

Will Wertz's Senate primary campaign against Brown's openly racist and genocidal "post-industrial society" policies so thoroughly battered Brown that by the election day in June he was forced to engineer massive vote fraud to preserve any image of political viability. During this fall's selection stretch, another quarter of a million NDPC leaflets, concentrated largely in the Los Angeles area, hammered home the documentation of Brown's and Tom Hayden's program for genocide.

Even though Democratic campaign officials in Washington were predicting a Brown victory as late as election day, Republican candidate Pete Wilson won with a better than 5 percent margin. Running on a ticket with Los Angeles Mayor Tom Bradley, who was favored to become the state's first black governor, Brown counted on riding heavy black support for Bradley. But another NDPC leafletting of black voter concentrations in Los Angeles, headlined "When you vote for Bradley, vote against Brown," documented Brown's backing for his Human Resources director Huey Johnson, an open advocate of forced sterilization for minorities. 20,000 leaflets were distributed in black sections of Los Angeles, and in Los Angeles County returns on election day showed Jerry Brown running more than 30,000 votes behind Bradley.

Brown was also counting on Robert "Body Count" McNamara's nuclear freeze movement for another sizeable bloc of votes, expecting to capture the predicted 70 percent vote in favor of a freeze resolution on the California ballot that his opponent opposed. But following a dramatic campaign in which the NDPC helped expose the blueprint for genocidal conventional wars behind the "freeze" movement's peace-posturing, their Proposition 12 carried only 53 percent of the 7.2 million votes cast in the referendum, or about 1.2 million votes less than projected.

Unprecedented write-in vote

The fact that American voters are not locked in to meekly choosing whether they like their poison in Friedmanite Republican or neo-Keynesian Democratic brands of austerity was also dramatically demonstrated in an NDPC write-in campaign in Texas' 22nd Congressional District around Houston. Incredibly, the corrupt Texas Democratic machine dominated by former DNC chairman Bob Strauss chose to let incumbent Republican Ron Paul, a radical Friedmanite and Libertarian, go unchallenged in the election. Texas NDPC leader Nick Benton, who rocked the Texas Democratic machine this summer with a campaign for the state party chairmanship exposing Strauss as a plaything of Pamela Churchill Harriman, launched a late write-in challenge with only \$1000 to spend, just a month before election day.

Even with such slender resources and the immense difficulties of organizing a write-in vote, precincts covered by Benton volunteers in half a dozen communities around Houston uniformly returned 16 to 26 percent of the vote for Benton, as farmers and industrial workers responded to his campaign to end the depression by nationalizing the Federal Reserve and restoring the credit of the nation. Strauss and his

corrupt cronies, who denied Benton's application for ballot status and then openly denounced his campaign, effectively handed a congressional seat to the Republicans.

In Illinois, NDPC-backed candidate Sheila Jones, running a third-party challenge against Democratic Congressman Sidney Yates in the Skokie-north Chicago area, has claimed a 15 percent vote district wide, taking an official 11 percent in Evanston, with exit polls in black sections of that city showing 50 percent support for her. The 73-year-old Yates is an open supporter of legalized sodomy and euthanasia despite being a Jewish congressman in a district that includes Skokie, where a large number of the population are survivors of Nazi concentration camps. Exit polls in Skokie for Jones, an NAACP member and anti-drug activist, showed 15 percent support among voters, despite a nearly successful district-wide blackout of Jones's campaign by the press and efforts by the League of Women Voters to bar her from candidates' nights as a non-candidate.

Fight just begun

What the major national media are covering up with their ballyhoo over a supposed resurgence for the same liberal Democrats who have backed Volcker's continuation of the depression policies begun under Jimmy Carter, is that American voters are ready to be rallied for a fight to restore the nation that the official leaderships of neither the Republican nor Democratic parties want to lead, or are capable of leading.

How the nuclear freeze referendum was set back

by Franklin Bell

Robert McNamara's conventional war forces behind the nuclear arms freeze movement are trying to claim victory for their freeze referenda in yesterday's elections, but their scare-tactics propaganda failed to produce a nationwide mandate for ending the nation's nuclear arms development.

More than half of those who voted on the freeze voted in California, the only district in which it was turned into an election issue. In early October even opponents there conceded that the freeze would win by a wide margin. The polls then showed that it would pass with a 24 point spread. Gov. Jerry Brown, running for the U.S. Senate, was counting on the pro-freeze vote to carry him to Washington. But in the last two weeks of the campaign, anti-freeze forces led by the National Democratic Policy Committee intervened in California to explain to voters the alternative to the increased prospects of nuclear war the freeze would guarantee. As a result, the freeze squeaked by with only 52.5 percent of the vote and Brown is out in the political cold.

Freeze referenda were on the ballot in nine states, the District of Columbia, 14 other cities, and 14 counties in the country. The freeze was defeated on the Arizona ballot and

in one county in Arkansas and one in Colorado.

The referenda gained ballot status after Vietnam-era genocidalists—including former Defense Secretary Robert McNamara, CIA Director William Colby, and NSC Special Adviser McGeorge Bundy—put together a “peace movement” under the direction of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Playing on the U.S. population’s fears of the brink of global war the oligarchs’ depression has imposed, the forces behind the freeze movement have conducted a nine-month brainwashing campaign, using the major media outlets, to convince the population that war could be avoided by paper agreements. The response of voters, many of whom remember the “success” of previous pre-war disarmament agreements, was overwhelming apathy to the freeze question. Even in states such as New Jersey, where the freeze passed by a 3-1 margin, the number of voters who bothered to cast votes on the issue was far less than the total number of ballots cast: 1.8 million to 5.1 million, or 35 percent. It is based on this fraction of this 35 percent that the media and other freeze backers are claiming victory.

The real alternative to nuclear war

It is only in California that the freeze issue became a political focus of the campaign. There 93 percent of those who cast ballots voted on the freeze referendum, Proposition 12. The reasons: the National Democratic Policy Committee, nuclear physicist Edward Teller, and physicist Steven Bardwell of the Fusion Energy Foundation. All have urged that the United States alter its policy so as to pursue the only program which will, from a military standpoint, be able to assure the world it can avoid the horrors of nuclear war. That requires, as U.S. statesman Lyndon LaRouche detailed last spring, the development of space-based laser beam weaponry capable of destroying nuclear missiles midflight, which is a scientific program that the Soviet Union is far more advanced in than the United States.

In campus debates and presentations throughout California Bardwell time and again destroyed the soft support for the freeze referendum, showing his audiences that by further emasculating the country’s nuclear research and development capability, the nation would eliminate the possibility of ever ending the terror of likely nuclear war.

By election night, freeze coordinators in California were complaining to the national media that “People are running around trying to make it look like *we* were leading the country to war.” Had the anti-freeze forces had but a few more days before votes were cast, a majority of a once-uninformed California electorate would have realized just that.

Bardwell and other Fusion Energy Foundation scientists will hold a briefing in the Rayburn Building on Capitol Hill in Washington Nov. 18 for military, diplomatic and other government personnel to explain not only what nearly half of the California voters came to understand, but the specifics of how the United States can pursue a policy that will end forever the threat of nuclear war.

Shultz working to stranglehold on the

by Richard Cohen, Washington Bureau Chief

Prior to the Nov. 2 national elections, Secretary of State George Shultz had extracted concessions on post-election economic and national security policies from both President Ronald Reagan and Secretary of Defense Caspar Weinberger. Most importantly, Shultz, whose State Department Press Office freely gloats over the fact that the Secretary talks to former Secretary of State Henry Kissinger every day on the phone and meets with him at length every two weeks, is reported to have convinced the President that a 1983 U.S. domestic economic recovery requires a sharp reduction in the federal budget deficit. This is a consideration uppermost in Reagan’s mind, say White House sources. Reagan’s decision on running for a second term will be made in October 1983, and he will not run if there is no recovery. The President and his spokesmen may publicly continue their tough “stay the course” rhetoric into early 1983, but, under Shultz’s guidance, the White House will privately encourage congressional moves in the lame duck session that opens Nov. 29 to slash the defense budget and increase taxes.

Reportedly, the first important step of presidential retreat will occur in early December during the “lame duck” session of Congress. At that time the White House will send to Congress a \$12 billion so-called dense-pack plan for defending the new MX intercontinental ballistic missile system. Shultz has arranged for both the President and Weinberger to be “out of town” on foreign junkets when the House of Representatives votes down “dense-pack” and possibly begins a process that will lead to the cancellation of the entire MX program. Further, Shultz has arranged that the White House will mount no serious fight for the program.

But the fate of the dubious dense-pack plan and the questionable MX program represent only the first line in a long list of Shultz-demanded defense budget cuts. Indeed, Shultz intends even the less-expensive and technologically explosive “space-based” anti-ballistic missile options supported by the President from getting beyond the “authorization” process on Capitol Hill.

Shultz’s best friend: James Baker

Shultz has an essential ally, White House Chief of Staff James Baker, to ensure that the White House marches to disaster. Baker and his White House gaggle of Communications Director David Gergen, Baker assistant Richard Darman, and White House pollster Richard Wirthlin, are using