

LaRouche: U.S. elites adopt administrative fascism

This statement was released by political prisoner Lyndon LaRouche on Feb. 21. LaRouche was a candidate for the Democratic presidential nomination in 1980, 1984, and 1988, and is currently campaigning, from federal prison, for Congress in Virginia's 10th District. He was jailed on Jan. 27, 1989 on trumped-up conspiracy charges.

The people in the U.S. Establishment, from the level of the Enterprise or slightly below—in other words, the old boys and some of the younger fellows of the intelligence and political intelligence establishment—repeatedly say to us of my imprisonment: “Of course, he violated the political rules by being outspoken, and therefore he’s stuck in prison, and they’ll keep him in prison until he learns his lesson and doesn’t say these things any more.”

That’s the general nature of their charge: I did not play by the rules of the consensus. I said things which were out of turn, to use Freemasonic language. I opposed policies which the majority of the Establishment had come to agree upon. I did not accept the democratic centralism of the liberal Anglo-American Establishment.

There are two things that follow from what these Establishment insiders have said. First, they all agree that I committed no crime, as charged, but was put in prison for purely political reasons. The Establishment is engaged presently in the effort to exterminate to the last vestige the political association and entire political movement associated with me.

This is a conscious understanding internationally among these elites.

What do these facts say of the judicial and other features of the political system, which is responsible for imprisoning

me? What does that say of the United States?

It says the United States is become a form of *administrative fascist* state. That is what the insiders have consented to. That is what they defend. That’s what they rationalize. They may not like it, but they’re afraid: “That’s the system.” Some of them will say, “I, too, was victimized, perhaps to a lesser degree, by the same set of rules.” Does that justify it? They say, “Well, I learned to play by the rules and therefore I’m now free, and you’re in jail, and may remain indefinitely, as long as you show this terrible attitude against submission to tyranny.”

Did we go to war to defend tyranny? Did we say, “We’re going to defend democracy,” and, now, we’re defending, instead, an evil, irrational, *administrative fascist* tyranny here in the United States?

Is it not true that the United States policy, the so-called Volcker policies of the Federal Reserve, the conditionalities policies of the International Monetary Fund, have murdered approximately a half billion people on this planet in the last dozen years or so—far more people than died as a consequence of World Wars I and II? Is it not a fact, that there are those who are aware of what this means, who know that something in that order of magnitude has occurred, that kind of genocide—and yet they condone it? What does this say of them?

There is a second thing which follows from what the Establishment insiders have said: The Furies, the Erinyes, are coming in to destroy the governing institutions of the United States, unless the United States changes its ways. Let us look at me and my friends from a different standpoint: not only as victims of tyranny, which we are, but rather as the predicates of the self-destruction of a government under

George Bush and of the self-destruction of the forces which brought this Bush government into being over previous years.

What does this say? First of all, that these are damned fools. I say "damned fools," not as profanity but in the literal, theological sense of damned fools. *Damned they are*—at least if they continue as they stand now; and all of us who consent to do what they do, are damned with them. Adhere to what church you please: You're still damned if you condone this tyranny, this evil.

The United States has adopted policies which are destroying not only the populations of the Third World, and, if possible, also Japan and Western Europe, but policies which are in defiance of the very laws of the universe.

The policies of the United States, and also of the Thatcherite trained in Britain, are bringing the world toward a new world war, which in one form or another, either as simply an erosive, planetary civil war, or a war of the sort featuring a conflict between Western forces and Soviet military forces, means the plunging of this planet into a global new dark age, worse than that of Europe during the middle of the 14th century. It means the destruction of the United States. It means the destruction, in large part, of that class which has imposed these policies upon Britain and the United States, and, in that degree, upon the world.

These idiots, with their prating about democracy and deregulation, have refused to understand that there is a lawfulness in history, especially in political-economic history, just as there is lawfulness in what is recognized as physical science. The two are not separate.

Now we see the end result of liberalism and romanticism. Look at the case of Savigny's doctrine. Savigny, following Kant and British liberalism, said that that which is true in physical science, that is, susceptible of laws which may not be violated without penalty to the violator, is not true in the domain of *Geisteswissenschaft*—the spiritual sciences, politics, the arts. But, it *is* true, because the human mind is one, as I've written in published references which document the issue in some detail.

The rules of the game

Plato's Socrates teaches that underlying every proposition, is a set of axiomatic assumptions; and, underlying any successive sets of axiomatic assumptions, there is another underlying set of quasi-axiomatic assumptions. The latter assumption determines the directedness of thought in going from one deductive or ideological schema to the next.

What is wrong with the American Establishment, and its hangers-on—those who submit to its rules of the game in a childish fashion, in the sense of Piaget's rules of games that children play—is that their axiomatic assumptions are wrong. The axiomatic assumptions underlying those axiomatic assumptions' choice, are also wrong. Hence, no matter what decision they make, as long as they play by the rules of

the game, they come not only to a wrong decision, but to a decision which is worse than the policy they propose to mend. Thus, as long as the Americans and Anglo-American Establishment cling to their present policies, they bring upon themselves their own certain destruction, together with the destruction of any idiot foolish enough to uphold that Establishment in its behavior. So we see the United States now plunging toward its doom.

My situation

I have warned the Establishment, for example, in 1971, and earlier, that unless they abandoned their monetarist policies, we were headed not only into a depression, but into political fascism of some sort, which would mean a New Dark Age for this planet. I was right; that's exactly what's happening now.

I warned in 1979, that we were heading right into such a fascist holocaust. I warned in 1982, that that was the choice. I warned and warned and warned. Each time I have put my neck out to commit myself to a forecast warning, I've been proven correct, and within the general time frame which I specified, by my method of forecasting—not by somebody else's crystal ball method. I was right in 1987. I was right in 1989, and giving the percentiles, ranging from 75% in October to 95% in April, for the second crash, following the 1987 one, to erupt between October 1989 and the middle of April 1990. The financial system is now crashing down.

I was right in 1985, in projecting the way in which the Soviet economy would go into a physical economic breakdown crisis. I was right in the fall of 1988, speaking in the Kempinski Bristol Hotel in Berlin, in forecasting the imminence of events leading toward an early unification of Germany, in the context of the upcoming developments in Poland.

I have been consistently right in these matters, and that is what I am condemned to prison for doing: warning the Establishment of those changes in its policy which it must make in order to survive. I am charged for identifying concretely not only the policies, but the method of generating policies; and the institutions of generating policies, which are leading us to destruction. I am imprisoned for trying to save the Establishment from its own folly.

Having put me out of the way for the sake of that against which I warned them, what will be their inevitable payment? They shall be destroyed. Thus, as long as I remain imprisoned, the destruction of George Bush's administration and the Establishment, and who knows what else besides, is absolutely assured. That is the truth of the matter.

Let us put to one side all this nonsense about my breaking the rules. I broke the rules of silly geese. The silly geese who put me in prison broke God's rules; God will punish them unless they desist. If I'm free, they might survive; if I'm not, they shall not. Of that I can assure them. And of that God will assure them, those poor, literally damned fools.