

playing Whitewater, but the *Sunday Telegraph* of March 13 was back on the case.

“Little Rock’s Mean Machine,” was the headline, and underneath was highlighted the following: “Ambrose Evans-Pritchard goes ‘underground’ in Conway, Arkansas, and finds a climate of bitterness and fear. The talk is of revenge, the targets are the President and the political system that nurtured his climb to power.”

The *Telegraph* article is based on information allegedly provided to Evans-Pritchard by Larry Nichols, a former low-level official of the state government in Arkansas when Clinton was governor. As early as 1991, Nichols had surfaced in TV and newspaper interviews making charges about then-candidate Clinton, including the Gennifer Flowers sex saga. Most reporters eventually concluded that Nichols’s information was unprovable or not as damning as he claimed. He fell off the radar screen even with some of the reporters who were doggedly pursuing every lead about Clinton—until Evans-Pritchard came to town and revived him.

In a second article, “Whitewater: The Flood May Be Still to Come,” Evans-Pritchard complains that “Washington has been slow to grasp the gravity of it all.” But, Pritchard reports wishfully: “Washington is paralyzed and is likely to remain so” until congressional hearings on Whitewater are held. What would the findings of such hearings do? “At best they will puncture the moral pretensions of this White House,” he proclaims; “at worst, they will lead to criminal indictments and bring down the whole administration.”

Wall Street Journal follows London

After Associate Attorney General Webster Hubbell resigned on March 14—over a matter not directly related to the Whitewater/Madison business—the *Wall Street Journal* sought out a new angle on the Clinton scandals. Having brought its previous five-part editorial series, “Who Is Webster Hubbell?” to a successful end, the *Journal* inaugurated a new series on March 15. In its lead editorial, “Who Was Webster Hubbell?—I,” the *Journal* boasted of its 12-month crusade against Hubbell, and disclosed its new excuse to continue its attacks on the Hillary Clinton group from the Rose law firm in Little Rock.

What most *Journal* readers may not have realized is that the *Journal*’s new angle is an old one—which was highlighted on the front page of the London *Sunday Times* on Feb. 13. On the *Journal*’s March 14 editorial page is a lengthy article on Iowa nursing homes deals which had been brokered by Rose law firm partner William Kennedy III. This reprints a *Des Moines Register* article from June 13, 1993, which is clearly where the London *Sunday Times* “Insight” team got much of their Feb. 13 article—a signal that the story should get more prominence. So now, the *Wall Street Journal* declares its intention to go after Associate White House Counsel William Kennedy, as a stepping stone to get at Hillary and then Bill Clinton. This should be no surprise: Webster Hubbell, William Kennedy, Vincent Foster, and Hillary Clinton

LaRouche: Geopolitics drives the assault

From comments by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. in a March 16 radio interview:

The object of the operation is not merely to get Clinton out of office, but is to absolutely permanently damage the constitutional office of the presidency, that is, to damage the ability of the United States government to function.

There is a geopolitical reason for that. Pending the point that might occur, that Moscow might become a very serious thermonuclear adversary . . . we have a situation in which, without a superpower conflict, the major conflict is the economic crisis which the entire world is suffering. The only institution which has the power to very directly and simply turn this economic crisis around, is the government of the United States.

Not because we have economic muscle; we don’t have that any more. But we do have political muscle, and that political muscle could be indispensable in establishing a new international system of credit to replace the present IMF system, which is really bankrupt, in order to get the world economy moving again.

Now these guys in London—the Conrad Black types—who are running this operation against Clinton, are very well aware of that, and *they don’t want that to happen*. And they see the danger that if Clinton comes around to economic cooperation with Moscow, as the alternative to Moscow becoming a Third Rome military adversary, that Clinton will then have to take on the IMF; and if he takes on the IMF, the logical result will be to line up the United States, Japan, and western continental Europe in an axis of economic development cooperation to get the world economy moving again.

If that happens, then the last vestige of what was once called the British Empire, which now exists only in terms of financial and ideological kinds of forms, is finished. And one must remember that the British Empire organized two world wars (contrary to what some people believe happened in these two world wars), in order to prevent precisely that kind of cooperation. And whether President Clinton understands it or not, that’s what he’s up against.

were targeted for further attacks by the Feb. 13 London *Sunday Times*, which described them as “a clique inside the Rose law firm known as the Four Musketeers.”