

## *Trilaterals in London:*

# **Pushing the world to the brink**

by Christopher White, Contributing Editor

From March 23 to 25, David Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission was in session in London. In our last issue we reported that the decision had been made at and around that conference to push the United States and the world economy into the depths of a new depression. Now, thanks to the global network of correspondents who have monitored the activities of the Trilateral Commission members and their associates, as well as what those individuals have set into motion, we are able to provide an updated report on what David Rockefeller's Commissioners are planning to do. The report is based on an analysis of certain of the reports presented at that gathering and subsequently obtained by this magazine, combined with a careful cross-gridding of developments in selected areas of the world, such as Africa, the Caribbean and the Middle East along with the evolution of press coverage of those areas.

First, a word of warning. We focus on the Trilateral Commission here not as a super-conspiracy in and of itself, but as a mediation of the process by which policy decisions are made and implemented by the London-centered financial oligarchy and its Jesuit allies. While Rockefeller's Trilateral Commission was meeting in the Institute of Directors in London's Pall Mall within a stone's throw of Buckingham Palace, Robert O. Anderson's Aspen Institute was meeting in West Berlin to discuss plans for the Middle East and Africa in particular; the Second International gathered in Santo Domingo in the Caribbean; and participants in the Anglo-German annual Konigswinter conference gathered together in Cambridge, England. Later in the month of April, the oligarchy's core Bilderberg conference members will gather in the German city of Aachen. Last year's Bilderberg Conference held in Austria took the central decision to move ahead with the Ayatollah Khomeini's Muslim Brotherhood cult as the cutting edge of their new dark age policy internationally. Then as now the Aspen Institute and Trilateral Commission were integral parts of the mediation of that policymaking process.



*The Trilateral Commission's Kiichi Miyazawa talks with EIR correspondent Peter Ennis at the United Nations in 1975.*

Photo: NSIPS

It is also not irrelevant that as the Trilateral Commission met in London to be regaled by the speeches of leading British luminaries such as former Prime Minister Edward Heath of the Brandt Commission, former Prime Minister James Callaghan and Commonwealth Secretary Shridath Ramphal, also of the Brandt Commission; to meet with Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher and Foreign Secretary Lord Carrington; and to be received by Prince Philip, Thatcher's radical monetarist faction within Britain's ruling circles consolidated its victory over domestic opposition, by settling that nation's long strike in the steel industry, on the basis of terms dictated at the start of the strike by Thatcher ally Industry Minister Keith Joseph.

The succession of international conferences, combined with the attention given by the British themselves to the Trilateral Commissioners in the midst of their own factional settlement, mark a turning point and a consolidation of a policy line internationally.

The policy decisions can be enumerated summarily.

(1) As identified in David Rockefeller's postcommission press conference (reported on wire services, but not in the major press), the decision was made to plunge the United States into a depression collapse.

(2) The decision was made to plunge ahead with the Brandt Commission's recommended reorganization of North-South relations.

(3) The decision was made to redouble efforts to secure military support from Japan, Western Europe

and selected Third World nations, including China, for efforts supposedly in defense of "threatened" raw material supplies in the Third World.

### **War-footing against the EMS**

In essence, the decisions can be reduced to a commitment to reorganize the entirety of the world economy still under the controlling influence of the London-centered oligarchy on a war-footing against the European Monetary System of French President Giscard d'Estaing and West German Chancellor Helmut Schmidt, and against the extension of that new monetary system into the Third World.

If these measures are analyzed from the standpoint of their global consequences, the Trilateral Commission and its British backers and prompters have opted for a short, quick march into hell. They have proposed that the rest of the human race join them in that venture.

The European Monetary System was established by Giscard and Schmidt two years ago, to provide an alternative to the collapsing dollar-based international monetary system and economy—a collapse which was then being engineered by Trilateral Commission flunkeys such as former Secretary of the Treasury Michael Blumenthal within the Carter administration. Now the Trilateral Commission proposes that since those policies have not worked, and since the crisis has gotten worse, the obvious thing to do is to intensify the policies that have already collapsed.

## Self-delusions and lies

In speeches such as that of former Japanese Foreign Minister Kiichi Miyazawa, the Commissioners recommend that their control over the collapsing world economy be maintained by the militarization of those sections of the world economy that can be brought under their control by upgrading political and economic relations from the standpoint of what Mr. Miyazawa sees as military and security requirements. Ramphal recommends that selected Third World countries, primarily large oil producers, be brought into such arrangements using the Brandt Commission program.

Immediately, the recommendations will mean genocide for large sections of the world's population and greatly enhance the danger of an early outbreak of thermonuclear war. To crush the European Monetary System, the British backers of the Commission propose a world financial reorganization shake out of the banking system to destroy sources of credit independent of London control, a grab for the Third World oil on which the European and Japanese economies depend, and the destruction of Third World nations not oil producers.

It is notable in that regard that such decisions were adopted in the face of massive self-delusion and lies. In no document was the existence of the European Monetary System noted. Though the Middle East crisis and the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan were ritual sources of complaint in every presentation to motivate the joint

militarization of the economies of the West, identified as having the "allies bear their share of the burden of responsibility," nowhere is it noted that the so-called allies know full well that the Trilateral Commission through its representation in the current U.S. government was more than prominent among those forces which have brought about the present crisis in the Near East and Southwest Asia in the first place.

This quality of self-delusion is compounded by the hysterical insistence of spokesmen like Christoph Bertram, the director of the International Institute of Strategic Studies, and Robert Ellsworth, the former ambassador to NATO, that the world situation can be inflamed by the maintenance and spread of regional hot-spots without crossing the threshold into general thermonuclear war. Thus the lunatics have abandoned the constraints that were previously holding them back from unleashing full blown international crises in the Caribbean, the Near East and South West Asia all of which have been heated up to the approaches to the threshold of superpower confrontation since the reported conferences.

The lies and the self-delusions signal that those sections of the oligarchy have lost touch with the reality of what is going on in the world, and are committed to a policy course of insanity no matter what the consequences of that course. The backers of the Trilateral Commission are opening the doors to hell.

## 'There must be fascism'

*The following statement, made during an interview this week, comes from a former U.S. intelligence official with wide experience in Anglo-American policy-making, who attended the recent meeting of the Trilateral Commission.*

I was in London for a couple of weeks. I was there for the Trilateral Commission meeting. In fact, though I didn't attend most of the meetings, I stayed in the same hotel where the conference was held. And I talked with David Rockefeller and George Ball.

The big question they faced was, how can you get the American people behind a strategy for salvaging American influence in the world and projecting American power? People are not behind anything anymore;

they just ask in a goddamn self-centered way, why does *my* son have to go there and fight?

I think the prevailing mood at the Trilateral meeting was defeatism. It was survivalism. How can we continue to live in a world that we don't control any more? How can we survive?

I don't want Nazism. But it's going to get very bad. Some of us, some of us with money, we've been talking—about getting out of the country. I'm thinking of going down to the Cayman Islands and just watch everything fall apart here. The country is going to go to hell. Nobody can do a damn thing. The Trilat discussed that, but the problem is that no candidate running for president can do anything—not Carter, not Reagan, not this LaRouche.

And the country will not stand for a strong hand. If a big depression hits, and it will, it's going to be worse than the 1930's. And there will be riots and demonstrations, this time organized by professionals. Then, maybe we'll have a demand for law-and-order, for a tough leader. It will be fascism.