

many have employed over past decades. We reject such well-meaning advice, and rightly so. The fact—the simple fact—is that it is the result of the widespread practice of such tried-and-tested rules which has brought civilization to the present brink of collapse. Either we break with such tried-and-tested rules, or we all go down to Hell together, as the wage we earn for clinging to tried-and-true practices which have led us into this mess.

Get out of the foxholes and hit the enemy on the flank! It is not sufficient to be aggressive. It is necessary to know where and how to strike.

Go back to basics. Go back to those principles which saved humanity from the moral suicide of Roman Imperial law and culture, from the 14th-century Dark Age, from the Jesuit terror which erupted during the 1527-1653 Hapsburg looting of Europe. What are those principles of action which have been proven—over 2,500 years to date—the only efficient modes of action by which mankind can lift itself out of the hell of a new dark age? Only those rules can save us from the nightmare of Friedmanite fascism and its “green fascist” storm-troopers of today.

In the dialogues of Plato, in the Nicene doctrine, in the writings of St. Augustine, in the great works of the Golden Renaissance, there is repeatedly demonstrated that the principles of natural law associated with the conception of *imago vivo Dei* is the only means by which humanity can escape from the kind of Inferno to which the “Society of Judas” and its accomplices seek to condemn mankind.

It is the principle of reason (*Logos*) expressed through technological progress in human productive labor, combined with lawful respect for the implicit divinity of the human individual: It is these ideas and related ideas, which alone can enable humanity to survive.

Humanity can not survive if the leadership of the fight against the Gnostics is left to the judgment of those “little men” who dominate governments and parties of most nations today. The ICLC is the mediation for the effective deployment of those ideas and judgments which the “little men” of government lack the moral and intellectual development to originate without ICLC guidance.

The ICLC is the force to lead in “kicking against the pricks,” to force the truth through, even where “little men” find the truth unpleasantly abrasive. For example, you can not tolerate either Alexander Haig or Paul A. Volcker and not be an accomplice of a greater genocide than Adolf Hitler accomplished. That is considered intolerably abrasive in many quarters, but it is the pure and simple truth.

Stephen Mumford is right in one thing: I am his enemy, and he is mine.

Depopulation Lobby Profile

Mumford's superior targets refugees

Stephen Mumford currently works out of a depopulation think tank called the International Fertility Research Program in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. The Institute effectively functions as an outpost and policy-implementation arm of the International Planned Parenthood Federation, an organization whose forebears were among the most raving of eugenicists and advocates of Nazi “population policy.” The London-based IPPF funds major sterilization and anti-population programs throughout the developing sector.

Mumford's immediate superior is an expatriated British subject, Malcolm Potts, the former medical director of IPPF. Potts gained some notoriety a few years back by touring Cambodian refugee camps and advocating sterilizations. Potts candidly puts forward his views in an interview conducted in October and made available to EIR.

Q: I understand that you made a tour about a year ago of the refugee camps along the Thai-Cambodia border, and looked into the population-control programs that are being done with the refugees?

Potts: Yes. There has been a lot of controversy over this, it is a complicated problem. The Thais and Khmers are traditional enemies, but the Thais are a generous, gentle people. They don't want half a million refugees but they have not pushed them back either. The missionaries came in and started healing the sick. Some did a good job, others, like the Seventh Day Adventists, don't know their ass from a tit, and ideas of family planning never crossed their mind. Then there was one humanitarian Thai organization called Community-Based Refugee Services run by a man named Michi.

This group dug latrines for the people and began to offer family-planning services. They showed movies and it was the first time that most of [the refugees] had seen a film, and while the reels were being changed, they announced the family-planning programs.

No sterilization was done, as it would have been said that the Thais were trying to sterilize the Khmers. There were some Christian doctors who were offended by this and felt that the Thais *were* trying to sterilize the Khmers. Some began saying that the contraceptives would lead to

cancer, to scare away the people.

I couldn't find anyone who wanted to have a child in the refugee camp. . . . This corresponded with what I found in Bangladesh and Cyprus. I think that family planning should be part of refugee services all over the world.

There is a political overlay many times and people are afraid of being accused of genocide. In Afghanistan the Russians would say that of Pakistan.

Q: Did anyone make that accusation about the Thai program?

Potts: Yes, well they did in the West. It would be useful to have an article that says that refugees wouldn't wish to get pregnant.

Q: Are you associated with the government?

Potts: We have a very happy relationship with AID: if they need to purchase contraceptives, for example, they ask us which are the best ones.

Q: What do you foresee happening if the population crisis is not dealt with?

Potts: There will be irreversible changes in the environment, accelerating crises. People talk about the Muslim extremists in Egypt, but no one says there is 20 percent unemployment because of the population crisis.

Q: Do you think that the unrest that caused Sadat's murder was due to the population crisis?

Potts: There is no doubt about it. He built the Aswan Dam, irrigated 40 percent of the land and the population increased 40 percent. There is nothing more threatening politically than males unemployed. If Sadat had done something about this, he might be alive today. But the population program there never got off the ground. He was afraid politically. It's a politically volatile issue.

Q: What do you think the attitude of the new President [Mubarak] will be? Will it be easier for him to implement these programs?

Potts: It will be more difficult rather than less. You need a strong leader who has the time and the base to deal with it. Population may make a difference on whether a leader is assassinated in 15 years, but most leaders are not concerned about whether they will be toppled in the immediate time.

Q: How receptive to the issue is the Reagan administration?

Potts: The way to the heart of the administration is what will happen to the world if there are more people, the national security issue. This will help on the issue much more than if you just say people will die. You have to appeal to people's selfish interests.

Pan-European Profile



Otto von Hapsburg lauds the Socialists

The following interview with Pan-European Union leader Archduke Otto von Hapsburg was conducted on Oct. 25 by EIR Wiesbaden bureau chief Philip Golub, when he by chance met Mr. Hapsburg on a flight to Copenhagen. Less guarded than he might have been under other circumstances, Hapsburg endorsed the European Third Way movement, as well as the Socialist International, although he is regarded as an ultra-free-market conservative. Hapsburg was on his way to the Congress of the Center Party of Denmark.

Golub: Herr von Hapsburg, as you know, the Socialist International has just won significant electoral victories, first in France, and more recently in Greece. As a leader of the Pan-European Union what do you think of this? Don't you see the Socialist International as taking over most of Europe in the near future?

Hapsburg: No, no. These are not victories of the Socialists. What is really happening is that the "in's" are in trouble, and are on their way out, and the "out's" are on their way in. The international economic crisis is the cause of these developments. Let us take a different example. There is a right-wing turn in Spain, as evidenced by the elections in Galicia. This is a remarkable evolution, a turning point in Spain. What is important here is that Fraga's party [the neo-fascist party of Fraga Iribarne] is gaining from the UCD [the ruling coalition party of the center].

Golub: But, of course, you realize that a weakening of the UCD will help Felipe González of the Spanish Socialist Party to power in the next general elections?