

# EIR Special Report

## How Henry Kissinger will be destroyed

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

*"Hey, lemming, please don't go over that cliff!"  
"Leave me alone, you meddler! I wish to stick to the opinions shared among my friends!"*

Among the lesser of Henry A. Kissinger's lewd offenses against public morality and the human species generally, he is an avowed admirer of the British philosopher, Thomas Hobbes. So, Kissinger insisted in a public address given to a London Chatham House audience this past May 10. More recently, in a public address to a large audience in San Antonio, Texas, Kissinger echoed the arch-Nazi Friedrich Nietzsche; he advised his mostly foolish Texas audience to welcome the eruption of chaos in the Middle East and elsewhere, as the pathway leading into a new world order.

In the days following Kissinger's San Antonio address, the Bashir Gemayel government of Lebanon was murdered in an explosion, and soon after that, Haddads' butchers committed a monumental atrocity of mass-murder against thousands of defenseless old men, women, and children of Palestinian refugee-camps in Lebanon. Those now known to have been responsible for both atrocities are political allies of Kissinger's, elements of the Club of Rome and overlapping Middle East elements of the Nazi Abwehr's Abteilung II organization.

Soon, most probably, Henry Kissinger will be destroyed, at the zenith of his apparent political power. Probably, his tragic downfall will be awe-inspiring to many, and may be regarded as terrible, apocalyptic justice by many religious folk.

One might wish that this scoundrel would survive the Fate of Oedipus about to strike him. Let him be a politically powerless, friendless piece of wretched political carrion, walking from place to place, to be the object of scorn for those widows and orphans who know him to have been the instrument of their own deprivation. So, let that course of history be God's justice on this unspeakable *Golem*.

Admittedly, we cannot forecast the details of the matter. Kissinger is reportedly a poor cardio-vascular risk, and, because of his psycho-sexual similarities to the Emperor Nero, might choose that coward's way out of his troubles. Let us speculate no more on the details. For this report, Kissinger serves as the concrete



NSIPS/Philip Ulanowsky

*Demonstrators greet Mexican President José López Portillo's speech at the United Nations General Assembly Oct. 1, in which he identified the danger of a New Dark Ages.*

point of focus which aids the reader to comprehend what might otherwise appear relatively abstract.

The general point of strategic analysis to be made here, is to show the connection between Kissinger's impending doom and the related risk that the United States itself might be similarly destroyed by those cumulative, habituated follies our government and leading political parties have practiced, especially over the period from about 1966 to the present date. This is a scientific forecast, supplied in place of a non-available prophecy. There being no Elijah or Daniel present to prophecy, we must rely upon forecast, to hope to persuade our government to quickly change its ways, before imminent doom strikes it and our nation, as well as the tragic Kissinger.

To this purpose, we demonstrate, as a matter of scientific lawfulness, how and why God is about to strike down Henry A. Kissinger. We present here not an all-exhaustive argument, but merely a scientifically adequate argument. This adequate demonstration is accomplished by focusing chiefly on the tragic implications embedded in one leading facet of Kissinger's adopted philosophical world-outlook: British philosophy.

### **The Satanic element in British philosophy**

It is a simple matter to prove conclusively that British philosophy is intrinsically Satanic. By proving this, we show the root of Kissinger's imminent downfall, and, as we shall demonstrate, we show this connection with scientific precision.

As the British academics themselves define what they call British philosophy, all British philosophy is premised upon two, approximately axiomatic, interdependent, implic-

it assumptions. The first such assumption of all British philosophy, is that God exists only as a wishful figment of fearful imaginations. The second assumption, is that there exists no higher body of knowable lawfulness in the universe. There exists, according to Bacon, Hobbes, Locke, Hume, and so on, only the convenience of plausible, empiricist explanations of phenomena. Such explanations are plausible—"credible"—to the degree they coincide with what prevailing opinion considers "authoritative opinion."

To this philosophy, the raving anglophile, Teddy Roosevelt, cried: "Bully!" The famous atheist lecturer, Colonel Ingersoll, pulled out his pocket-watch, and before a stunned audience, gave God a minute to strike Ingersoll dead, if, indeed, an Almighty existed.

God does not accept the insolent ultimate of an Ingersoll or a Teddy Roosevelt. Built into this universe is a very specific kind of lawful ordering, to the effect that, as Friedrich Schiller observed, world history becomes the world's court. These laws are so constituted, that, in general, God does not trouble himself to strike down individually an Ingersoll or Kissinger, but rather destroys those nations which persist in tolerating a Kissinger.

That is generally the case. Yet, this does not mean that a Kissinger or Nero are exempted from direct, personal judgment under the elaboration of those higher laws. The manner in which such a personal judgment upon an individual is delivered is a process wonderful to behold. It is awe-inspiring to observe how those lawfully ordered processes occasionally choose to make a horribly tragic example, a necessary object-lesson of individual persons such as a Henry Kissinger.

These questions have been treated with scientific excel-

lence by such great tragedians as Aeschylus, Shakespeare, and Schiller. The British case is a perfect replica of the tragic situation treated by Aeschylus.

Aeschylus deals with a doomed world, in which a gang of evil usurpers, the Olympians led by Zeus, have asserted themselves to have overthrown God, and to have become immortal beings, pagan gods, by their own decree. These Olympians, between raping virgins, sodomizing young boys, and performing other such god-like acts, impose upon the world their own capricious decrees, in defiance of the laws of the universe.

The problem is that the peoples and rulers of nations are so frightened and corrupted by the apparent power of the Olympian gods, that they degrade themselves into worship of and obedience to these gods. They propitiate the evil gods, seeking the immediate, personal favor of those immortals. If anyone among them speaks of higher laws, the people either kill such speakers, on behalf of the gods, as they did condemn Socrates, or ridicule the speakers with such words as "Who can believe anyone who says such foolish, unpopular things as you utter?"

---

---

*It is a simple matter to prove conclusively that British philosophy is intrinsically Satanic. By proving this, we show the root of Kissinger's imminent downfall, and, as we shall demonstrate, we show this connection with scientific precision.*

---

---

Then, those nations are struck down, by the workings of those very higher laws they have deceived themselves not to exist. As for the revelers at Belshazzar's Feast, this accounting is usually delivered suddenly, as the Anglo-American power of the post-war period is now at the verge of a sudden collapse.

The essential feature of Aeschylean tragedy is to demonstrate how a combination of submission to Olympian, astrological superstition and stubbornly conceited blindness to higher laws, causes nations and their peoples to bring about their own destruction in a manner consistent with those very higher laws British philosophy denies to exist. So the United States stands at the verge of its possible destruction at this moment.

Our political condition, our tragic condition is summarily this.

It is the typical posture of our nation's capital, for example, that any leading figure there would argue against what

we are reporting: "That's only your theory. What counts is public opinion." By this, they mean the prevailing opinion among their peers, as shaped by the lies offered daily by such sources as the *Washington Post* and electronic news-media. This, plus the wealth and savage exercise of resources by certain wealthy rentier-financier families, these official circles define as "power." The practical questions associated with propitiating such "power" are for them the only reality.

That is our Aeschylean tragedy.

This degraded moral condition is reflected in the general population by a characteristic of popular behavior which David Riesman et al. have sometimes described as "other-directedness." Over the past hundred years, especially the past three decades, there has been effected a fundamental shift in morality and philosophical world-outlook of the majority of our people: "other-directedness."

Generally speaking, we no longer hold ourselves accountable for the practical consequences of those policies we support. We seek always to put ourselves in a posture of agreement with what we believe to be prevailing, peer-group opinion, prevailing prejudices. "Rightness" of individual judgment has ceased to signify rational judgment of the connection between policies and consequences. "Rightness" is now associated with holding what our peer-group and selected, admired authorities endorse as "right opinions."

We make policy *ad hominem*. We no longer ask policy-proposals to be proven rationally, with respect to consequences. We measure the quality of opinion by the number of respectable authorities and peer-groups which share that opinion. If one of our citizens says to other, lemming-like citizens, "If we keep moving in this direction, we shall go to our deaths over the cliff," the other lemmings variously laugh and curse against such a "silly" critic of popular opinion. "Don't you see that no one agrees with you?" is the favored sort of rebuke directed to the protestor.

So, until recently, our silly citizens appeared to be marching foolishly, stubbornly conceited in their commitment to the self-destruction of this nation and themselves.

Yet, at this moment, there is a simmering among our citizens, especially those ordinary farmers, working-people and less privileged social strata, who have a foretaste of the doom of the United States in the accelerating collapse of the proverbial "everything" immediately around them. They are "little people," whose obvious flaw has been that they are so obsessed with pursuit of local "earthly paradise" for themselves and their immediate families, that the determining national policies affecting the domestic economy as a whole, and world affairs, were kept out of their thoughts. At least, our "little people" refused to study and think through such national policies in the same rational manner they often applied to local and personal affairs.

Now, it is becoming clear to increasing numbers of those citizens that our direction in national policies, especially national monetary and economic policies, is destroying their

dreams of "earthly paradise." Unemployment rises, and worse, the prospect of future reemployment is wiped out by bankruptcies of farms and firms. Governmental and private pensions are crushed by the onrush of financial collapse. The society is clearly falling apart, and the shards of a broken society are collapsing upon them and their families personally. Increasing numbers of those citizens are proving themselves something better than lemmings, and would turn our nation's policies around, 180 degrees, very soon.

The question is: can those citizens mobilize themselves to accomplish this reversal in direction of our nation's economic policies (in particular) in time, before the nation goes over the cliff? Can these "little people" be transformed into big people in time.

The worst situation exists not among the ordinary citizens, but among officials of government, leaders of the parties, and such folk. To save the nation, it is not sufficient that the "little people" of yesterday decide to cause a reversal of the direction of our monetary, economic and other policies—reversing the policies of the past decade-and-a-half, most emphatically. These ordinary citizens must move that mass of conceited, misguided slobs constituting the majority of the Congress and Executive branch, to say nothing of our judiciary. If the people are awakening, as they now appear to be doing at an accelerating rate, judging developments causing the explosive growth of support for the National Democratic Policy Committee, have they awakened soon enough?

---

---

*It is upon the spike of economic policy that the political fate of Henry Kissinger is about to be skewered. The moral law of economics is given to Judeo-Christian culture in the form of the cited injunction of the Book of Genesis: mankind must "be fruitful and multiply, and subdue the earth and fill it."*

---

---

The answer does not lie entirely within the United States itself. There are global developments, including a recent, qualitative shift emerging in Ibero-America, which will deliver against the ruling, Olympian-like institutions of the world such blows as the Olympians themselves may have feared, but never believed to be actually possible. It is the intersection of eruptions outside the U.S.A., with a popular revolt approaching threshold inside the United States, which is the most important new development affecting the fate of the United States—and the world—during the weeks immediately before us.

This recent development is itself Aeschylean. The laws of the universe are acting through the wills of peoples and nations, in a growing upsurge committed implicitly to the destruction of everything British philosophy represents in all parts of this planet. Either this succeeds, or mankind is judged by the laws of the universe as, like Sodom and Gomorrah

---

---

*The essential feature of Aeschylean tragedy is to demonstrate how a combination of submission to Olympian, astrological superstition and stubbornly conceited blindness to higher laws, causes nations and their peoples to bring about their own destruction in a manner consistent with those very higher laws British philosophy denies to exist. So the United States stands at the verge of its possible destruction at this moment.*

---

---

earlier, become morally unfit to survive. Either way, for Henry Kissinger and British philosophy, the weeks and months now ahead are the Apocalypse. One way or another, the modern "gods of Olympus," those wealthy rentier-financier families which imagined themselves the immortal rulers of this planet, are about to be destroyed by one kind of Apocalypse or another.

In either turn of world-events, Henry Kissinger will be among the first next to go, at the moment of his life he deludes himself to be reaching the zenith of his political power. It will be said by many, that God destroyed Henry Kissinger, as this new Aeschylean tragedy now unfolds.

### **The corruption of U.S. law**

Over the period from the 1865 assassination of President Abraham Lincoln, through the 1879 implementation of the treasonous U.S. Specie Resumption Act, the United States began a generally accelerating change in its political and moral character. The tempo of this change is reflected in the war-plans documents of our military forces into the late 1930s. Throughout the 19th century, U.S. military policy was focused upon preparations for war against our principal adversary, Britain, including the 1920s formulation of "War Plan Red" for war against Britain and Britain's ally Japan. The military commanders of the past must have vomited in their graves to witness the recent degradation of our nation in the matter of the Malvinas crisis.

This shift in our national character is most efficiently

reflected in the rising influence of British philosophy in our educational institutions and pragmatism, or “other-directedness,” in our population generally. Formally, this same degeneration is provided concentrated reflection in our shifting philosophy of law.

Although most leading law schools would falsely deny this, and most vigorously, today, our republic was founded upon hatred against both the “free-trade” dogma of the British East India Company’s Adam Smith, *The Wealth of Nations*, and against the philosophy of law prevailing in Britain.

Our law, most emphatically throughout the term of Chief Justice John Marshall, was based, although indirectly, on the elaboration of the “law of nations” by Cardinal Nicholas of Cusa during the 15th century. This Cusan conception of natural law was transmitted to us through the work of Grotius, Puffendorf, Leibniz, and their students.

This body of law had three complementary features.

As to pagan law, this body of law was rooted in the precedents of Solon of Athens, of the dramas of Aeschylus, the constitutions of the Ionian city-state republics, and the dialogues of Plato. The distinction made by the historian and dramatist, Friedrich Schiller, between the laws of Solon and the laws of Lycurgus, reflects the same outlook hegemonic among the framers of our constitution.

---

*A population “infected” with reason, with the individual’s tendency to think policies through to their consequences, is a population which will resist and overthrow oligarchical families’ rule. Therefore, the families insist that scientific and technological progress must be suppressed, at least to the extent that populations generally might be affected by the moral influence of resumed technological progress.*

---

However, our law was not directly and simply that pagan law. The writings of St. Augustine on this matter are most efficient in summarizing the distinction to be made. Our use of classical Greek republican precedents subordinated Plato to Judeo-Christian imperatives. Hence, the Judeo-Christian version of classical Greek republican philosophy and law is called *Neoplatonic*, to distinguish it from paganist form of Platonism.

The trial of Socrates demonstrates, among other classical sources, that classical Greek republicans were enemies of the astrological cults associated then with the Phoenician (Chal-

dean) rulers of Tyre, and the Phoenician-Chaldean form of the Hesiodic pantheon of Thebes (Cadmus) and the cult of Apollo at Delphi. However, the classical Greeks erred in assuming that this pagan religion need not be taken on explicitly, directly, publicly, but that reason could be so widely distributed throughout society that a popular rejection of the Olympian pantheon and Phoenician cults would ensue.

Judaism and Christianity insist that the issue of God be posed directly, explicitly, and that the task of destroying the pagan gods is the central, most essential point of policy of practice. Although Plato, as, notably, in the *Timaeus* dialogue, defines God and consubstantiality in exactly the terms of Apostolic Christian theology (e.g., St. John), and as Philo of Alexandria states the case for Judaism, the differences between Platonism’s and Judeo-Christian practice on this issue is so clear it need not be argued further here.

Judeo-Christian imperatives, distinguishing Platonism from Neoplatonism, are reducible on principle to two interdependent forms. The first is the injunction of the Book of Genesis, that mankind must “Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the earth and subdue it.” No one can be a supporter of Malthusianism, of the Club of Rome, of *Global 2000*, or W. Averell Harriman’s policy-proposal to the recent, Philadelphia mini-convention of the Democratic Party, and also be a Jew or a Christian. The second, is the potential divinity within each human individual, the sacredness of individual human life.

The third feature of modern “law of nations” is the elaboration of a system of law appropriate to the existence of sovereign nation-state republics. This was set into motion by the work of Dante Alighieri, and brought into a coherent form by Cusa, beginning Cusa’s influential *Concordancia Catholica*.

There are no differences of opposition in objectives between the Augustinian form of the Grand Design of Charlemagne and Alcuin.

The problem was that a supranational form of republic failed because it depended upon the use of Latin as a common language of administration, law, and science. This left the popular languages in a state of decay into brutish forms of local dialects, fostering the brutalization of the mental life of the general population aiding the destruction of civilization from within.

The problem of law posed by the establishment of sovereign nation-state republics based on unifying literate languages, required an elaboration of law of nations, to the effect that the sovereignty of republics could be maintained without fostering lawlessness among nations.

By developing literate, cultured forms of language, based on Greek classical models of language and culture, and fostering technological progress by governments, a leap upward in the condition of mankind was effected. This leap upward continued into the last quarter of the last century, despite the Hapsburg hegemony of the 1525-1527 to 1653 period.

The principal colonies upon which the United States was based were formed by an anti-British faction within Britain, John Milton's Commonwealth Party. This party was allied in policy to the commonwealth (politiques) forces of France, around Tremblay, Richelieu, Mazarin, and Colbert, and to the broader, continental European networks centered around the Neapolitan school of Campanella and the networks assembled under the leadership of Leibniz. All of these networks, the forces rallied behind Benjamin Franklin to effect the American victory against Britain, were steeped in the general outlook toward law associated with Cusa, Grotius, Leibniz, et al., in opposition to British philosophy of law.

In Judeo-Christian law of nations, Neoplatonic republican law, the law for us is given by God, in the form of the manifest law ruling the ordering of creation. Any law of governments which opposes that manifest law is an immoral abomination, to be opposed and nullified.

Any monarch, any constitution, any legislature, whose law opposes the higher, natural law, renders that nation thereby morally unfit to survive. That nation will either mend its error on that point, or the cumulative effect of its opposition to natural law means the destruction of that nation. God's law asserts itself by destroying those nations and ruling circles which oppose natural law, just as Henry Kissinger faces the prospect of his Oedipal doom now.

---

---

*A society which fails to produce men and women increasing in reason is destroyed by the lawful composition of the universe, made economically incapable of surviving. Unless we do that which imposes the "tyranny of reason" upon the development of the individual within society, the nation so erring becomes judged morally unfit to survive.*

---

---

The British, modeling themselves on the evil of Roman imperial law, upon British agents Montesquieu, Maine, et al., define their law according to the atheistic insolence of British philosophy.

British legal philosophy is fairly described as a doctrine of the "purely positive law." Law is made by the force of government, according to the will of government. The practical significance of that is illuminated easily by contrasting a British government which has no constitution, with our federal republic's constitutional ordering. It is also demonstrated by the study of the deliberations of the U.S. Supreme Court under Marshall, in which a higher body of law strikes

down those manifestations of positive law which violate Judeo-Christian republican principles of natural law. It has been said otherwise, with varying degrees of comprehension as to the practical significance of those words, that ours is a government under law, not by men. That law which governs us is not laws enacted by our legislatures or executive decrees. It is natural law, as the procedures for submission to natural law are defined by our constitution.

British law is all of the same philosophical species, but occurs in two distinguishable varieties. The distinction is typified by the differences between David Hume and Jeremy Bentham, between conventional law and radically positivist law. Hume denies the existence of natural law, but offers a substitute for natural law in the form of traditional values. The radicals—"British 19th-century philosophical radicalism"—assert, as does Professor Milton Friedman, that the only basis for law in society is the elaboration of what Bentham called the "hedonistic principle," Hobbes's notion of hedonistic individuals each in war against all within society.

It is the mutual accommodation of these hedonisms which Bentham, James Mill, and such 19th-century radicals as John S. Mill, William Jevons, Alfred Marshall, and their Fabian and other utilitarian followers, such as von Hayek and Friedman, assert to be the only substitute for a rational determination of value in society. In brief, the radicals, like terrorist-sympathizer Ramsey Clark, insist that law is properly determined by shifts in prevailing opinions within society, affording equal legality to all of the significant forces of contending pluralisms.

"If a lot of people believe it is legal to do, it must be made legal," the radical immoralist Milton Friedman insists.

Any nation which accepts British law is intrinsically worthy of destruction. Any nation which accepts the radical notions of law encouraged by Professor Milton Friedman will be more quickly destroyed, more "radically" destroyed.

We are being now "radically" destroyed as a nation, because we have tolerated too long the evil influence of Milton Friedman, Ramsey Clark, and other heirs of the Fabian-influenced "force doctrine" of Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes.

### **The economics of natural law**

Economics is not everything. Producing and consuming wealth is not the moral purpose of human existence, or the sole business of nations. However, economics is indispensable. Economics, properly understood, is that production of material alternations in nature by which the possibility of human existence is accomplished.

It is principally as the immorality of nations affects their economic practice that God's law effects the destruction of nations whose governments and people have become morally unfit to survive. It is upon the spike of economic policy that the political fate of Henry Kissinger is about to be skewered.

The moral law of economics is given to Judeo-Christian

culture in the form of the cited injunction of the Book of Genesis: mankind must “Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the earth and subdue it.” This law’s fulfilment is measured in population-density—better, more precisely defined as “potential relative population-density.” It is not sufficient that we labor in production of goods necessary for human existence; even to maintain existing economic levels, it is essential that we increase the productive powers of labor.

Without technological progress, man’s immorality of practice becomes a nation’s moral unfitness to survive. A nation which tolerates a Malthusian, anti-technology policy, such as that of the Club of Rome, the Aspen Institute, the World Wildlife Fund, or the “environmentalists” generally, is a nation which has already lost the moral fitness to survive, and is engaged at that point in destroying itself.

Technological progress is the fruit of an increase in the creative mental powers of a population, an increase in the potential to discover and to assimilate into productive practice of labor an increased power to subdue nature.

In terms of physics-reference, this increased “potential relative population-density” correlates with a required increase in the modal longevity and average life-expectancies of populations, and with an increase in the consumption of usable energy both per square-mile and per-capita of the population as a whole. This advancement in energy-consumption takes the form of an increase of what is called the “energy flux density” of the production and consumption of energy, per square-mile, per person, and in terms of the equivalent of temperature of the heat-sources used to supply this energy.

This process of increase of population-density is a succession of inventions whose continuation depends upon successive, fundamental advances in scientific knowledge of the lawful ordering of the universe. This means general, profound scientific “breakthroughs” respecting knowledge of the manner in which creation is lawfully composed.

This touches upon the crucial distinction between mankind and the beasts, a distinction apparently refused by Prince Philip of Britain and that former Nazi SS officer, Prince Bernhard of The Netherlands, the principal backers of the pro-bestial World Wildlife Fund. A beast may modify its hunting-and-gathering behavior, but only within a “genetically delimited” range of such behavioral modification. Only mankind can revolutionize its behavior by means of fundamental scientific discoveries.

This creative power of mankind is that quality of the individual which touches upon the divine, upon the power to discover ever-more-perfectly, to master, and to be self-governed by the lawful ordering of creation.

The newborn individual human personality is born an irrationalistic, hedonistic beast, distinguished from the apes and monkeys only by a divine spark of the potential for reason. In the case that the infant successfully matures to become truly a human adult, the hedonistic, infantile char-

acter of the person is suppressed through the development of the power of reason within the child and adolescent, a process requiring between fourteen and eighteen years of maturation to effect—in those increasingly rarer cases such maturation above the moral-emotional level of infantilism is actually accomplished these days.

This divine potentiality, reason, is the essence of human existence. Although the development of this power of reason is indispensable to perpetuate the material existence of nations, it is the development of that reason which is the purpose of the existence of nations.

The laws of creation are so ordered, that, as the Book of Genesis sets forth our economic policy, we are obliged to labor, and to increase technologically our productive powers of labor, in such a fashion that we oblige ourselves to develop reason within society and its individual members. A society which fails to produce men and women increasing in reason is destroyed by the lawful composition of our universe, made economically incapable of surviving. Unless we do that which imposes the “tyranny of reason” upon the development of the individual within society, the nation so erring becomes judged morally unfit to survive. So, the laws of the universe are ordered, to pre-determine this result. So, Henry Kissinger’s doom is foreordained.

## The “Olympians”

The modern-day Olympians are the so-called “families.” Some are the silly, monarchical families such as the Hapsburgs, Welfen, Hohenzollerns, and Savoy, now conspiring energetically—negotiating in part with Moscow—to bring the monarchies back to rule continental Europe and other regions of the world. Others are not formally aristocrats, but like our Harrimans, Moores, Morgans, and Rockefellers, are obsessed by the silly, fatuous conceit that they are U.S. colonial branches of the European aristocracy, chiefly the British aristocracy.

Many of these families, although nominally Christian, are in fact members of strange, pagan cults, many organized under the auspices of such branches of freemasonry as the Grand Orient and Scottish Rite orders of Europe, within whose rituals they may groan lewd worship of the woman St. John terms “The Whore of Babylon,” otherwise known to ancient pagan cults as “Great Mother,” Mithra, Cybele-Sybil, Isis, or the Harrapan Sakti, the mother of the phallus-god Siva. Isis, the patron-goddess of lesbianism, has an incestuous, homosexual son, named in Indo-European languages “Day-Night,” or Dionysus. He is also known by such names as Osiris and Siva. Since “Day-Night,” the Manichean god, has a double, Jekyll-Hyde nature, his is often split into two personalities, such as Osiris-Horus, Dionysus-Apollo, and Satan-Lucifer.

In many instances, influential members of these oligarchical “families” operate through such cult-organizations, in which such forms of Satan-worship are practiced. In all cases,

the philosophical world-outlook, the philosophy of practice of these Satanic "families," is modeled upon the pagan philosophy of these same cults.

The general form of all such Satanic paganism is astrology.

It is the essence of Christianity, that the human species could not be saved from self-destruction unless it were saved from these Satanic cults (Isis, Great Mother, etc.), through the incarnation of God into the personality of Christ. The pagan past is underscored for us today by noting the names we employ to designate the days of the week, the months of the year, and so forth. It is astrological superstition which is the characteristic expression of Satanic evil in the beliefs and world-outlook for practice of unfortunate people. Gambling is a related form of such Satanism, the worship of the goddess Fortuna or Fate. This should aid us in developing a more profound appreciation of how close to self-destruction civilization is today.

True, the individual members of the families do not generally advertise the presumption that they believe themselves to be immortal, except in terms of the reincarnation preached by Luciferian theosophical and anthroposophical cults. Rather, they insist that it is the "system of families" which is immortal, the proper, immortal rulers of this planet. This is the dominant belief among all the collection of such families, whether of aristocratic titles or mere patricians, such as our Morgans, Moores, Harrimans, and so forth.

These families define the families themselves as the personalities of Olympian gods.

According to the Atlanteans of the region now known as Morocco, during the first century B.C., and according to corroborating information from other ancient sources, the Olympians were not imaginary, mythological beings; they were the names of the children of concubines of an ancient maritime colony in Morocco, near the straits of Gibraltar. The children of these concubines, called "Olympians" after the name of the concubine mother of Zeus, revolted against the state, conquered it, and used the technology they conquered to subjugate colonies of peoples throughout the Mediterranean and elsewhere.

According to archaeologically-verified datings, this revolt occurred during the early phases of the appearance of agriculture in Europe and Africa, before 8,000 B.C. That date coincides with the dynasty-catalogues of the Egyptian Manetho's record. The Cyrenaic temple of Amon, cited by Plato, dates this event to approximately 10,000 B.C.

The culture conquered by the Olympian insurgents was a maritime culture with a relatively very advanced astronomy. During that period, knowledge of astronomy by the Indo-Europeans, for example, included accurate cycles for the progression of the geographic and magnetic poles of the earth, as well as cycles based on the solar system's orbit within the galaxy. This has been verified by modern science through study of internal contents of the ancient Vedic materials, and correlations of constellations reported in those

texts with known constellations in ancient time-frames and geographic locations.

The Olympians forced their superstitious subjects to worship the Olympian families as immortals, as pagan gods, and imposed upon the conquered a system of astrological rituals, in which the names of the Olympian gods were associated with, chiefly, the constellations and planets to which the names of the pagan gods were attached. Thus, the naming of days and of months for pagan gods became the widespread practice of many language-cultures. The Vedic-Avestic documents are the best, most anciently-validated variety of such evidence.

The particularities of Olympian and similar pantheons are superimposed upon the more primitive superstitions of the Great Mother-cult variety, the Cybele-Dionysus variety. The Anglo-Nazi cults of the Thule Society, Odin Society, and Nazi cult-keeper Alfred Rosenberg merely exemplify the efforts of the oligarchical families behind Hitler to bring the ancient pagan cult-forms worshipped in certain freemasonic lodges into the open as the official cults of society.

Throughout known history, oligarchical family-systems, like that intersecting the Harrimans today, have had certain consistent features expressed by the families behind Henry Kissinger today.

---

---

*Many of the modern-day Olympian families are in fact members of strange, pagan cults, many organized under the auspices of such branches of freemasonry as the Grand Orient. They insist that it is the 'system of families' which is immortal, the proper, immortal rulers of this planet. These families define the families themselves as the personalities of Olympian gods.*

---

---

They have always been "Malthusians," as the case of Hesiod and the doctrine of the Phrygian cult of Dionysus illustrates the point. They have always opposed institutions of urban-centered, technologically progressive society, and have demanded that the lootable wealth of society flow to the parasitical advantage of the families through both simple ground-rent and the ground-rent of money, usury, as ancient Middle Eastern tax-farming illustrates this practice to be much more than 4,000 years old today.

The case of two attempts to assassinate Pope John Paul II, and the progressive degeneration of the Church of England



illustrate the connections.

The projected murder of the Pope, and deployment of both assassins, was undertaken by a group of old, nominally Catholic oligarchical families based in the Alpine region and in Portugal in Europe. The assassin Agca was safehoused by these families, and was controlled by a network of old Nazis linked to Beirut and the Turkes faction of Turkey, aided by another asset of the Nazi international, the Libyan dictator Qaddafi. The assassin Krohn was trained as a "Lefebvrst," also under the direct sponsorship of the same "families," and run through a pseudo-Catholic cult. The reason the assassins were each attempted on the day of the Lady of Fatima, one year apart, is that the killing of the Pope coincides with features of what is called the unconsecrated third prophecy of Our Lady of Fatima, whose contents are widely circulated among cultists, despite the papacy's insistence that this prophecy be sealed.

The purpose of releasing this third, unconsecrated prophecy after the murder of a Pope was to unleash followers of Fatima, and others, to aid in establishing the kind of world-federalist order in Europe designed by Otto von Hapsburg's Pan-Europa Union, and hopefully to unleash general insurrections to aid this within Eastern Europe.

Leading British circles, associated with the hierarchy of the Church of England, were in support of this attempted murder of a Pope.

Two facts point to the galloping degeneracy of the Church of England, including the circles around Bishop Paul Moore of New York City.

The first is the attempt of the Queen and Archbishop of Canterbury, to suppress the English Book of Common Prayer, Cranmer's Book of Common Prayer. The issue is the Church of England's effort to eradicate Christian doctrine from that Book of Prayer, an effort which has met resistance from some traditionalist Episcopalians in the U.S.A.

The second is the simple fact that the New York Anglican diocese is a pagan cult of the most monstrous characteristics, centered in the cults maintained in the crypt of the Cathedral of St. John the Divine, and in the cult-creating headquarters of the diocese, at Lindisfarne, Long Island.

These instances of Catholics and Protestants who are not Christians illustrate the character of the oligarchical families controlling those affected portions of the respective churches. In addition to creating resurrections of outrightly paganist cults, such as theosophical cults, these oligarchical families establish factional organizations inside churches devoted to promoting pseudo-Christian movements, such as the "charismatic" movements, "liberation theology," and the revival of the old Arian heresy of Christology, as well as attempts to have psychedelic cults and similar abominations incorporated into Christian bodies.

It is exemplary that the notorious Jim Jones of Jonestown, Guyana notoriety, was launched by the joint sponsorship of Rabbi Maurice Davis and Bishop Paul Moore. His original,

Indiannapolis-based cult, was moved to Ukiah Valley, California, to become one of the experimental psychedelic cult-projects spawned by the MK-Ultra project of Aldous Huxley, Gregory Bateson, and the Palo Alto "think-tank" complex. At the time of his death, Bateson, the former husband of Margaret Mead, was official of the cult-building Lindisfarne center of the New York Anglican diocese, and was promoting the spread of witchcraft-cults around the United States.

The proliferation of what most citizens view as "fake religions," from Timothy Leary's and the Disciples of Christ's Jim Jones, on up and down, are part of the same process, and are chiefly run out of British intelligence conduits, including the hierarchy of the Church of England and the London Tavistock Institute.

The British and other Malthusians have been repeatedly explicit on the point: the Pope must be destroyed, they insist, to eliminate Christianity, and to clear the way for a general schism among churches, to spread Gnostic cults in place of Christianity, and to proliferate overtly pagan-cult revivals.

Admittedly, the British generally, do not believe in God, nor do most of the church-going rentier-financier "families." They are as cynical about religion as their Olympian forebears. Religion for them is merely a device for mass-manipulation of populations through manufactured superstitions. They do not believe that God actually exists, and is about to bestow an Apocalypse upon them. Their religion is that of Harvard Professor William James's pragmatist *Varieties of Religious Experience*. Their purpose in playing with religious issues is all quite earthly: the consolidation of the families' power as the "immortal rulers of the world," according to the Persian model of a world-empire based on local, regional "empires," or satrapies.

### **Olympian economic policy**

What the present-day Olympians have been determined to accomplish is the simultaneous destruction of the institutions of the sovereign nation-state and policies of investment of savings in technologically progressive forms of agriculture, industry, and basic economic infrastructure.

The motive for this is best understood by the coordinating center for all cults, the Cini Foundation of Venice, the foundation which coordinates those other foundations, nominally dedicated to matters of "culture," through which the long-term operations of the oligarchical families are coordinated.

Technological progress promotes reason in populations. A population "infected" with reason, with the individual's tendency to think policies through to their consequences, is a population which will resist and overthrow oligarchical families' rule. Therefore, the families insist that scientific and technological progress must be suppressed, at least to the extent populations generally might be affected by the moral influence of resumed technological progress.

Since the suppression of technological progress means a sharp contraction in the potential relative population-density

of the world, these families demand Malthusian policies of "population policy," using economic mass-murder, through such means as "IMF conditionalities," to force a reduction in the world's population by several billion persons over the coming two to three decades.

They propose to limit profit-income from society to the extraction of parasitical forms of ground-rent and usury, and to suppress, generally, large-scale technologically progressive investment in agriculture, industry, and basic economic infrastructure. These families, who created Hitler, now propose to unleash upon the world a genocide more than a hundred times the mass-death caused by Hitler. Kissinger is one of the witting instruments of this mass-murderous policy.

Kissinger is about to be destroyed.

The oligarchists, including the evil Harrimans and so forth of the United States, have brought almost to the level of collapse the once awesome economic power of the United States, and are collapsing the economies of Western Europe in the same fashion. We have reached the state of general economic depression, caused by the policies of von Hayek, Friedman, Volcker, et al., that the entire financial structure of the United States and Western Europe could collapse totally.

This situation affords the nations of the developing sector, the immediate targets of mass-murder, several practical means for resisting the insolence of the IMF and allied gov-

ernmental and other institutions. Ibero-America alone, can ruin an enterprise against it, by instantly collapsing the entire financial structures of the United States and Western Europe.

These developing nations have no malicious intent to bring about such a collapse, unless they are forced to do so in their own fundamental self-interests. Yet, lunatics such as Kissinger, or the more stupid-than-Kissinger Butcher of Chase Manhattan, propose to push the developing nations to the wall, to force those nations to take actions saving themselves from physical destruction by collapsing the internal financial structures of the nations threatening such destruction.

Suddenly, Kissinger's sponsors have two choices, and no other. Dump Kissinger, because he and what he represents have become worse than useless, or continue to deploy Kissinger, and go down into apocalyptic ruins with him.

The not improbable thing is that they will dump Kissinger and perhaps stop his mouth, as many other oligarchs' accomplices' mouths are being stopped nowadays, to prevent a Kissinger, angry at being dumped, from unleashing such information as the files of Pocantico Hills. It is their oligarchical nature, to deal so with too-well-informed tools who have become more a source of potential embarrassment than anything useful.

So, God's laws destroy the Olympian usurpers. So, Kissinger is about to be destroyed.

## **KISSINGER'S DRIVE TO TAKE OVER THE REAGAN ADMINISTRATION**

**Now available, a new EIR Special Report**

Since at least May 1982, following an underpublicized visit to London, Henry Kissinger has been coordinating a drive to consolidate control of the Reagan administration for the Trilateral Commission wing of the Republican Party. Secretary of State George Shultz is fully collaborating with this effort, which will put Kissinger in charge of enforcing the "controlled disintegration" economic collapse and depopulation of the developing sector. This EIR Special Report, written by EIR's Washington Bureau, provides the details of Kissinger's drive, including the implications for domestic and foreign policy. Includes profiles of Kissinger's collaborators, especially Helmut Sonnenfeldt, and the role being played by the Jack Kemp-led "opposition." Profiles of recent administration appointments also included.

**60 pages \$250.00**

Order from: Peter Ennis, EIR Director of Special Services at  
(212) 247-8820, or 304 West 58th Street, New York, N.Y., 10019