

The "advising" institutions to the Sea Pines retreat included the notorious **Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies**, the **Kettering Foundation** and **ENI**. The formal presentations were given by **Peter Jenkins** (policy editor of *The Guardian* of London); **Robert Hormats** (former U.S. assistant secretary of state for Economic and Business Affairs); **Naohiro Amaya** (Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry) and **Dr. Walter Stutzle** (former director for Policy and Planning, West German Ministry of Defense.) Who were the participants at the "Transitions" retreat?

*Canada:* **Mark MacGuigan**; **Yvon Pinard** (Liberal Party, President Privy Council); **Robert Rae** (New Democratic Party, member, Ontario Parliament); **Michael Wilson** (Progressive Conservative Party, member, House of Commons).

*Federal Republic of Germany:* **Dr. Peter Corterier** (Social Democratic Party, member, Bundestag); **Dr. Ulrich Steger**; **Karsten Voigt** (Social Democratic Party, member, Bundestag); **Werner Zweitz** (Free Democratic Party, member, Bundestag).

*Italy:* **Adolfo Battaglia** (Republican Party, member, Chamber of Deputies); **Andrea Borri** (Christian Democratic Party, member, Chamber of Deputies); **Gianni de Michelis**.

*Japan:* **Motoo Shiina** (Liberal Democratic Party, member, Diet).

*Spain:* **Marcelino Aguirre Oreja** (Union of the Democratic Center, former foreign minister).

*Sweden:* **Par Granstedt** (Center Party, member, Riksdag); **Ake Gustavsson** (Social Democratic Party, member, Riksdag); **Dr. Lars Tobisson** (Conservative Moderate Coalition Party, member, Riksdag).

*United Kingdom:* **Kenneth Harry Clarke** (Conservative Party, minister for health); **Denzil Davies** (Labor Party left wing, member of Parliament); **Christopher Patten** (Conservative Party, member of Parliament); **David Martin Steel** (Liberal Party leader).

*United States:* **Sen. Gary W. Hart** (D-Colo.); **Sen. Christopher Dodd** (D-Conn.); **Rep. Geraldine Ferraro** (D-N.Y.); **Richard Hatcher** (Democrat, Mayor of Gary, Indiana.); **Rep. James A. Leach** (R-Iowa); **Rep. Thomas Petri** (R-Wisc.); **Toby Roth** (R-Wisc.).

## World Futurist

Gary Hart has a manner of letting his hair down in public when he is convinced that he is among the "select." For instance, on July 20, 1982 he addressed the **World Futures Society** General Assembly in Washington, D.C. The World Futures Society is the umbrella organization for the self-described Aquarian Conspiracy, various radical and cult movements committed to destroying industrial society and the institutions of Western Civilization as embodied in the *flioque* principle that each individual participates in God's continual creation in the universe. Hordes of Hart volunteers today were deployed out of radical youth umbrella institutions like the World Futures Society to "create the New Age."

Therefore, it is most useful to scrutinize Hart during the period when he was first passionately extolling the post-industrial society belief structure at the summer, 1982 World Futures Society event:

"It's not very often I have the opportunity to speak to a group of revolutionaries. And that is what you are, in the truest sense of the word. This conference is dedicated to a goal all of us should share: the knowledge and mastery of change.

"You in this room understand better than most the tremendous changes that are sweeping this country. You know that its products and technologies magnify the power of the human brain as surely as the Industrial Revolution magnified our physical capacities.

"There is no question we are entering a new era, one with



## How a frontrunner is manufactured

The Rasputins and media specialists who are adept at overnight propulsion of political nonentities into public prominence are working day and night on the case of Gary Hart. Pollster Patrick Caddell and psychiatrist Peter Bourne, who created the commodity known as Jimmy Carter in their laboratory, are now performing plastic surgery on the Hart campaign. What is the script?

It is a presidential election year. A Republican sits in the White House. On the Democratic Party side, entries in the race for the nomination are mainly party warhorses well known to a bored electorate. Suddenly, a new face is catapulted into national political prominence by an unexpected victory in one of the primaries. The media seizes upon the newcomer and thrusts him into the public eye. His youthful vitality, new ideas, and anti-Establishment pose are enthu-

unlimited potential. But our future depends on embracing not only the new technologies and the opportunities they provide, but the responsibilities they bring as well. . . .”

In the speech Hart went on to call for a “radical restructuring of work, as current work skills are devalued and new ones created at an ever-increasing rate.” Hart dedicated himself to leading this “restructuring” with the minimum pain and maximum excitement. His projections? An inevitable job loss of between 5 and 7 million manufacturing jobs—a job loss 20 times higher than the auto industry was then experiencing. Therefore, he called for radical adjustments and “transformations” of the work force into futuristic industries and away from the basics of industrial and agricultural production—the fundamentals of the American System of economics.

In the final analysis, how dangerous is Gary Hart? Can he win an election? Shortly after Hart’s New Hampshire victory, columnist Joseph Kraft wrote that in the final analysis 1984 will be 1972 all over again for the Democrats—when George McGovern, campaign-managed by Gary Hart, was demolished in the general election—except under special circumstances. Kraft wrote: “It will take a severe national setback—a disaster abroad or a sudden plunge in the economy—to breathe new life into the Democratic campaign.”

It was precisely those circumstances for which the Sea Pines “Transitions in Industrial Democracies” network were prepared. Perhaps the early playing of the “Hart Card” by the Eastern Liberal Establishment and European oligarchy is the best reading available on their commitment to go beyond the brink in risking the institutions of the West.

siastically extolled to the voters by the *Washington Post* and *New York Times*. He is now poised to win the nomination, and from there, to run a campaign against his Republican opponent, in which he will contrast his freshness to the fuddy-duddy incumbent.

If this sounds familiar, it should. Hart is employing the same strategy which Jimmy Carter used in his bid for the White House. But the similarities between Carter and Hart do not end there:

- **Shapers:** Masterminding Hart’s campaign on a day-to-day basis is Patrick Caddell, the man who did the same for Carter. The Cambridge, Massachusetts-based political consultant signed on with Hart early this year and revitalized his flagging campaign by advising the candidate to use the same “anti-Establishment” “outsider” rhetoric which he had originally created for Carter.

Another Carter insider now playing a prominent role in the Colorado senator’s effort is Peter Bourne. A close ally of Caddell and a practicing psychiatrist, Bourne had maintained intimate relations with the Carter family since the late 1960s. He early encouraged Carter’s presidential bid and, according to his father, Dr. Geoffrey Bourne, saw the entire Carter gambit as “a marvelous scientific experiment in how you elect a President.” Bourne, who was dismissed in 1978 for illegally dispensing drugs to a White House subordinate, maintains multiple links to such KGB fronts as the Institute for Policy Studies and the Vietnam Veterans Against the War. Before joining the Carter administration as Drug Policy Adviser, he had been a national leader of the National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws, the “pot lobby” which has pushed for legalization of all mind-altering “recreational” drugs. Bourne again turned up in the public eye last fall, when *EIR* discovered that he and another Carter holdover, Robert Pastor (presently a foreign policy adviser to the Mon-

dale campaign) had been advising the Soviet and Libyan-backed group that mounted the Oct. 12 Grenada coup.

Bourne, his wife Mary King, and his friend Sam Brown (both of whom served in the Carter administration) are key fundraisers for the Hart campaign.

- **Policies:** Like Carter, Hart maintains that his strong suit is “new ideas.” But Hart’s ideas are just a repackaged “information society” version of the neo-Malthusian policies that Carter pushed: limited resources, economic sacrifice, population control, “renewable” energy sources. In fact, one of Hart’s chief economics advisers, U.S. Club of Rome member Robert Hamrin, served as a “panel professional” to Carter’s Commission for a National Agenda for the Eighties. That commission urged, as Hart does now, that the “sunset” industries—basic industries like steel, which are essential to national security, be scrapped so that more resources could be put into the “sunrise” industries, i.e., computers, information processing, and so forth.

- **Controllers:** Hart’s campaign shaped by the same insiders who ran Carter’s. Averell Harriman personally tapped Jimmy Carter for the Democratic Presidency in the early 1970s, and together with Henry Kissinger’s piggybank, banker David Rockefeller, put Carter on the Trilateral Commission to “get an education.” Averell Harriman told a national TV audience last year that Hart was his favorite candidate; many of Hart’s advisers are drawn from the Kissinger stable.

- **Personality profile:** Hart belonged to a fundamentalist sect, the Nazarenes, and attended Bethany College and Yale Divinity School, where he came under the influence of networks committed to destroying the Judeo-Christian ethic in favor of a return to pagan religion. Jimmy Carter’s much-touted “born-again” religion had much more in common with paganism than with Christianity.