

What was being protected by the FBI can now be partially revealed, and lead our readers into the story on the how and why of the missing FBI tapes.

According to U.S. intelligence sources familiar with the Iranian situation during the early phases of the overthrow of the Shah, Cyrus Hashemi and brother Reza were brought into the business of international terrorism by none other than J. Stanley Pottinger. Pottinger, according to these sources, was operating under official U.S. intelligence cover in arranging nearly \$4.5 billion to finance the purchase of weapons and spare parts for Khomeini's coup d'état. The sum of \$4.5 billion is no exaggeration. Prior to the financing of the Hashemis, they were \$200-300 million in debt. The operational planning for the Shah's overthrow occurred in Zurich at a bookstore where the Hashemis received the money to carry out the operation.

Pottinger was not simply operating by himself, but was under orders from then National Security Adviser Zbigniew Brzezinski and a faction of U.S. intelligence, including some very high officials. They believed that by setting up the Hashemis to become among the leading arms dealers in the world, they would control a new intelligence capability. Moreover, it was believed that the Hashemis, operating with Knights of Malta passports, would be able to arrange arms shipment to the Argentine government under Gen. Roberto Viola and help the Israelis in setting up their Ibero-American arms market.

The money which went into Cyrus and Reza Hashemi's bank, First Gulf and Trust, and served as the laundering facility for the operation, is no longer recoverable. This operation, which includes negotiations over the development of certain oil fields in the Baktiari area by the Exxon Corporation, has been continually protected by the State Department. These negotiations were ongoing until last summer, with Pottinger's knowledge. When the indictment and arrest of Reza Hashemi occurred during the late spring of 1984, Pottinger became one of the targets of investigation by the DOJ and FBI. It was the Customs Bureau, however, which had carried out the crackdown on the Hashemis.

When certain officials went to the FBI to secure the tapes of the conversations between Pottinger and Hashemi, the FBI said they could not locate the tapes—that in fact, they were missing. These tapes would prove conclusively that the cover-up of one of the most massive gun-and-drug-dealing operations, under orders from Zbigniew Brzezinski and the State Department, is still protected by the FBI. The tapes would also expose critical evidence of how the entire Billygate apparatus inside the U.S. government protected international terrorism and links to the KGB.

One final note—J. Stanley Pottinger has about \$15 million in assets in Mexico City which are unaccounted for by the Internal Revenue Service. In addition to the present investigation of Pottinger's illegal activity, federal authorities would do well to investigate Pottinger's present holdings.

The Conservation Foundation

Think tank of the

by Susan Kokinda

"Bulldozers are a symbol for the activities of American technology which lead to a massive corruption of the environment . . . the assault on living space is primarily the work of the internal combustion engine."

—Conservation Foundation Annual Report, 1963

In early July 1984, Conservation Foundation President William Reilly called on conservationists "to look for allies . . . among administration budget cutters" in the fight to slash U.S. agricultural production and thereby "save the environment."

By December, two major policy conferences were being hosted in Washington, D.C., which resulted in the complete convergence of free-market budget-cutters and environmentalists, united around the necessity of shrinking U.S. agricultural production. While the right-wing Heritage Foundation was openly taking command of the free-market side of the assault, the low-profile Conservation Foundation was quietly directing the conservationist deployment.

That the Conservation Foundation should be at the center of an effort to cut U.S. agricultural production in the midst of an African famine which could become the worst in human history, is no surprise. Founded by the racist British and Swiss oligarchy in 1948, the Conservation Foundation had one purpose: to give the eugenics movement, so badly embarrassed by Adolf Hitler, a new name and a new mass base. The new name, immediately assigned by the oligarchs, was population control. The mass movement took 20 years to create. It is today's environmentalist "Green" shock troops which threaten the economic and strategic survival of the West, supported by the zero-growth mentality which strongly colors the thinking of so many in the advanced sector.

Phase I: 'Scientific' environmentalism

"Increasing population causes a drain on natural resources which is geometric, not arithmetic. . . . Science cannot be expected to supplant the vital processes of nature."

—Conservation Foundation Annual Report, 1948

The first 15 years of the Conservation Foundation's activity were devoted to retooling the crude horrors of Nazi eugenics into the more palatable and pseudo-scientific theories of conservation and population control. The U.S.-based founder of the Foundation was well-suited for the job. Henry Fairfield Osborne was the nephew of the Fairfield Osborne who had hosted, along with Averell Harriman's family, the

genocide lobby

infamous 1932 International Eugenics Conference in New York City. That conference honored top Nazi race scientists. Following in the family tradition, Henry Fairfield Osborne launched the new Malthusian institution in 1948 with money from four different Rockefeller outlets, two Mellon foundations, Sears and Roebuck, and Merrill Lynch.

But the Osborne name and Eastern families' funds were merely the colonial assets deployed into the United States by the international oligarchy. The decision to launch the Conservation Foundation had been made in 1947 in Switzerland at a conference hosted by the Swiss League for the Protection of Nature. That conference brought together the entire gaggle of scientists and conservationists who were funded by the Swiss and by the royal families of Britain, Holland, and Belgium.

There, the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, a vehicle today for Prince Philip's genocidal schemes, was founded as the supranational umbrella group from which nationally-based institutions such as the Conservation Foundation were deployed. The early advisory councils of the Conservation Foundation reflect that oligarchical deployment. They were dominated by a variety of British and Low Country scientists led by Sir Solly Zuckerman (now Lord Solly)—a top British operative in the founding of the Pugwash Conference's "scientific" backchannel to the Soviet Union.

The initial tasks adopted by the Conservation Foundation included a massive profiling of Ibero-American soil conditions and other natural resources, and the launching of a "conservation curriculum" in the United States. The first university conservation program in the United States was started in 1951 at Yale, under the auspices of the Conservation Foundation. Others soon followed. One need only look at America's environmentalist-dominated universities today to measure the success of that project.

That these are alien, anti-republican policies grafted onto the body politic by America's historic imperial enemies is documented in the Foundation's own Annual Reports. In 1954, the British Advisory Committee on Economics and Policy called Osborne and staff scientist Kingsley Davis to London to order a study on the U.S. ability to feed the developing sector. In 1956, at the recommendation of the same Advisory Committee, the British Colonial Office awarded Davis \$47,000 to carry out population-control studies in Jamaica.

Phase II: Creating the shock troops

"[We must have] adult indoctrination on an unusually large and well organized scale."

—Conservation Foundation, Annual Report, 1961

Part of the Foundation's deployment was to prepare the American population for the creation of a Malthusian ideology. The mid-1950s witnessed the launching of a major project to profile "teacher attitudes on conservation." The Foundation also began an examination of the "ethical systems" involved in population questions and the development of "techniques to make [new ethical systems] acceptable." The Conservation Foundation had already launched the Rachel Carson DDT scare as a pilot project in adult indoctrination. All the while, Osborne was working closely with the American Eugenics Society.

In 1961, the Foundation published a compendium of essays arguing the Malthusian case. Those contributing included Lord Solly Zuckerman, Lord Boyd Orr, Sir Charles Darwin, Sir Julian Huxley, and Arnold Toynbee. A more concentrated grouping of opponents of the Judeo-Christian tradition could not be found.

The 1963 Annual Report decried the fact that ecology had not yet become a political force. Russell Train was brought onto the Board of Advisors and in 1965, after the death of Osborne, became president. Resources for the Future and the Environmental Defense Fund were brought into being by the Conservation Foundation in the early 1960s to spearhead the creation of a more activist environmentalism. The 1967-68 Annual Report of the Foundation laid out a detailed blueprint for the creation of a mass movement.

Realizing that blueprint, the Foundation took much credit for the passage of the 1969 National Environmental Policy Act. That Congressional abomination created the apparatus for gutting America's industrial and technological base. The 1970 "Earth Day" cult festivities in the United States marked an inflection point for the Foundation. Speaking to the Ditchley Foundation, Sir Frank Fraser Darling—one of the oligarchy's old guard remaining at the Foundation—applauded the fact that the "scientific environmentalists" of old had now been joined by a growing movement of "instinctive environmentalists."

Today, the Malthusian Nazi scientists and environmentalist shock troops have been joined by an equally dangerous force. As current Conservation Foundation President William Reilly gloated in July, they have added to their ranks the budget-cutting ideologues who want to eliminate the nation-state as a force for technological progress and hand economic decision-making to the oligarchy-controlled "free market." The Conservation Foundation is coming very close to completing its mandate to the heirs of the British Colonial Office: Science will not supplant the "vital processes of nature," America will help to starve the developing sector, and Malthusian laws *will* be obeyed.