

‘Ecological’ irrationalism is leading to war

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

It would be impossible to save the Soviet or the United States economy, unless we immediately repudiated that to which Mr. Gorbachov, President Reagan, and President Bush ostensibly subscribe currently in the context of the United Nations Organization meeting, at the end of 1988, i.e., the so-called environmentalist or ecological pact.

Ecology, as it is popularly defined in the news media and political process today, is a form of irrationalism, we might say anti-Christian, almost Satanic, and sometimes literally Satanic, anti-Christian ideology, which is totally opposed to all scientific procedures, scientific knowledge.

None of us who are sensible, would oppose, but would rather promote, things which actually benefit the ecology, such as the improvement of large-scale water-management systems respecting the water crisis in, for example, the Soviet Union or North America, where neglect of previously established water-management programs is leading to a true ecological catastrophe. None of us who are sensible would object to large-scale reforestation, particularly of reserve land, mountain land. This is sometimes a very difficult process to do; it requires very sophisticated steps, but none of us who are sensible would object to that sort of thing. None of us would object to new kinds of zoning requirements, which have a more rational approach to planning of cities, but a rational approach which is actually imbedded in some of the best city-planning in Italy and so forth, earlier in this era.

None of us would object to, as sensible, the substitution of nuclear energy for wasteful or dirty forms of combustion such as primitive uses of so-called solar power which are ecologically disastrous if we use or apply it on a large scale, or to other use of biomass as a fuel, generally, which is wasteful and ecologically counterproductive. We would all insist on going to thermally much more efficient forms which are intrinsically much cleaner. We would desire to get, as soon as possible, to a second generation of thermonuclear fusion, and with the possibility of large plants in the terawatt scale, and we should be planning for the use and



Graziano Sica

"None of us would object to, as sensible, the substitution of nuclear energy for wasteful or dirty forms of combustion," writes Lyndon LaRouche. The nuclear plant at Biblis exemplifies the kind of technology the Federal Republic of Germany could share, to clean up East Germany's serious air pollution problem. Shown are pro-nuclear political activists including Mrs. Helga Zepp-LaRouche (third from left) visiting Biblis.

control of the matter-antimatter process, something which should be feasible as an achievement during the second half of the coming century.

None of us would object from a sound, scientific, ecological standpoint, to the fostering of the development of high-speed rails rather than continued increase of highway transport in general, that is, long-range highway transport policies. None of us would object to the use of high-speed rails as an ecological and economically superior approach to a replacement of air-traffic congestion from short hauls of 250-500 mile flights, and that sort of thing.

None of us would object to any of the other things which make the world safer and better for life from a scientific standpoint.

For example, none of us would object to destroying the ecologically disastrous agricultural policies which put formerly fruitful and ecologically positive agricultural land into the status of ecologically disastrous wasteland, a key factor in the growth of weather instability.

So, none of us are opposed to sound ecology, to cleaner cities, to cleaner air, to that sort of thing, but the negative, unscientific and pagan, sometimes outrightly Satanic, approaches of the World Wildlife Fund and other institutions of that sort, which are also racist and pro-genocidal, those things we must abort immediately.

Ecologism may lead to war

Now, it is well known as Dr. Alexander King of the Club of Rome and of other institutions has stated frankly: The real purpose of ecology is to eliminate from this planet what some white racists consider, in the tradition of Adolf Hitler, an excess proliferation of populations of persons of darker complexion. This, in the words of Alexander King, includes Mediterranean peoples, that means Spaniards, Italians, some darker-complexioned fellows in the south of France, Yugoslavs, or part of the people in Yugoslavia, Greeks, Turks, Arabs, the Jews naturally, the darker-skinned Jews—Sephardic Jews—in particular, and so forth and so on.

This abomination must stop.

Now not only is this morally and scientifically reprehensible, but unless we do that, we shall probably go to the thermo-nuclear war which some fellows wishfully think we've already escaped. Without a destruction, an extirpation, a condemnation, a rejection, of this pagan ideology of unscientific, anti-scientific ecologism, it will be impossible to revive the economies of the Soviet region or of North America or of Britain. Therefore, these unscientific views must be rejected, for if they are not, it will be impossible to prevent the collapse of economy, as we see now going on as a physical-economic breakdown crisis in the Soviet Empire and in North America, for example; and thus, we create the conditions under which