedy, nor a Bush nor even a Reagan administration despite their stated intentions, would be able to provide any reliable guarantees to Israel's security within its 1967 borders. The only practicable, that is deliverable, guarantee for Israel is the one offered to Israel by Lyndon LaRouche in the course of the last five years, because it is the only one associated with those types of domestic economic and military policies which can make security guarantees work from the U.S. side.

As things stand now however, Israel will find itself increasingly compelled to deal with France, West Germany, the European Community and, indirectly, with the Soviet Union. On the Arab side, a Saudi-Iraqi-Indian "Third Force axis" is emerging which associates its political and national survival with a policy of close economic, military, and diplomatic cooperation with the governments of continental Europe to the exclusion of the two "superpowers."

Carter's vicious cycle

In summary, the foolish Carter andhis foolish controllers, are gripped in the following vicious cycle:

To keep their "1980s Project" program for "controlled disintegration of the world economy" on course, they must maintain control of the political processes within the United States. For this they must maintain a certain amount of control over the international and domestic monetary process. They must also restore their stranglehold over this year's "petrodollar recycling," and such a stranglehold requires either the cooperation or the overthrow of a number of Arab governments. But the threat of being overthrown by Brzezinski's lunatic Islamic fundamentalists in Iran has rallied the intended Arab victims around the French and West German diplomatic and economic initiatives. To stop these Europeans from deploying in this fashion, Washington must offer them credible guarantees of continued detente with the Soviet Union and a framework of programs for the economic development of the Third World.

To do that, the United States must abandon its "1980s Project" perspective, and strike upon a policy of serious economic recovery.

If such a course is rejected, there are two types of general perspectives from which to choose. One would be the "war economy" orientation similar to the 1936 shift in Nazi Germany from Schacht to Hermann Goering. This given the present millitary capabilities of the U.S.S.R., will result either in a world catastrophe accompanying the defeat and extinction of the United States as a nation, or the disintegration of the American economy under such a policy of cannibalization. Such an eventuality would cause the eclipse of the United States as a world power and would throw the CFR idiots into the clutches of the nightmare scenario, which is already in place. This scenario calls for the humiliation of the United States (such as that occurring now with the hostages in Teheran and Bogota) but on a larger scale, with sweeping domestic destabilizations and "fundamentalist" movements being attempted against the United States itself, and generally the spread of a social collapse into anomie and degeneration currently associated with such fringes as the Citizens Party, the Libertarian Party, and Governor Jerry Brown's Jesuit-inspired gospel of deindustrialization, sodomy, and drug culture.

Thus, the debacle of Jimmy Carter is a debacle for the United States and a thing that has been caused deliberately by the Carter administration's backroom mentors and controllers.

The miscalculation of these evil people does not lie in the fact that their policies may turn the United States into a pathetic and powerless Sodom and Gomorrah. This has been part of their intentions all along, and it fits into their perspective of shaping a worldwide "great stability" model run by a "world government arrangement." Their miscalculation lies in the fact that under the present developments, such a situation is threatening to arise while the Soviet Union is displaying a rapidly developing ability to cope admirably with the "religious weapon" that, according to Brzezinski and friends, was supposed to cause a "disintegration" of the Soviet Union parallel to that of the United States, and the Soviet Union is also displaying a growing ability to convince the European members of the alliance to remain on the course of detente.