

EIR Special Report

Separatist terror: old Nazis play the Soviet card

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.


This article was written by Mr. LaRouche on June 20, 1982.

A very powerful and dangerous network operating as the Nazi international is presently a conduit deploying the greatest terrorist capability in history, in Europe, the United States, and elsewhere. The cover for this Nazi operation is a network of so-called “separatist movements,” the new, expanded cover for international terrorism. These Nazis, together with the powerful aristocratic and financier forces behind them, are simultaneously playing a monstrously clever and dangerous game: attempting, in one British view of this matter, to “play the Soviet Card.”

The objective of the powerful forces behind the deployment of this anthropologists’ nightmare of “separatist movements” is to generate throughout the planet a protracted condition of chaos—economic depression, regional wars, dionysiac orgies of assassination, rioting and insurrection in many nations. This process is intended to obliterate the institution of the sovereign nation-state and to bring forth out of chaos a Malthusian world-federalist order. The model of ideological reference for this fascist design is the utopian doctrine of the founder of Hapsburg’s Pan-European Union, Count Coudenhove-Kalergi.

These schemers are visibly embarked on an effort to lure elements of the Warsaw Pact into certain limited forms of collaboration with their world-federalist project. Sensible of Moscow’s lately increased desire that “the West destroy itself from within,” they have dragged even elements of the Soviet KGB into support of projects which some KGB officials in Western Europe have described as “controlled by right-wing forces.”¹ Naturally, the schemers are obsessed with their conviction that the dionysiac forces being unleashed in the West can be made to spill over rapidly into the countries of the Warsaw Pact.

Reference to this Nazi operation slipped in, in an indirect but irrefutable fashion, to yesterday’s televised half-hour press-conference with Israel’s Prime Minister Menachem Begin.² The relevant leak by Prime Minister Begin occurred in the content of an otherwise arrogantly absurd answer, appropriately directed to a journalist’s silly question.



This 1981 scenario for the balkanization of the United States is a rewrite of Idols of the Tribe, the 1974 book by MIT Professor Harold Issacs, a dean of those anthropologists now engaged in the Nazi-linked organizations coordinating separatist movements internationally. In Washington Post editor Joel Garreau's version, the United States is slated to break up in "the Foundry," the declining nation of the Northeast; the emerging nation of "Dixie"; the northern Pacific rim, or "Ecotopia"; "Mexamerica," including the Southwest and Mexico; the "Breakbasket," "Quebec," "the Empty Quarter," the money-laundering "Islands," and "New England."

Begin's allusion to the Nazi plot

In response to a journalist's almost ritual challenge to Mr. Begin concerning the rights of Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza, the Prime Minister made the following, extraordinary comparison of Palestinians with several presently simmering, terrorist-linked separatist movements. He reported that he had told the Foreign Minister of France that Israel treated these Palestinians better than France treated its Corsicans, that he had told the Foreign Minister of Italy of Italy's oppression of Tyroleans, and the Foreign Minister of Belgium of his nation's oppression of Walloons.

In what lunatic anthropologist's fantasies are Palastinians, Basques, Bretons, Alsatian nationalists, Walloons, Corsican terrorists, Sardinian nationalists, Tyrolean fascists, Sicilian Mafioso nationalists, Kalistan terrorists, Armenian terrorists, and native-American insurgency movements in the Western Hemisphere comparable in the sense Begin implied? There is only one organization in the world whose assorted forces are being "oppressed" by anti-terrorist forces of the governments typical of those Mr. Begin listed. That is a front-organization, staffed prominently by anthropologists as well as others, which is deployed by the Nazi international.

Mr. Begin's government is well aware of the Swiss-based Nazi international, and the degree of protection it enjoys by the Swiss government. There was the Breguet case in Israel, some years back, for example. Mr. Begin's government is well informed of the connections between the Nazi international and the separatist-

terrorist network. He is also informed of the network of aristocratic and financier interests behind this Nazi network, including some of the same elements which created Organization Consul and the Thule Society, and created both Adolf Hitler's Nazis and the political careers of the Strasser brothers in Bavaria during the 1920s.

So that we may focus on the main point to be considered here, and put the Palestinian Question to one side in this connection, there are a few relevant things one ought to know concerning Mr. Begin and his world outlook. If the TV journalist had not been a silly journalist, he would have known the Prime Minister better than to put such a question in such a fruitless form.

It is the principle implicit in the doctrine of "Greater Israel" that any Arab continuing to reside on the indicated real estate is a trespasser, who brings upon himself any misfortunes imposed upon him by the rightful owner.

Many Israelis disagree with this doctrine of Eretz Israel. They desire nothing but a secure homeland, away from ages of European anti-Semitism and pogroms, that culminated in millions of Jewish deaths either by outright murder or in the slave-labor camps of Hitler's Albert Speer. Many Israelis prefer a way to peace with their Arab neighbors, but nearly all Israelis, including those who view Mr. Begin as no better than a "lesser evil" to Alexander Haig's accomplice, Ariel Sharon, would agree on the image of the heroism of the Warsaw Ghetto fighters.

Until the day the superpowers come to their senses, and place a credible steel shield of perpetual security around Israel's borders, or until Israel is destroyed by the lunatic strategic follies of miscalculation of a madman like Sharon, Israel's peace factions will be repeatedly outflanked by the warhawks on the appearance of each new perceived threat to Israel's existence. Durable peace is possible, but not as long as a Kissinger, a Vance or Haig is running amok as U.S. Secretary of State.

There is a second, extremely important feature of Mr. Begin's policy. Whether he is aware of this connection or not, Menachem Begin is a consummate follower of the political doctrine of the German sociologist Max Weber. If one does not understand the implications of this, one understands almost nothing about his statecraft.

Max Weber was one of a long stream of German romantics flowing out of the salon of Lausanne's Madame de Staël, through Arthur Schopenhauer, and into Friedrich Nietzsche, Rudolf Steiner, and the existentialists Karl Jaspers, Martin Heidegger, and Martin Buber. By romanticism one means essentially philosophical irrationalism, a rejection of the notion of a knowable higher lawfulness in the proper ordering of society or the universe generally. The individual's "inner psychological needs" are decreed the impulse he must satisfy, no matter how arbitrary, irrational, or even destructive those desires may be. To discover, adopt and stimulate a "feeling-state" in oneself which produces the desired existential state is everything, as Kierkegaard and the evil *Steppenwolf* of Hermann Hesse illustrate this. Weber, like his contemporary fellow-irrationalist Rudolf Steiner, was among those who attempted to make a complete system of this irrationalistic doctrine. Weber's doctrine is called "sociology."

Weber together with the American pragmatists William James and John Dewey are generally cited as the putative fathers of a political-sociological-psychological doctrine called "pluralism." What William James's *Varieties of Religious Experience* was to theology, Weber's dogma was to politics. Weber focused not only upon the individual's irrational "needs," but upon the irrational "needs" of entire groups within the political processes of society at large.³

No higher rationality can determine what is right in politics, Weber insisted. Political parties based on a rationalistic world-outlook (*Weltanschauung*) must be destroyed, to be replaced by pluralist parties. In the political process, society must be divided into groups, such that each group adopts an irrational set of principles of perceived self-interests and goals ("ideology"), and limits the rational powers of the mind to devising means by which to impose demands flowing from that ideology upon society as a whole.

Today's rampaging anti-nuclear hooligans and terrorist groups generally are consistent with Weberian doctrine. The Nazi "Triumph of the Will" was a Nietzschean existentialist doctrine also fully consistent on this point with Weber's doctrine, just as Weber's student and collaborator embraced Mussolini's fascism on the same methodological premises. Sorel's doctrine of the fascist *mythos* is consistent with Weber's principles of pluralism.

Mr. Begin's policy is that he is accountable to nothing but what he perceives to be Jewish-Israeli self-interests and goals. Questions of universal morality, of interests of other peoples, nations, and so forth are matters of philosophical irrelevance to him. His policy of practice is that he and Israel must be clever enough, make themselves sufficiently strong, to find pathways to impose Israel's policies on the rest of the world by *fait accompli*.

According to Mr. Begin's Weberian logic of statecraft, Israel's policy can be changed from the outside only by demonstrating that vital Israeli interests, as his ideology defines those interests, would be more or less surely injured if a certain course of action is pursued by him or by Israel.

The political practice of Mr. Begin, like that of numerous other leading Israeli figures, is informed by embossed memory of Hitler's early successes in diplomacy, and the contemptible indecisiveness of sweaty-palmed foreign diplomats and their governments today. He gauges carefully the behavior of the governments of the superpowers and other governments, and bluffs (usually successfully) on the basis of well-informed and keen insight into the governments upon whom he is about to impose yet another *fait accompli*.

Israel has by no means the degree of independent capabilities which the record admittedly does suggest. Israel is a key pawn in a Middle East game, in which both the Israeli and Arab side have been rigged from the beginning by a combination of British forces with continental circles best summarily described as "Venetian." The archives show that the principal Arab Nazis of the 1930s and 1940s—including the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem and the Falange—were fed to Admiral Canaris's Second Division of the Abwehr by British SIS's Arab Bureau, and that both Canaris and the German foreign office knew this to be the case at that time. On the Israeli side there was Britain's Orde Wingate, and Ariel Sharon is a de facto agent of a former British governor of Cyprus, Lord Caradon. Britain is playing a "great game" in the Middle East, a game modeled directly on the manner in which Venice ran the inside of the Ottoman Empire and the Balkans from the middle of the 15th century through the Balkan Wars which triggered World War I. Britain will tolerate Israel to run loose as long as the British monarchy considers

these antics either useful or merely amusing. Meanwhile, until Britain pulls the string, Israel plays its assigned "living theater"—part with vigor. Israel commands the realm within the goldfish-bowl, but the British are holding the bowl, and might drop it almost when they please.

As for Israel's current invasion of Lebanon, Begin's argument is rudimentary: "Haig has cost the United States its last friends among the Arabs. Wonderful! If the Soviets should, unexpectedly, intervene against us in this affair, the United States will have to save us as its only remaining option in the Middle East."

If Menachem Begin thought at all later about the silly journalist who asked the question during the TV broadcast, Begin might have heard himself thinking: "What a schlemiel"—which is Yiddish for a nebbish who reads the *New York Times*.

As for the meaning of Begin's reply, read it as follows: "You governments who are criticizing my troubles with the Palestinians: you will be soon enough up to your ears in the locust-horde of separatist-terrorists some Nazis are about to unleash upon you."

Nazis, then and now

One of the principal reasons neo-Nazi networks have been able to build up their power under the noses of governments is the wide acceptance of the Hollywood myth of the Nazi as a Prussian officer with a swastika-brassard, brought to power by an evil cabal of German industrialists. In fact, the typical Nazi inner base was recruited into the Nazi Party from the Weimar "environmentalist" counterculture, often by way of Gregor Strasser's Youth Movement. Strip away the uniform and the paramilitary posturing, and he was a 1920s version of the 1965-1982 rock-drug-sex counterculture now storming about in anti-nuclear mass-rallies in the United States or Europe.

The significance of the uniform and paramilitary posturing were reflections of what the popular image of raw power was during the 1920s and 1930s. Today, the corresponding image is that of a guerrilla-fighter whose automatic pistol or assault-rifle one might imagine only temporarily out of sight.

In general, the Nazis hated the Prussian elite, hated the culture of modern industry, and hated the rationalism of German science. They were not nationalists in the 19th-century meaning of the term; they were fanatically Anglo-Saxon racialists, who required the otherwise hated instruments of German science and industry, and the Prussian professional officer-corps they wished to destroy, to enable them to reduce drastically the non-Anglo-Saxon racial stock of Eastern Europe and Russia, to make room for agrarian settlements of fecund Anglo-Saxon settlers upon the occupied territories.

Otherwise, the oligarchical forces which created the

Nazis under the influence of the Thule Society saw the Hitler form as a temporary phase in a succession of movements flowing out of the ideology of Friedrich Nietzsche and Aleister Crowley. These sponsors detached themselves from their Hitler-creation at various points in the process, and in the end negotiated with the British and Americans such as Allen Dulles to save selected Nazi assets for the work of preparing the successor-phase.

A few highlights of Nietzschean ideology, as underlined by Armin Mohler's *The Conservative Revolution*,⁴ are sufficient for our purposes here.

Nietzsche, like Crowley,⁵ centered his fascist program around the theme: "God is dead; the Age of Aquarius (Dionysos) must terminate the Age of Pisces (Christ)." Nietzsche based his program on the Manichean model: the age of rationality must be destroyed by the weapons of Chaos to produce Chaos; out of Chaos will emerge the new dionysian man for whom neither Good nor Evil are distinguishable.

The Nazis were to promote fascism and to bring Chaos into being, but Hitler's specific objectives were not entirely those of the overlapping, powerful mixture of aristocratic and rentier-financier interests behind the Nazi projects then and now.

The immediate objective of these feudalistic oligarchists is to destroy every institution brought into being by the 15th-century Golden Renaissance, most emphatically the modern sovereign nation-state, and to build a new world societal form referenced to the feudalistic order of the early 14th century, as John Ruskin's Pre-Raphaelite Brotherhood had proposed in Britain.⁶ The kind of world-order these oligarchist backers of Nazism sought, then and now, is broadly indicated by Richard Count Coudenhove-Kalergi's design of the utopian ideology of Hapsburg's Pan-European Union: a one-world model constituted as a federation of regional satrapies, resembling the form of the Persian Empire under the Achaemenids.⁷

The function of successive fascist movements, in the intent of these oligarchists, was to obliterate the roots of the institutions of Judeo-Christian civilization—including rationalist science and the nation-state form. The means proposed was an unleashing of combined physical and moral chaos such as must eradicate memory of those republican institutions from the memory of future generations. Out of this chaos, the new form of oligarchical society would emerge. It was to be made possible by a "total revolution," or, in other words, "universal fascism."

It was the portion of Nazi cadre attuned to the kind of universal fascism preferred by the Siemens Stiftung's Armin Mohler⁸ which were preferred assets to be rescued with aid of the British and Americans such as Allen Dulles.

Perhaps Adolf Hitler took seriously the idea of a final Nazi redoubt to resist the military forces of the Allies in southern Germany, western Austria, the Tyrol, and so forth. The oligarchs generally never took it seriously *in that form*. There was to be a redoubt in these and adjoining regions, but not a redoubt to be transformed into rubble of futile military resistance. It was to be the “heartland” of the “universal SS” salvaged from the remains of Hitler’s defeat, a redoubt centered in Switzerland, where the universal-SS spider would build its power patiently for the next, different form of assault against civilization.

This was never hidden from the ruling British oligarchy, or from complicit oligarchical families such as the Morgans, Moores, Harrimans or Fields in the United States. Like the Morgans’ Dulleses, the Rockefeller Foundation, the Ford Foundation of Robert Hutchins and McGeorge Bundy, the Tavistock authors and controllers of the RAND Corporation, and the circles behind the Aspen Institute, the forces who had been decisive in bringing Hitler into power and supporting him into 1938, were the Anglo-American component of the same forces as the Venetian-Hapsburg oligarchy of southern portions of Europe.

Who used the capabilities of the Socialist International to bring SDS into being in coordinated fashion on both sides of the Atlantic? Who sponsored the pilot-projects of the 1950s—around Aldous Huxley, Gregory Bateson, Margaret Mead, and others—which experimented in psychedelics and synthetic cults, and launched the nationwide rock-drug-sex counterculture out of places such as Palo Alto beginning approximately 1963? Who directed the Johnson administration to begin tearing down the U.S. aerospace research and development capability during 1966-67? Who ordered Henry Kissinger to establish Malthusian policy-making institutions in the National Security Council, State Department, and in NATO’s Committee on Challenges of Modern Society beginning 1969? Who orchestrated the coordinated launching of the international “environmentalist” movement out of nothing but the relics of the “New Left” beginning Autumn 1969? Who funds world-federalist projects, population-reduction lobbies, anti-nuclear lobbies, lobbies for legalization of dangerous “recreational substances,” “right to die” lobbies, and anthropology and legal projects fostering separatist-terror groups among selected “particularist” groups? Who creates and funds “peace movements” intended to shift NATO military policy to “conventional warfare” against the nations and populations of Ibero-America, Africa and Asia? What interests have been promoting Anglo-Saxon racialism in the guise of “eugenics” out of such precincts as New York City’s American Museum of Natural History, where support for Hitler’s racial doctrines was rallied by Mary Harriman and others in 1932?

In the overlays of the answers to those questions one has conclusive proof of which aristocratic and allied financier interests are behind the “universal fascist” projects of today.

The separatist-terrorism scenario

The best-known of the scenarios of chaos intended to bring the Malthusian world-federalist order into being, is the so-called Bernard Lewis Plan, sometimes known as Zbigniew Brzezinski’s “Arc of Crisis” policy.⁹

Bernard Lewis was a protégé of the Arab Bureau of British SIS. He was seconded to the United States, to conduit the British plan for the “Arc of Crisis” through the auspices of the British Petroleum-linked Aspen Institute. The conduited British design was dragged into the State Department by Henry A. Kissinger, and the plan’s putative designer, Lewis, is now situated at Princeton, where he heads up an academic task-force, including Ramsey Clark-ally Richard Falk, specializing in destabilization of those Islamic nations presently surviving the Khomeini tragedy.

The plan prescribed the destruction of all of the existing nation-states of the “Arc of Crisis.” A complex of separatist movements was to serve as the means for dividing existing nations among a proliferation of tiny semi-autonomous political entities, who would perpetuate the endemic warfare by which they have been brought into existence, efficiently sending the region back into a dark age of, predominantly, bloodily competing varieties of rabid Asharism,¹⁰ thus depopulating the region savagely.

This was only a pilot-model for the same sort of separatist projects on a world-wide scale. The United States was not to escape the same general sort of treatment currently in progress in Iran. Recently, a former editor of the *Washington Post*, and therefore doubtless influenced by the obvious depravity of such associations, published a book entitled *The Nine Nations of North America*. The book is essentially a rewrite of a 1974 book by an MIT professor, Harold Isaacs, *The Idols of the Tribe*; Isaacs is a dean among the anthropologists now engaged in the Nazi-linked organizations coordinating separatist movements.¹¹

Almost every obscure sort of criterion is dredged up by anthropologists as pretext for separation of some obscure grouplet from an existing nation-state. Each little such group enjoys the blessing of some anthropologists, sociologists or missionaries of the World Council of Churches varieties, attached to it as a social-work controllership. Through networks of complicit anthropologists, divinity schools and relief organizations, the lines of control are linked back to one or another of the nest of “indigenous-culture-preservation associations” linked jointly to the Nazi international and the higher orbit of the World Wildlife Fund.

by want of modern technology, education, modern

medicine and hygiene, or because of a life crushed by combined burdens of ignorance, superstitions and the fragile subsistence of labor-intensive toil. Exactly the contrary: ignorance, superstition, brutish local customs, and wretched subsistence are now ranked as a biologically-determined "ethnic heritage," which must not be tainted by hint of change, lest the would-be innovator be charged with "attempted ethnocide." On behalf of the preservation of such "genetically determined" cultural values, the small tribes "threatened" by modern knowledge's intrusions must arm themselves to establish their "own nation." Thus, we witness in political practice the intrinsic implications of British—or better, *Brutish*—anthropology's racist dogma of "cultural relativism."


The world is to be transformed into a bloody—quite literally, bloody—anthropologist's zoo!¹²

The Soviet role

According to certain Spanish sources, Franco's Blue Division, assigned to aid the Wehrmacht in "Operation Barbarossa," turned up a document in the Ukraine residence of an official of the Soviet state security service, ostensibly a post-conviction interrogation of Christian Rakovsky in his cell in Moscow's Lubyanka prison. This was published as the *Rakovsky Protocol*, purporting to have Rakovsky revealing his knowledge of an international bankers' plot directing the section of the Soviet opposition to which Rakovsky was attached.

Either the document is a clever concoction, or Rakovsky was either lying or in reduced mental capacity at the time of the interview. By pedigree, Rakovsky had been complicit in a pre-1919 banker-run plot, as a sub-agent of Alexander Helphand (Parvus). Parvus had been, in turn, the agent of Venice's Volpi di Misurata, the latter the controller of Benito Mussolini's rise to power, and earlier the coordinator of the anarchist operations in the Balkans which triggered World War I. Bukharin and Radek, among a number of leading Bolsheviks of the 1920s, had been Parvus sub-agents, Bukharin himself also under the influence of Hapsburg intelligence services. Although Rakovsky purportedly admits to a banker-linked plot, the purported transcript of the interrogation cites none of the knowledge of the actual plot directly known by name, date and place to the actual Rakovsky.

This is cited to illustrate an unresolved problem of the writer. Although this writer has knowledge that former SIS agents Maclean and KGB General Philby were planted in Moscow as "triple agents" of the British monarchical household, knows the connections of Robert Swann through the Romanian Uniate Church, and knows other things of that sort, including penetration of the Russian State Church through such routes as Antioch, the extent of a deep-agent network left over from the Parvus apparatus is not known with certainty.



Stuart Lewis/NSIPS

The counterculture was deliberately created.

The importance of that ambiguity for this subject at hand is that it becomes difficult, because of this very ambiguity, to assess to what degree Moscow does certain things out of admiration of its own imagined cleverness, and to which degree deep moles of the Parvus variety might be influencing Moscow's policy for reason of affinities to the cause of the oligarchists behind separatist and related terrorist operations.

When a Robert Moss or Menachem Begin asserts that international terrorism is a Moscow creation, I know that they are lying shamelessly. It would be, relatively, far more accurate, if not precise, to say that international terrorism is coordinated through the apparatus under Jonkheer Joseph Luns, the General Secretary of NATO. (Just because it's NATO does not mean that it is necessarily on the same side as the United States! NATO, sold to the United States as purely a military general staff of allied nations, has been transformed by degrees into something radically different than the original intention, establishing a very meddlesome and large approximation of a supranational government under the wing of the Political Secretariat.)¹³

As we have indicated, both the old and emerging phase of post-1968 international terrorism are known to be coordinated chiefly out of the same location as late 19th-century terrorism and the assassin who traveled through Emma Goldman's New York Henry Street Settlement House to assassinate President William McKinley: Switzerland. In Switzerland, it is the Nazi-international cut-out which chiefly coordinates international terrorism. This Begin and Moss know as well as

they should know the British government's role in deploying international terrorists.

To report that Moss and Begin are liars on this question is quite a different matter than to attempt to answer with a simple "Yes" or "No" whether or not the East bloc is or has been involved in international terrorism—as distinct from the ordinary sort of assassination which is the common practice of most nations today. The best answer is that Moscow did not create and does not control the international-terrorist apparatus existing today, but that whether Moscow's agents penetrate this apparatus and sometimes make use of its facilities for one purpose or another, I would have to estimate: "Broadly speaking, they do."

The best illustration of the point is afforded by examining Moscow's substantial backing for the "nuclear freeze" and "peace movement." The present "peace movement" is run out of NATO, with rather different purposes in mind than all but a handful of the peace-marchers would suspect,¹⁴ and with the Nazi international significantly involved. On the U.S.A. side, the corollary movement is run by the Harriman-centered circles, which also provide some of the coordination for Petra Kelly's operations in Europe. Moscow is aware, in significant degree, of who runs this bit of political street-theater. Nonetheless, since to support this movement is to support those British and Harrimanite circles who are disposed to consider cancelling Henry Kissinger's 1979 order to deploy U.S. Euromissiles into Germany, Moscow is disposed to support the movement massively, if pragmatically. Which is not to imply that the Warsaw Pact is disposed to tolerate the same peace movement for a single day in its own territories!—as approximately a dozen arrests and temporary detentions in Moscow underline.

On terrorism, this must be said. Moscow's standing policy is to strike a posture of support for whatever it certifies as a "national liberation movement," including the Palestine Liberation Organization. This policy sometimes places Moscow in the curious position of affecting support for entities such as the Polisario, but the view of such matters in Moscow appears to be, "Better an occasionally embarrassing mistake under a generally sound policy than scrap the policy." Since some of these liberation movements have terrorist arms, appropriate conclusions either do or at least appear to follow.

What, then, if some of the powerful forces behind the Nazi international and interlinked assets make an attractive offer to Moscow, at a time Moscow's rage against the United States is more intense than at any time in recent memory? Even if Moscow knew this were a bunch of Nazis, with which it might have made certain expedient accommodations in the past, as do certain Israeli circles, how would Moscow react?

This must be considered against the background of Lord Carrington's "New Yalta" project. Carrington's game was to isolate the United States, pushing Washington into a sulking, "Fortress United States" retreat from its former responsibilities of international leadership. Under conditions of crisis, Britain would then offer to Moscow a recarving of the world, including what might appear substantial concessions in real-estate to the Moscow side: the alliance of Croatian and Albanian forces for the dismemberment of Yugoslavia and increased Soviet spheres of influence in the Middle East must be watched from this standpoint of reference.

In such a drift of the strategic situation, would Moscow's pragmatic support of the peace movement and implicit support of nastier aspects of national-liberation movements be extendable to manifestations such as a Nazi-international-linked deployment of separatist forms of terrorist-edged "national liberation movements"? In the case of the destabilization of Iran, the answer was, in effect, "Yes."

Would it do so, if it did, merely pragmatically, or would what Moscow intended to be a pragmatic posture create conditions favorable to more overt deployment by deeply planted Warsaw Pact networks allied to the same oligarchy which runs the Nazi international? This is an area of ambiguity pregnant with the potential of thermonuclear war.

We have knowledge such an offer toward Moscow is being developed. So far, we have not seen documents showing intent, but the kind of deployments consistent with such an intent are under way. We can only say at the moment: Watch Czechoslovakia; the seeds of World War III might just be brewing there, if not also elsewhere, during the present period.

-
1. This was the expression volunteered by a well-known, Western-Europe-based KGB controller to undercover operatives penetrating one destabilization operation in which that particular gentleman turned up, not altogether to the astonishment of the investigators.
 2. (CBS-TV, "Meet the Press," June 20, 1982).
 3. The analysis of Weber's work was developed by Dr. Helmut Böttiger of Germany.
 4. Stuttgart, Vorwerk, 1950. Published treatments of Mohler and the implications of his doctrine have been given by Helga Zepp-LaRouche in several locations, including *Neue Solidarität*, Jan. 1982 "The Historical Roots of German Fascism," *New Solidarity*, Jan. 25 and 28, Feb. 1, 1982.
 5. Aleister Crowley was nominally Madame Blavatsky's successor in leadership of the Theosophist movement, and the superior of Germany's Rudolf Steiner up to the point Steiner spun off his anthroposophic branch of Theosophy to keep matters within bounds tolerable to Steiner's Social-Democratic and other patrons. Steiner's principal angel became Waldorf of the Astor-Waldorf alliance: hence, Waldorf Schools. Crowley was chief priest of the Isis-Urania Temple of the Hermeticist cult of the Golden Dawn, with branches in Edinburgh and Paris, as well as

London. It was into this order that Crowley inducted H.G. Wells' protégés Julian and Aldous Huxley, the latter a key figure of the Palo Alto, California cult-synthesizing and LSD-25 pilot-projects of the 1950s.

6. Cf. Carol White, *The New Dark Ages*, New York, 1980, passim.
7. This was also the doctrine adopted and promoted by the Chicago-based founder of the Anti-Defamation League, a key U.S. asset of British intelligence services. On Coudenhove-Kalergi and the Pan-European Union, an intensive report has been published in Germany, *Spuren und Motiv*, May and June, 1982.
8. Mohler, consistent with this fascist doctrine, is a defender of the anti-nuclear movement. This seems merely curious, when one notes that the Siemens firm has moved into virtual monopoly of policy-control over Germany's nuclear industry. It becomes something more than merely a curiosity, when Mohler's propaganda produced at the Siemens Stiftung was circulated to the public through offices of the Siemens firm itself. Mohler was a Swiss Nazi who volunteered for service with Hitler's SS during the war. His text defending his own version of Nazi ideology was originally completed under the supervision of Professor Karl Jaspers in 1949, and has been reissued in updated editions since.
9. Cf. Robert Dreyfuss, *Hostage to Khomeini* New York, 1980. This non-fiction best-seller details the Lewis Plan and its role in the rise of Khomeini to power.
10. The variety of irrationalist theology typified by Ruhollah Khomeini's sodomic-scatalogical text in theology falls under a category best known in the Islamic world as Asharism, after the predecessor of the book-burner al-Ghazali, al-Ashari. It was the promotion of Ashrism by al-Ghazali, himself an agent of the Seljuk Turks, which virtually destroyed the civilization of the Arab Renaissance from within. The British, since their initial psychological-warfare profiling of Islamic populations in Calcutta, decided that their fostering of Asharism represented the easiest way in which to assure that Islamic nations could be kept in the pliable condition the British required. Khomeini is one of the products of the British intelligence services' operations based on this tactic.
11. Isaacs, now retired, was reported by influential figures of the Nazi-linked anthropology network to be regarded as a prominent contributor to their continuing work.
12. After the writer's wife had attacked Aurelio Peccei's circle as proposing Nuremberg-Code-prohibited genocide, at the 1974 Bucharest conference organized by John D. Rockefeller III, Dame Margaret Mead, self-avowed, subsequently deceased Isis-priestess, attempted to assault my wife with the great, horned, Isis-priestess staff with which she used to thump her surly, evil way about the corridors of the American Museum of Natural History. Mead, whose former husband, Gregory Bateson, was deeply implicated in MK-Ultra and the Anglican Church's cult-designing operations at Lindisfarne, was one of the nastiest figures in anthropology since the 1930s. A collaborator of Robert Hutchins and Bertrand Russell, Mead supported Club of Rome genocidal doctrines and was among the nastier exponents of the cultural relativist ideology now associated with the Nazi-linked anthropology operations.
13. Cf. Laurent Murawiec, "How NATO Created Environmentalism and the Peace Movement," *EIR*, Vol. 9, No. 23, June 15, 1982.
14. Cf. L. H. LaRouche, Jr. "Report to the May 19 Washington, D.C. EIR Conference," *EIR*, June 1, 1982.

Case Study

Separatist mayhem for Central America

by Gretchen Small

From an ongoing investigation by a research team in Europe, Ibero-America, and the United States.

Central America is being readied for a yet worsened phase of fighting and bloodshed. Indian "separatist" uprisings against the governments of Nicaragua, Guatemala, Mexico, and Panama are likely over the next several months, and it is likely that they will be met with bloody suppression. The rallying cry around which the separatists are being organized is armed defense of "traditional ways of life" against industry and other modern advances, and the destruction of any government which might attempt to "impose" development upon these peoples.

The separatist movements are neither native nor indigenous to the region. Running the Indian revolts "on the ground" in Central America is an international network of anthropologists financed by leading members of Europe's "black nobility" including Count Otto von Hapsburg, agents of the British Crown, associates of eugenicist W. Averell Harriman in the U.S. State Department and intelligence apparatus, and operatives in the United Nations and the Organization of American States. British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher's otherwise ludicrous pledge of British support for the principle of "self-determination" for all "peoples" over governments (as in the case of the 1,800 employees of the Falkland Islands Company who live in the Malvinas Islands) signals the British Crown's upgraded support for these movements.

Central America, where the governments are weak and vulnerable, is prime territory for such movements. British policy makers are predicting separatist outbreaks in the region; Lord Cecil of Schroeders Bank recently reported that British interests are now looking for "turmoil" in Central America to follow the British campaign in the Falklands. The ongoing "left" versus "right" battles which have given Central America the lead in the London *Economist's* "modern mass murder sweepstakes" two months ago will look like a preliminary