EIR Special Report

London puts EIR at center of Mideast strategic storm

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS) and the Soviet KGB appear willing to risk blowing up the world in their allied efforts to bring the Moscow faction of Mikhail Andreevich Suslov to unchallenged supremacy in the current, ongoing Soviet leadership-succession brawl. Both are pressuring Israel to blow up the Middle East along the lines of a preemptive Israeli war echoing the *Suez Crisis* invasion of Egypt, or what RAND Corporation has long described as the *breakaway ally* scenario.

RAND Corporation, a creation of the SIS's Sussex Psychological Warfare Division (PWD), developed a scenario under which Israel broke its alliance with the United States by launching a preemptive war intended to send the entire Middle East up in smoke. At this moment, Israeli preemptive assaults against Syria, Iraq, Saudi Arabia—plus a bloody occupation of the southern half of Lebanon—are openly in preparation, as a *war government* looms out of an alliance of the Labour Party (*Mapai*) with Soviet KGB assets *Yitzhak Shamir* and *Ariel Sharon*. Only President Reagan's early decision to aid Lebanon with an "Eisenhower Tactic," a preemptive emplacement of U.S. military forces, could be expected to block a preemptive Israeli strike at this moment.

Meanwhile, top strata of SIS have situated *EIR* and its founder, LaRouche, at the center of this strategic storm. Beginning with a public outburst against *EIR* and LaRouche in the Oct. 22, 1981 issue of SIS's *New Scientist*, high-ranking SIS officials are on a world-wide mobilization against *EIR*, alleging that *EIR* and LaRouche have destroyed a major part of those SIS Middle East capabilities London was deploying as part of its effort to drive U.S.A. influence out of the Middle East.

SIS's Islamic sections are committed to bloody revenge against *EIR* and LaRouche, together with the supporters of a panic-stricken Aurelio Peccei, of the SIS front known as the Club of Rome. Higher-ranking SIS circles are willing to treat loss of key Muslim Brotherhood capabilities as "spilt milk." Higher-ranking SIS officials are preoccupied with what they fear *EIR* might accomplish next. By "next," those officials mean SIS's channels into upper

Israeli tanks in occupied territory. The "breakaway ally" potential has increased.

strata of the Soviet KGB through, chiefly, the hierarchy of the Russian Orthodox Church. (Cf. "Leonid Brezhnev Must Break With London Before It's Too Late," *EIR*, Oct. 27, 1981; and "Khomeiniacs Convene At Houston's Rothko Chapel Meeting," *EIR*, Nov. 10, 1981.)

There is no doubt that senior specialists of the U.S.A. intelligence community have far greater detailed factual knowledge of SIS-KGB collaboration than *EIR*. Why, then, SIS's special fear of *EIR*'s potential for blowing that collaboration open?

The religion angle

According to SIS executives, and such SIS assets as circles of Kissinger crony Donald Lesh, LaRouche's special danger to SIS is *EIR*'s demonstrated ability to thread its way through the complexities of religious networks. It is in networks run through the Jesuit order, the World Council of Churches headquarters in Geneva, the Anglican hierarchy, that the most sensitive collaboration between SIS and the Soviet KGB is run.

SIS is particularly alarmed by *EIR*'s focus upon the role of the Antiochian Church hierarchy in both the Middle East and Western Hemisphere. The Antiochian hierarchy is one of the most high-ranking and sensitive singularities in the multiple links among SIS, the KGB, and the KGB-tied faction (Ariel Sharon, Yitzhak Shamir) in Israel's leading circles, as well as the terrorist-deployment base in special training-centers in Cyprus. The recent tour of Antiochian Patriarch Ignatius to Moscow, through the East bloc, and down through Cyprus is, for example, of very great importance for

In This Section

understanding presently coordinated SIS, KGB and Sharon efforts to blow up the Middle East and the Balkan region.

SIS recognizes also that *EIR* is relatively unique in its accuracy of insight into the larger significance of the SIS-KGB Middle East game. The primary focus at this moment is an SIS-KGB collaboration in the effort to bring the Moscow faction of Mikhail Andreevich Suslov and Boris Ponomarev to unchallenged supremacy in the ongoing Soviet leadership-succession struggles. If Suslov's faction is brought to unchallenged power, SIS, believes, Moscow will cooperate with London and Venice in bringing a Malthusian, World-Federalist order into being.

Official U.S. strategic (and, therefore, intelligence) estimates have misevaluated the facts bearing directly on this danger from the SIS-KGB cooperation against Washington. If Washington should accept the *EIR* strategic estimate, the United States still commands sufficient political and other power to wreck the Malthusian strategy underlying London-Moscow connections.

The commonplace error around Washington is the habituated tendency to regard Henry A. Kissinger's and other known connections to Moscow as tending to suggest that Kissinger (for example) might be acting under Soviet KGB influence. On a certain level, all influential U.S. Malthusians, as well as Henry "the K" are virtual agents of the KGB. On a deeper level, such an evaluation is shallow-minded and dangerously wrong.

The source of the erroneous estimates in Washington is readily understood. Since Venice and London (including the Jesuits) orchestrated the February 1917 Revolution, and since an initially Jesuit-backed Lenin went against those who thought they controlled him, London has carefully orchestrated a Washington-Moscow strategic conflict, which has been greatly escalated since Prime Minister Winston Churchill's successful introduction of an intensified adversary relationship between Washington and Moscow over the 1944-1947 period. Therefore an historical, 60-year-long adversary relationship does in fact exist between the two capitals. It is understandable that most strategic analysts on both sides interpret everything of importance in the world as flowing from that adversary relationship.

What most U.S. strategic analysts have found it almost impossible to understand is that Henry "the K's" or Armand Hammer's connections to Moscow do not define Henry or Hammer as being in any fundamental sense Moscow agents. U.S. analysts generally are blind to the existence of a "Third Force"—the "Force X" of the James Bond fiction—which coordinates certain of the Moscow factions, as well as Malthusian factions in the West, all coordinated as part of what London defines as a "grand strategy" game of "balance of power."

U.S. analysts forget that Mazzini's "Young Europe," and the Socialist and Communist Internationals developed out of the "Young Europe" revolutionary wave of the 1840s, were created as a joint operation of London and Venice in the effort to wreck that rise of industrial-capitalist nation-states set into accelerated motion by the American Revolution. They forget that although Soviet industrial-nationalism has developed as a powerful organic impulse within Soviet Russia, that the pedigree of the Communist International was the Mazzini pedigree, the Jesuit-Palmerston pedigree. They forget that the hierarchy of the Russian Orthodox Church and its Uniate partner are key to spawning of all of the Mithra-cult varieties of religious and political cults spawned in 19th- and 20th-century Russia and the Balkans.

The key to these matters is found in the domain ordinarily named theology.

What ultimately controls the behavior of individuals and associations is the dominant philosophical world-outlook of those persons and organizations. Only two varieties of philosophical world-outlook have had a significant role in all recorded history of Mediterranean-centered civilization: the "city-state" versus the bucolic ("zero-technological growth") or "oligarchical" philosophical world-outlooks. In philosophy the commitment to technological progress, the city-state or republican outlook, is associated with the Platonic or Neoplatonic viewpoint, as typified by the recently-issued Papal Encyclical *Laborem Exercens*. The opposing, oligarchical or "Malthusian" philosophical outlook, is associated with the Cult of Apollo at Delphi, the Mithra cults, and the Hobbesian or "materialist"-empiricist dogma. Right-wing fascism and left-wing socialism (anarchism) are merely different varieties of the same oligarchical or Hobbesian world-outlook.

Historically, these differences in philosophical world-outlook take their most concentrated expression in religion. In religion, the individual defines his or her innermost sense of personal identity, the practical significance of his or her mortal existence and its fruits, in the limitless expansion of innumerable generations and in the expanse of continuing creation as a whole. Since people act as they define their innermost identity, the belief in a rational universe (universal, higher, knowable lawful ordering of creation) or, opposing that, in an irrational, "infinitely interconnected," universe without knowable higher law, defines the utmost quality of the individual's propensity to act.

The most sophisticated form of anti-Christian theology is that practiced by the Greek Orthodox (Justini-

an) hierarchy. The Gnostic hierarchy rarely intervenes visibly into the matters of secular policy. It cultivates the appearance of standing above and outside politics, in another world, but controls political life by shaping the innermost beliefs of its victim. It fosters in its dupes the philosophical outlook of the ancient oriental Mithra-cults, like the "blood and soil" cults of Russia and the Nazis, which predetermines the political susceptibilities of those dupes who mistake the Gnosticism of the oriental magicians for Christianity. The Jesuit order, more politically activist in organizing left-wing and right-wing bloodbaths through "social work" activities, expresses the same Gnostic philosophical world-outlook as the Greek or Russian Orthodox churches—or the Greek Catholic (Uniate) church of Romania and the Ukraine.

The fact that the Russian fascist organization, the NTS (Naroduyi Trudovi Soyuz—People's Labor Alliance), is linked to the command of the Soviet KGB through the Uniate-Orthodox hierarchies' interfaces, typifies the kinds of processes which Washington's strategic estimates have thus far failed to comprehend.

Saving the U.S.A. from catastrophe

Real intelligence warfare is never primarily of the "James Bond" varieties of spookery. Real intelligence warfare, in which the warring parties deploy their respective mastery of a science known as *epistemology,* the principles governing the shaping of the ideas by which people and institutions govern their practice. The weakness of U.S. strategic intelligence, the reason British SIS usually runs circles around U.S. intelligence, is that the American demands that policy-making be reduced to what American political figures define as "simple, practical" notions of rhetorical appeal to the ordinary, uninformed layman. The American politician is more concerned with producing a "popular, saleable" product as strategic estimate or policy, than with discovering the reality of the problem.

In every instance over known history, the city-builders have never won an important battle against their oligarchist opponents, except by showing that the methods of thinking, of policy-making of oligarchism lead to disasters as a practical consequence of that thinking. The trick, so to speak, is to make well-meaning, but misguided political forces aware of the connection between policy and practical consequences of policy.

Looking back to October-November 1979, the subsequent developments have proven conclusively that the warnings of the consequences of the Carter-Volcker monetary policy issued by then-Democratic presidential candidate LaRouche were totally correct and all opponents of LaRouche on this point were totally in error. It is the ability of Americans including the Reagan administration, to recognize that practical connection, on which the possible survival of the United States now depends. Arab governments, comparing the *EIR* analysis with the circumstances of the assassination of President Anwar Sadat, recognized *EIR*'s analysis to have been correct—and have acted accordingly. The same method could conceivably blow open the present collaboration among London, Moscow and Jerusalem. That is what leading SIS officials have stated they fear *EIR*'s work might possibly accomplish.

The Russian Orthodox Cathedral of Vasily the Blessed in Moscow's Red Square.