

ADL, satanists gang up on pro-life movement

Fourth in a series, by Kathleen Klenetsky

What do the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith and satanist networks in the United States have in common? They are both waging a full-scale war against the pro-life movement, with the aim of fending off any legislative or judicial restrictions on abortion.

The ADL has launched an aggressive legal campaign to prevent any moderation in the 1973 Supreme Court's *Roe v. Wade* decision legalizing abortion.

While the ADL is battling for abortion in court, self-avowed satanists are manning the abortion clinics to defend the rights of women to kill their babies. According to information obtained through MagickNet, the computer bulletin board network which calls itself "the world's largest electronic coven," witches are serving as "guards" at abortion clinics across the nation in a coordinated effort to prevent pro-life demonstrators from convincing women not to abort their babies.

One center of such activity is Orange County, California, where the witches are in the leadership of the Orange County Pro Choice Coalition. In its newsletter last autumn, the coalition warned of two weeks of Operation Rescue activities, planned to coincide with the trial of Randall Terry and several other Operation Rescue leaders.

"This hit is vital to Operation Rescue," said the newsletter, "so it is most important that we make an *especially* strong showing in order to defeat them. We're hoping to break their organizational back. Now is the time to recruit your friends, relatives and neighbors."

Although there is no evidence that the ADL and the satanists are formally collaborating, the ADL has leaped to the satanists' aid on several occasions. Last year, for example, when some Texas state legislators introduced a bill criminalizing certain satanic ritualistic practices, the ADL's Dallas office attempted to block its passage, claiming it would infringe religious freedom.

ADL: anti-abortion=anti-Semitism

The ADL has vociferously defended abortion. In 1989, it filed a series of *amicus curiae* briefs when the Supreme Court was considering several state laws that would have encroached upon *Roe v. Wade*. Two of these briefs were filed by honorary ADL chairman Kenneth Bialkin, the attorney for fugitive drug kingpin Robert Vesco.

The briefs were based on the theory that anti-abortion

statutes adopted by Illinois, Minnesota, and Ohio represented a threat to religious freedom. Bialkin's brief argued that they violated the establishment clause of the U.S. Constitution "by endorsing one religious theory of when life begins," and thus imposed an "impermissible burden on a woman's free exercise of religion by restricting her fundamental religious interest in deciding whether to continue a pregnancy."

When the Supreme Court in June upheld the Minnesota and Ohio statutes (which required some form of parental notification when minors seek abortions), the ADL issued a press release lamenting the decision. Thomas Homburger, chairman of ADL's national Civil Rights Committee, was quoted: "Regrettably, the Supreme Court did not share ADL's view that Minnesota's and Ohio's efforts to regulate abortion impermissibly interfere with a minor's personal and religious freedom. . . . The challenged statutes . . . reflect the religious view that abortion is morally wrong and that life begins at conception. When the state imposes that view on women, it violates the First Amendment by interfering with an individual's religious beliefs."

But the ADL has gone beyond a mere legal defense of abortion, and is now trying to smear the pro-life movement as "anti-Semitic"—solely because it favors restrictions on abortion! This bizarre charge is being justified on the grounds that Jewish moral law requires an abortion to be performed, if the life of the mother is in danger. People such as Susan Schneider, the editor-in-chief of *Lilith* magazine (named for a Talmudic demon who devoured infants) and lecturer at ADL events, have twisted this position to claim that any curbs on abortion are anti-Semitic, because they would interfere with a Jew's freedom of religion.

That claim is in itself anti-Semitic, according to Rabbi David Zweibel, of the New York-based Agudath Israel organization. Zweibel told *EIR* that, while it is true that Jewish law (*halacha*) mandates an abortion if the mother would otherwise die, the Jewish religion is "very pro-life," and many Jews favor curbing abortions. "Anyone who says that to support some restrictions on abortion is being anti-Semitic, is himself being anti-Semitic," Rabbi Zweibel said.

In an article in the summer 1990 *Lilith*, in which Schneider thanks ADL officials for providing her with material, she contends, "The interests of Jews are threatened now because abortion rights has become the bellwether for this country's tolerance for diversity. . . . Jews favor retaining the right

to abortion as set out in *Roe v. Wade* because we have an investment in protecting religious freedoms and in promulgating justice.”

Schneider’s implication that all Jews favor unlimited abortion is a lie, as Rabbi Zweibel made clear. Indeed, Agudath Israel filed an *amicus curiae* brief with the Supreme Court calling for the overthrow of *Roe v. Wade*. Zweibel noted that his group’s brief differed sharply from one filed by the ADL in the same case.

The ADL’s “anti-abortion equals anti-Semitism” line publicly surfaced in March, when the *Jerusalem Post* ran an article by Laura Kam-Ischaroff of the ADL’s Israel office, charging that “increasing anti-Semitic manifestations in the volatile debate on abortions are worrying Jewish leaders in the U.S.” She reported that, at an ADL seminar in late 1989, *Lilith*’s Schneider warned “the abortion issue has become a pernicious amalgam gluing together anti-Jewish and anti-women feelings.” In her *Lilith* piece, Schneider claims that “Anti-Semitism suffuses the anti-choice [anti-abortion] movement.”

And what are Schneider’s sources for these charges? In addition to Sally Greenberg and Jill Kahn of the ADL, she credits Chip Berlet—a “researcher” on “right-wing activity” who was formerly associated with the pro-drug *High Times* magazine! Both Greenberg and Berlet have been active members of the “Get LaRouche” operation, which has put pro-life spokesman Lyndon LaRouche and a number of his associates in jail. Indeed, Schneider has bitterly complained that the pro-life movement is too open to LaRouche’s ideas.

Pure evil

What exactly is it that the ADL is defending? Abortion has a long historical association with the most evil forces in society, such as gnosticism, which, not accidentally, are the same forces which have been battling to destroy Judaism and Christianity.

The mental outlook of the hard-core pro-abortionist is best shown by quoting a member of MagickNet, one Chip Gordon, author of *The Magician’s Dictionary*. “I am getting tired of a world in which the importance of children is all that anyone can talk about,” Gordon said recently on MagickNet. “Adults are important too. . . . You ought to realize that there are some who find the preoccupations of people who are reproducing to be very obnoxious. . . . There’s far too much importance in our society placed upon children for their own sakes.”

Gordon’s hostility to mankind in general is explicit. “Five going on ten billion people on this planet and the fools still believe that breeding kids is so holy that parents have the divine right to act as dictators and thought police. . . . What really annoys them is that the world isn’t falling all over itself in adoration of their spoiled brats! Time for compulsory birth control!”

Is this what the ADL means by “religious freedom”?

Campaign forums show North as drug pusher

by Jeffrey Steinberg

Oliver North, the Marine lieutenant colonel who helped bungle the United States into the biggest foreign policy tragedy since Vietnam, has thrown his hat into the political arena. On June 23, 1990, the Freedom Alliance announced in the premier issue of its newsletter, *The Free American*, that the darling of Project Democracy had agreed to chair the new organization. In his acceptance letter, North promised: “Freedom Alliance intends to put into the hands of millions of freedom-loving and pro-family Americans the facts on the crucial issues of the day.”

LaRouche For Justice (LFJ), the congressional campaign committee for Lyndon LaRouche Jr., who is running for U.S. Congress in Virginia’s 10th Congressional District from a federal prison in Rochester, Minnesota after being railroaded for his opposition to North’s Contra operation, began a series of public forums in LaRouche’s district in northern Virginia during the first week of August to air some “facts on the crucial issues of the day” which neither North nor any of the other Iran-Contra troops wish to share with the public. The flyer announcing the forum series was headlined “Ollie North: Pusher or Patriot?”

First and foremost among the facts presented at the LFJ forums was the witting involvement of North and his coterie in some of the seediest drug-running and narco-terrorist operations in recent decades.

Ollie North, the pusher

Fact: North’s personal notebooks, recently released to the public by the presiding judge in his federal trial, are loaded with entries showing his direct knowledge of drug links by leading figures in the illegal Contra resupply operation. For example, on March 26, 1985, North refers to Rafael Quintero as “Secord’s Agent. Should be on shore when arrivals occur.” A week later, on April 3, North’s same notebook reads, “Rafael Quintero—(captured)—known narcotics trafficker—Enrique Camarena.” On Jan. 14, 1985, North had noted, “Rob Owen John Hull-no drug connection-Believes,” a reference to John Hull, a Costa Rica-based Contra supply officer whose hacienda was widely reported by Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) investigators to be a transshipment point for Medellín Cartel cocaine shipments bound for the United States.