

“free-market” economists, Schmidt turned to Pope John Paul, with whom he met a week earlier in Italy.

The chancellor instructed the opposition parties, the Christian Democrats and Christian Social Union, to study the papal encyclical as an antidote to the “ideological distortions” of “primitive capitalism.” Such problems as unemployment, Schmidt explained with reference to *On Human Work*, must not be left to the market to solve; the role of the state is crucial.

Schmidt praised the relatively “responsible” conduct of West German trade unions in the face of economic crisis in their industries, using the Pope’s definition of unions’ “responsibility” to defend workers’ interests without damaging the country in which they function.

The basis of Schmidt’s foreign policy, he underscored, is good relations with the United States, as well as with the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe. Soviet-American negotiations, Schmidt believes, “must go ahead.” The West German economics minister, Count Lambsdorff, predicts a future for Soviet-West German economic cooperation that would entail large industrial projects in metallurgy, chemicals, and energy after completion of a huge Siberian natural gas development deal. The periodical *Wirtschaftswoche*, which interviewed Lambsdorff before his Sept. 23 departure to tour the Siberian gas fields, reported that Germany could participate in construction of coal gasification plants in the huge new coal district of Kansk-Achinsk. The paper added that oil, too, would be a new area for Germany to invest in, perhaps in offshore exploration in the Caspian Sea. A 25-year economic cooperation treaty, signed by Schmidt and Brezhnev in 1978, provides the framework for many such projects, long beyond the Yamal natural gas pipeline.

## *‘Haig must cease efforts to topple Germany’s Schmidt’*

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

U.S. Secretary of State Alexander Haig, a shameless accomplice of Willy Brandt’s Socialist International, is conspicuously attempting to bring down the social-liberal (SPD-FDP) coalition government of Chancellor Schmidt in the Federal Republic of Germany.

What Haig is attempting to accomplish is a repeat of the process by which Nazi Adolf Hitler was brought to power in Weimar Germany. The first step in bringing Hitler to power in Weimar was the action of Hitler backer Hjalmar Schacht in leading the German Liberal Party out of the coalition government with Germany’s Social Democrats. This brought down the Müller government, and created the sequence of dictatorships lead-

ing through Brüning to Hitler.

The issue then and the issue today are identical. Then, Schacht demanded savage austerity. “Brüning style” austerity is the issue on which Haig’s backers have already attempted—unsuccessfully—to bring down the government during recent weeks. The “Brüning style” austerity is the issue around which Haig’s liberal and conservative admirers are being mobilized in efforts to bring down the government.

True, Schacht’s backing of Adolf Hitler succeeded only because there was a fascist rabble for Schacht to support. Is there an analogous fascist rabble with a minority mass basis today? There is. It no longer wears the color brown, but bears the green banner of “environmentalism.” The banner of the Baader-Meinhof terrorists, of the stormtroopers earlier, in city streets.

Like Hitler’s Nazis, today’s German “environmentalists” are not merely irrational philosophical anarchists. Like the Nazis they are mobilized about the mythos of the “triumph of the irrational will” over rationality generally and science and technology in particular.

Like Hitler’s Nazis, they must be crushed before they achieve political power, not afterwards.

If Haig succeeds in breaking the social-liberal coalition government in Germany, as Schacht did at the end of the ’20s, the new government will be unable to rule. Not only are the youth organizations of the Social Democracy and the Liberals dominated by rabidly irrational “environmentalists,” but the youth organization of the official opposition, the Junge Union, is also dominated by “environmentalist” voices.

A new government, without the popular Helmut Schmidt, would be at the present juncture a government of fits and starts, provoking bitter labor strikes at the same time the forces of the new fascist hooligans feast their strength on the desperation caused by austerity, as Hitler’s Nazis did during the 1929-1932 period.

If there is any difference between today’s German “environmentalists” and Hitler’s Nazis, today’s “green” fascists are far worse, far more degenerate morally than the Nazis, and capable of far greater crimes against humanity in behalf of their absurd doctrines. Like Hitler, today’s “green” fascists are rabid genocidalists, as evil as the genocidalists who early supported Hitler’s genocidal policies, like U.S. Gen. William Draper. They are supporters of the Club of Rome and Global 2000 which demands genocidal murder against many times more victims than Hitler succeeded in doing.

Let us not beat around the bush. Alexander Haig is supporting policies of genocide based on those proposed by President Carter’s *Global 2000 Report* and by the degenerate Gen. Maxwell Taylor of the Draper Fund, established for promoting the genocidal policies of Gen. William Draper. Haig is working to promote fascism in Germany, and is probably fit to be hung at Nuremberg himself. Get that bum out.

## 'You don't want someone like him steering policy'

"A decision must be made, as it is being discussed, to do something about the Schmidt problem," said a leading congressional defense expert with close ties into British-linked NATO circles.

"You don't want somebody like him steering policy, being the spokesman in Europe for NATO interests," he continued in a discussion late last month, because "accommodation with the Soviets is no answer. No real accommodation is possible except on Soviet terms. Certain people in Europe, in Britain, and here recognize this," he said.

"Schmidt can be toppled very easily," he emphasized. "Just put pressure on him to do things that make him look like an American lackey and let the left wing of the SPD [Schmidt's Social Democratic Party] take care of the rest. The left of the SPD is controlled by the U.S. State Department and similar places anyway," he said. "If the left wing of the SPD threatens to split, Schmidt would be forced to resign. He couldn't rule."

Schmidt's replacement, our informant is convinced, would be "Kohl from the CDU as a transitional leader" which would "make the alliance more manageable."

He noted that "the White House is not yet prepared to go ahead with this, though give it time. There are many people urging that it be done." He added that "Schmidt's playing hard ball on the NATO question may prompt some action along these lines."

"The questions about U.S. policy being raised by moderates like Schmidt are understandable," the defense expert admits, referring to his own recent trip to West Germany and other European countries where he had meetings with "strategic thinkers." "U.S. policy will destroy Europe both economically and militarily." He emphasized that it is the lack of "credible strategic leadership" in the United States that has made Schmidt "so dangerous in this period."

"The fact is that the other side has a strategic advantage, and the only real question is how we stop the Soviets from eventually deploying that advantage.

"Europe understands," he said, "that the U.S. cannot protect it from the Soviets, that the U.S. wants to make it a nuclear battlefield. . . . So Europe is shopping around for ways to protect itself. They are looking to develop their own relationship with the Soviets."

It is because of this overall climate, according to our source, that circles both in the United States and in Europe are actively plotting Schmidt's removal from office.

## EIR seminar

### North-South Relations and the Cancun Summit

Wednesday, October 14

Madison Hotel  
Dolly Madison Room  
15th Street and M Street Northwest  
Washington, D.C.

**Panel 1: Keys to Development:  
Education, Population and  
Energy.  
Why the Brandt Commission  
Report Won't Work**

9:00 a.m.—12 noon

**Speakers:** Carol White  
Editor, *Campaigner* magazine  
Author, *Energy Potential*  
*The New Dark Ages Conspiracy*  
Jon Gilbertson  
Director, Nuclear Engineering,  
Fusion Energy Foundation  
Ganesh Shukla  
Editor and Publisher of the  
Indian weekly *New Wave*

**Panel 2: Debate: Opposing Strategies  
for Development**  
2:00 p.m.—5:00 p.m.

**Speakers:** Dr. Ervin Laszlo,  
Special Fellow, UNITAR  
Criton Zoakos,  
Editor-in-Chief, *EIR*

\$50.00

For more information, contact Laura Cohen,  
202-223-8300