

derail the Schiller conference had been previously identified by this review as promoters of Third Rome Russian chauvinism as, presumably, preferable ideologically to "communist ideology." These include such Kissinger-associated individuals as Lawrence Eagleburger and the "Project Democracy" which he spawned. From the NSC and its immediate collaborators, they include Dr. John Lenczowski; Ambassador Jack Matlock, a Kissinger appointee from the Foreign Service; NSC consultant Jan Nowak; and National Security Adviser Robert McFarlane. And from other government agencies: Richard Burt and Mark Palmer of the State Department; Fred Ikle and Richard Perle of the Defense Department; James Buckley of Radio Liberty and Radio Free Europe.

We have reliable reasons to believe that the Soviet embassy made the unconditional demand to the White House that in order for the Gromyko-Reagan meeting to proceed, LaRouche's Schiller conference must be "derailed." However, more is involved in the matter than that meeting by itself.

The meeting was arranged by Kissinger and Carrington as part of a conditioning process designed to accustom President Reagan and the Reaganite constituencies to a new era of "arms control" deals which will be quite different from those of the 1969-77 period. Kissinger and Carrington preside, directly or indirectly, over a set of think-tanks and institutions which are peddling the dangerous idea that American diplomacy should facilitate the promotion of Third Rome chauvinism in Moscow. Influential analyses are being put forward which argue that the "Soviet Empire is crumbling." As evidence, they present the true fact that institutional and ideological "communism" is receding drastically in the Warsaw Pact countries. However, they cleverly edit out the additional fact that this decline of communism in the Soviet bloc is a fully integrated aspect of Soviet government policy. Indeed, as *EIR* has repeatedly argued, the decline of "communist" forms of domestic propaganda and their replacement with chauvinist, Dostoyevskian Third Rome exhortations, is the domestic component of the Soviet leadership's drive for world domination.

Should Reagan and the Reaganite constituencies be able to eventually see through this problem and should they abandon the foolish belief that the supremacy of Third Rome chauvinism over "communism" is good news for the West, then they might manage to extricate themselves from the treacherous path of "arms control" negotiations that Kissinger and Carrington are about to inaugurate with this contrived Gromyko-Reagan meeting.

Since the Schiller Institute is the best representative of the method and approach required to see through this web of "crumbling empire" deception, Gromyko had no choice but to demand that "derailment" of the Schiller conference be a precondition for his meeting with President Reagan. He is attempting to protect the assumptions upon which that meeting is occurring. The trouble is that immoral fools and traitors in the NSC are doing his work for him.

'Hitler-Stalin' revival

by Konstantin George

In mid-September the Soviet Union internationally distributed a Tass wire written by Tass Deputy Director Krasikov, and simultaneously, a major article in *Sovietskaya Rossiya*, the newspaper of the Russian Republic of the U.S.S.R., both praising the Aug. 23, 1939 signing of the Hitler-Stalin Pact. That pact certified the outbreak of World War II nine days later, but the Soviet publications praise the pact as "necessary" to "gain time" for the Soviet Union.

The statements and articles are timed less than two weeks before the Reagan-Gromyko summit in Washington Sept. 28, and include the current Soviet "Big Lie" that U.S. President Ronald Reagan and West German Chancellor Helmut Kohl are "neo-Hitlerites" and "Nazis." This establishes beyond any doubt that the Soviet statements are a signal to Henry Kissinger, his business partner, current NATO Secretary-General Lord Peter Carrington, and allied Western oligarchs and appeasers that the Soviet Empire is ready to "negotiate" another "Hitler-Stalin" pact, a redrawing of the East/West line of division or "New Yalta" that would incorporate all of continental Europe into the Soviet sphere.

The substance and the tone of the Tass commentary, appearing in the midst of what the Soviets themselves proclaimed in early September to be the "most dangerous period in Soviet-U.S. relations since the end of the Second World War," is ominous and menacing. Tass says pointedly that Stalin and Molotov were "compelled to sign" a non-aggression pact to "gain time" to prepare for war, and, most chilling of all, Tass emphasized that the "lessons" of that time are still "applicable" today. Tass commentator Krasikov stated that the Soviets were "not fooled by Hitler" and knew exactly what they were doing. Krasikov then quotes from the *Pravda* editorial of Sept. 15, 1939, which directly preceded and signaled the Red Army's stab in the back of Poland, reeling under the Nazi onslaught, and Soviet occupation and subsequent annexation of 40% of pre-war Poland. That editorial called for a full alert of all Soviet armed forces, "so that rapidly developing events cannot catch us unprepared."

The following Tass passages demonstrate unassailably that Russia is putting out feelers for big changes on the map: After referring to the "criminal plans of the Nazi first-strike theoreticians and practitioners," Tass cites those who today talk of "first strike," "crusade," and "way of life" (referring to the "Nazi Way of Life" then and the "American Way of Life" now), making the "case" for a "New Yalta" explicit. If anybody missed the point, it was spelled out even more explicitly in the *Sovietskaya Rossiya* article; "Do President

presages war

Reagan and Chancellor Kohl realize that they, by stuffing Europe full of nuclear weapons and by revising the wartime and postwar agreements of the anti-Hitler coalition, are merely repeating the slogans and methods of Hitler? . . . The present wave of anti-Sovietism in the West is strongly reminiscent of the eve of World War II."

The message and the Soviet blackmail on Europe are brought to a head by *Sovietskaya Rossiya's* blunt warning that Europe "under the leadership of the United States" is being "drawn closer and closer to war." This is the Soviet ultimatum and the Gromyko-Kremlin agenda for the Sept. 28 meeting with Reagan: "Surrender Europe to Russia or face war."

Independent Democratic candidate for President of the United States, Lyndon LaRouche, after being briefed on these ominous Soviet developments, commented publicly at a Seattle, Washington press conference; "The Soviet press is reporting the Reagan-Gromyko meeting as the equivalent of a modern-day Hitler-Stalin Pact. This means that the time for war is very close." LaRouche further commented on how precarious the European situation is; "West Germany is on the verge of going under a Soviet-controlled government. It could go any time," and regarding the Soviets' aggressive posture: "We're in a strategic crisis, the Soviets have picked up these Alaska fishermen, and no contact with them has yet been reported." He delivered a warning to the American and European populations: "If the Soviets take Europe, the U.S. will become a third-rate power. . . . This crisis could mean the end of 2,000 years of Judeo-Christian civilization."

Ogarkov promotion: 'preparing for war'

While White House policy under the influence of Henry Kissinger and the "palace guard" of James Baker III, Michael Deaver, et al., is to do nothing "anti-Soviet" until after the Reagan-Gromyko meeting, the Soviet Union is intensifying its preparations for war. It is of singular importance that the current Soviet "Hitler-Stalin" gambit was directly preceded by the promotion of Soviet Marshal and Chief of Staff, Nikolai Ogarkov, to head up the Soviet Western Theater Command, one of five theater commands created by Ogarkov's 1983 reorganization of the Soviet Order of Battle as active war preparations intensified. The Western Theater is responsible for all operations that would be undertaken on the European continent and the Mediterranean. With the promotion is maintained Ogarkov's key role overseeing the Soviet crash program for beam-weapon development and deployment,

overall deployment of anti-missile systems, and crash program for manned orbiting space stations.

This context becomes doubly significant in reviewing the "Reagan and Kohl equal Hitler" lying formulation in both the Tass commentary and the *Sovietskaya Rossiya* article, as it was then Chief of Staff Marshal Nikolai Ogarkov who, in a February 1982 essay on military doctrine titled *Vsegda v gotovnosti k zaschite otechestva* (*Always Ready to Defend the Fatherland*), was the first to label President Reagan a "Hitler" and argue that the Reagan administration was pursuing a "Hitlerian course."

Coinciding with the published Soviet defense of the Hitler-Stalin Pact, Soviet television presented a "documentary" film on the years 1938-1941, from the Munich Agreement to the "Operation Barbarossa" Nazi invasion of Russia on June 22, 1941, entitled "Fruits of the Munich Agreement." The film was narrated by Deputy Defense Minister Army General Shabanov, the man in charge of the Soviet armaments and

"The Soviet statements are a signal to Henry Kissinger, Lord Peter Carrington, and allied Western oligarchs and appeasers that the Soviet Empire is ready to 'negotiate' another 'Hitler-Stalin' pact, a redrawing of the East/West line of division or 'New Yalta' that would incorporate all of continental Europe into the Soviet sphere."

equipment program, who repeatedly drew parallels between the present situation and the days leading up to the Second World War.

As reported in the Sept. 16 newspaper of the Soviet military, *Red Star*, the film portrays "the military threat then raging in Europe [1939-1941], which reached all the way to the Soviet borders in 1941, under conditions of growing war danger," during which time the Soviet Union engaged in a "comprehensive buildup of the economic and defense might of the country." *Red Star* delivers the message for today in loud terms: "The film shows the measures taken by the Soviet state as preparations to repulse fascist aggression. Though talking of the past, the film compels us to think of the present and the future. There is again unrest in the world, egged on from across the ocean [by the United States] while the successors to the Hitlerite Nazis [the West Germans] dream of revenge. . . . We must keep our powder dry . . . and always be prepared to repulse any aggression."