

## Why the Persian Gulf war has still not ended

by Thierry Lalevée

Israel's internal crisis around the plot to destroy the Dome of the Rock in Jerusalem, Syria's annexation of Lebanon, the Iran-Iraq war, the crisis in the Indian Punjab, and the tensions between India and Pakistan as well, are not several local or regional crises, but represent one crisis-package orchestrated by the same Nazi-communist forces directed out of Moscow. It is Moscow's grand game to finish off American influence in the Middle East and Asia and realize the final stage of the fissure between the United States and Western Europe.

This plot was not hatched only in dark corridors in Moscow but in American territory, over the last weeks during the visit of a Soviet delegation led by KGB official Georgii Arbatov of the IMEMO institute and his colleague, the director of Moscow's Oriental Institute, Yevgenii Primakov. Primakov, together with Politburo member Geidar Aliyev, has been the man deploying Moscow's Muslim card into North Africa, the Middle East, across to the Subcontinent and Southeast Asia. The collusion between the Kissinger circles and Primakov and company includes Soviet manipulation of Israeli terrorists who are plotting the destruction of Jerusalem's mosque and the rebuilding of Solomon's Temple, as well as the Sikh fanatics of Amritsar's Golden Temple and the mobs of Indian Muslims who rioted with the Sikhs against the Gandhi government in Kashmir this week.

The deal worked out between Primakov and his Kissinger counterparts at the State Department, the Georgetown Center for Strategic and International Studies, and at the Harvard Crisis Management Group, is based on the concept of a New Yalta. News that surfaced in the press on the negotiations indicated that both superpowers would agree to desist from militarily intervening in the Persian Gulf crisis—leaving the fighting to proxies. But sources from Washington and Europe further indicate an agreement worked out to hand over he-

gemony for the Eurasian land mass—extending from the Middle East through the subcontinent—to the Soviet Union. In exchange, the Soviets would agree to keep their paws out of Ibero-America, specifically Nicaragua.

This is no simple exchange of territories that are to remain intact. The New Yalta plan, which would realize Henry Kissinger's stated goal of reducing American worldwide influence and presence to 25 percent of its current status, is a policy of destroying those sovereign nation-states involved. The battering rams to be used against current governments are the plethora of separatist movements based on tribal, blood-and-soil identities, and fundamentalist integrist movements such as the so-called Islamic Revolution.

This is the binding policy behind the series of crises now extending from Lebanon to the subcontinent.

### The Nazi-communist network

At the root of each of the crises taken locally, we find the same network, often the same persons, associated directly or indirectly with the Nazi Black International headquartered in Lausanne, Switzerland, and led by Swiss Nazi banker François Genoud. Since the end of the war, the Nazi International has functioned on behalf of Russian interests in a determination to destroy the United States republic, and take back the underdeveloped sector as imperial domains.

It was the alliance of the Nazi International of François Genoud and of his numerous associates that we have named in previous issues, together with Soviet intelligence and the clandestine apparatus of the fundamentalist Muslim Brotherhood association in North Africa and the Middle East, which led to Iran's takeover by the Khomeini mullahs. Today, through Genoud directly, the same apparatus is arming Iran with vital military supplies through the Geneva Gatoil

Company, for example, and many others. Gatoil exclusively buys Iranian oil; the proceeds are then taken by Genoud's associate, Hans Albert Kunz, an associate of Propaganda-2 Freemasonic lodge grand master Licio Gelli, to buy weapons on the market.

The same apparatus finances the Islamic Council of Europe of Salem Azzam in London and the so-called Islamic Commission for Human Rights. The Islamic Commission is headed by Genoud protégé Ahmed Ben Bella, who is waging a campaign to impose Islamic fundamentalism in Northern Africa and has used the Commission as a cover to finance Islamic fundamentalist groups in Pakistan, India and as far away as Malaysia. His collaborator in Asia is fundamentalist and Club of Rome associate Zia Uddin Sardar.

Most of India's Islamic fundamentalist groups, such as the Indian Muslim Federation which works with Sikh separatist leader Chauhan Singh, are also financed by this Nazi networks, which also includes Saudi businessmen and officials whose support for Indian Muslims serves as a cover for their involvement in the slave trade that proliferates between Bombay and the Gulf sheikhdoms.

India's Islamic fundamentalists are also directly financed by the Libya's Al Dawa'a organization of Muammar Qaddafi, who keeps his own direct channels with Sikh fanatic Chauhan. During Indian Prime Minister Gandhi's April visit to Tripoli, Qaddafi did not hesitate to create a diplomatic embarrassment by underlining his support for the Sikhs' demands for a separate Khalistan state that would gouge out of India its most important agricultural production center. In the wake of the Indian army's action to clean out the Sikh terrorists from the Golden Temple holy shrine, Libyan-financed fundamentalists in Kashmir have begun to openly ally with the Sikhs in riots against the Hindus and the state government in Jammu and Kashmir.

Qaddafi's support for the Sikhs puts him in the same bed as Israeli terrorist networks led by the Israeli mafia of former Defense Minister Ariel Sharon and their co-sponsors in the United States. In his trip to the United States in 1982, Chauhan Singh was hosted by the American Jewish Committee and the Heritage Foundation, which arranged his speaking engagements at such prestigious institutions as Stanford University, Harvard, and the Center for Strategic and International Studies.

The operation to destroy the Dome of the Rock in Jerusalem and rebuild Solomon's Temple in its place is based on the same cultural paradigm shift represented in the religious fanatic upsurge witnessed at the Golden Temple in India: the destruction of secular nation-states and a shift to theocracies based on irrationalist ideologies. As investigations proceed, it will be no surprise to find the same networks behind both operations.

Within Israel, the same networks around Sharon who are using Israel as a main base of military supplies for the anti-Semitic fanatical regime of Ayatollah Khomeini are also planning a coup against the government, with the Temple

Mount plot at the center of their operations.

These are but highlights of the networks and foot-soldiers in a much bigger game.

## **Middle East and subcontinent wars**

Controlling each and all of the pieces of such an international network, the Soviets have reason to be happy as the Reagan administration buries its head in the electoral campaign. Moscow has received a U.S. commitment to stop all military supplies to the Afghan resistance forces in exchange for Moscow's passivity in the Gulf war, a cynical deal negotiated between Primakov and some Pentagon official.

In the short term, this means giving Pakistan as well to Moscow. A glimpse of such a policy disaster was given recently during Vice-President George Bush's visit to Islamabad. From Washington, the U.S. Senate Foreign Relations Committee, under Club of Rome member Claiborne Pell, is preparing to give Zia ul-Haq the "Bhutto treatment" for his determination to develop nuclear energy.

Aside from a Soviet military action down through Baluchistan which would give the Soviets a warm-water port, Moscow has at this point no use for Pakistan except as a military force to be manipulated against India, Moscow's so-called ally. The aging generals at the Kremlin appreciate their ally, Mrs. Gandhi, only within limits, which the independent-minded prime minister continually exceeds. Moscow's hands can be found within the Sikh movement via its resident in New Delhi or through its friends in Libya, and Moscow's hands can also be found within the fundamentalist Muslim movement via its Iranian associates.

To a great extent, Moscow can respect its deal with Washington and remain passive in the Gulf; as in Lebanon, it can sit back and watch its friends do the work. The Soviet leadership also knows that however bad relations with Teheran are, Iran will never turn toward Washington but toward Moscow. Moscow's allies, Syria and Libya, are the only allies of Iran, to remind the mullahs where the weapons can be found.

Moscow is also on hand remind its Syrian friends that if they want Greater Syria, including Lebanon, they need Soviet military might. Therefore, Syrian President Hafez el Assad's brother, the corrupted drug-runner Rifaat al Assad, was recently called to Moscow. Rifaat who has been considered the most "pro-Western" asset inside Syria, has been told in no uncertain terms that Moscow will back his bid for the succession to his ailing brother Hafez. Moscow will continue to tolerate Rifaat's banking accounts with Swiss bankers like Genoud. The condition for this: the alliance with Moscow is not to be changed! What has Washington to offer to the drug dealer Rifaat?

When Pakistan and India are at each other's throats over a new Kashmir crisis, as projected by the Nazi-communist networks, or when the Iranians, backed by the Soviets, expand the war into the Gulf, or when Israel and Syria decide to share among themselves the remaining parts of the Lebanon, what will Washington actually do?