

The racist Prince Philip

Since *EIR*'s Oct. 28 publication of its Special Report on "The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor," more evidence has emerged showing the degeneracy of the royal family.

Researchers turned up an article in the London *Daily Mail* of Dec. 8, 1988, citing Prince Philip's statements of contempt for the human species. A few samples: ● On the problems of the Chinese saving endangered species: "I regret to say, they eat almost anything." ● During a visit to China in 1986, speaking to a British student: "If you stay much longer, you will go back with slitty eyes." ● At a factory in North Wales, where unemployment was 20%: "Everybody talks about the unemployed. We would do much better to talk about the number of people who are employed because there are more of them."

Off-prints of *EIR*'s Special Report may be purchased for \$10, plus \$2.50 shipping and handling (\$.50 each additional copy). Make check payable to: EIR News Service, P.O. Box 17390, Washington, D.C. 20041-0390. Bulk orders available on request. Call (800) 453-4108.



Organizers from the Civil Rights Movement Solidarity in Frankfurt, Germany promote *EIR*'s report on "The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor."

case was written in 1987, entitled *The Ripper Legacy*, by Martin Howells and Keith Skinner. It maintains that the true identity of Jack the Ripper was one Montague John Druiitt, a reported homosexual. Druiitt's body was found at the bottom of the Thames at the end of 1888, a suicide or a murder victim. Soon thereafter, the Ripper investigation was closed down. But there was a "conspiracy of silence" around the case of Druiitt, with no public reference by the police or anybody else to a link between him and the Ripper. Why? Because any investigation into his background would have led, in Aronson's paraphrase of the Howells-Skinner thesis, "straight into that circle of ambitious, socially prominent and, above all, homosexual members of the Establishment. . . . At the head of this band of 'faithful servants of the Crown and State' stood the future wearer of the Crown and the embodiment of the State—Prince Albert Victor, Heir Presumptive to the throne."

Boys in the 1890s, cacti in the 1990s

As matters were later to play themselves out, Eddy never became king. He died at the age of 28 in 1892. It might be said that he died conveniently, from the standpoint of the British Establishment. Not only did he seem likely to drag the British elites into an embarrassing scandal, but he was,

by all accounts, an incompetent fool. At the time, 1892, it might have been feared he would soon be king. Victoria was old, having been on the throne for over 50 years, and his father, the Prince of Wales, himself a known dissolute, was not expected to live very long. As it is, because Eddy died, his more "competent" brother, George, was crowned King George V in 1910. He was British monarch at a most vital time, when the British were in the final stages of setting the First World War into motion. If the British were having designs, already in the very early 1890s, of igniting a war in Europe, then Eddy would not have, then, "passed muster" as the future leader of a Britain at war.

Aronson himself denies that there was a conspiracy to eliminate Eddy. But, however this particular matter is resolved, and allowing for the fact that the predicates of the two cases may be broadly different, the story of Prince Eddy must, somehow, make the reader think of Prince Charles today. His preference may be more for the cactus plants that he talks to than for little boys, but he, too, is proving to be a major embarrassment for the British inner elites and their oligarchical friends elsewhere. The main point of contrast is that the British royal house, today, is in a much more parlous state than then, and it wouldn't take much, now, to push the whole crew of royal degenerates over the edge.