

## House of Windsor escalates war against United States

by Jeffrey Steinberg

While it is still far too early, despite the media hype, to draw any definitive conclusions about who bears ultimate responsibility for the April 19 bombing of a federal building in Oklahoma City, a pattern of evidence has surfaced about that bombing, and about a recent series of irregular warfare attacks against the United States and allied nations. This pattern strongly suggests that the recent wave of "blind terrorism" conforms to the current offensive being waged by Great Britain's House of Windsor and the Club of the Isles against the Clinton Presidency, the United States, and the fragile configuration of nation-states lining up alongside.

Three broad categories of evidence contribute to this preliminary evaluation. First, an across-the-board pattern of gloating on the part of the leading City of London news media over the Oklahoma City attack, particularly over the crisis into which it has thrust the Clinton Presidency, indicates that the recent terror attacks are consistent with the well-documented British assault against the Clinton Presidency. That assault has included efforts to manufacture phony scandals against the President, to instigate violent attacks against the First Family and the White House, and, most recently, to trigger a long, hot summer of civil disorders across America.

Second, the Club of the Isles assets, including British intelligence figures Lord William Rees-Mogg and Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, and such organizations as the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL), the Cult Awareness Network, the Southern Poverty Law Center, and Cambridge Political Research Associates are demonstrably involved in orchestrating a climate of deep paranoia leading up to the Oklahoma City bombing. Not only does this show a strong, premeditated involvement by Windsor-linked capabilities;

their role conforms precisely to a NATO-vintage "strategy of tension," employing the "gang versus countergang" methods tested and perfected by the London Tavistock Institute's Gen. Frank Kitson in Kenya during the 1950s counterinsurgency campaign against the Mau Mau.

As *EIR* documented in a *Special Report* last autumn titled "The Coming Fall of the House of Windsor" (Oct. 28, 1994), the kind of Kitsonian destabilization now hitting the United States was devised as part of Britain's post-World War II drive to reassert control over its former colonies, using the World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF) as a leading instrument.

Third, information made public to date about the milieu from which the Oklahoma City bombers were deployed, strongly suggests that the apparatus of rogue intelligence and paramilitary operatives of the Reagan-Bush era, who were in and around the Bush and Oliver North-led "secret parallel government" team of Iran-Contra infamy, may have played a part in the recent irregular warfare attacks. The fact that this apparatus, known broadly as "the Asteroids," is fomenting a new secessionist insurgency against the U.S. federal government, is just one of many indications that this apparatus is in league with the Club of the Isles in the assault on the Presidency.

The origins of these currently active networks can be traced in many instances directly back to the period of the failed 1961 Bay of Pigs invasion. At that time, a manipulated network of disgruntled and corrupted covert operators provided a cover for British intelligence's Permindex assassination bureau to murder President John F. Kennedy and successfully cover up the crime. The parallels to the Kennedy assassination in the current confrontation between the Wind-

sor-Club of the Isles apparatus and the Clinton Presidency, cannot be emphasized enough.

### Strategy of tension

From the early days of the Clinton Presidency, when the administration first showed signs of a strategic policy break with London over the genocide in the Balkans, the United States has been a target of a "strategy of tension," similar to the kind of broad-based political and psychological destabilization that hit Italy during the period from 1969 up through the 1978 kidnapping and assassination of Prime Minister Aldo Moro. In both instances, British-centered networks were behind the strategy. British agent-of-influence Henry Kissinger's involvement in the Italy destabilization, and his prominent role at a recent London Chatham House (Royal Institute for International Affairs) conference to map out the next phase of the Club's war against the United States, is illustrative of this continuity.

The use of irregular warfare to target the Clinton Presidency began within days of his inauguration. The shooting spree outside the headquarters of the Central Intelligence Agency in late January 1993; the Feb. 26, 1993 bombing of the World Trade Center; and the ADL-CAN-instigated government assault against the Branch Davidian compound in Waco, Texas, were all identified in a March 1, 1993 memorandum by Lyndon LaRouche as evidence of the revived "strategy of tension." The fact that the Waco assault was carried out nominally by elements within the federal government, in no way contradicted the fact that the attacks were directed at destabilizing the Clinton Presidency before it ever got off the ground.

President Clinton's commendable handling of the Oklahoma City bomb blast stands in sharp contrast to the administration's mishandling of the Waco affair, which occurred in the first days of Clinton's tenure. Sources close to the White House told *EIR* that one of the crucial lessons learned from the Waco tragedy, was that neither outside agencies such as the ADL and CAN, nor permanent government bureaucrats, such as Mark Richard and other career Department of Justice officials who dominated the command decision-making process at Waco, could be relied upon. Within hours of the Oklahoma City bombing, the President set up a war room at the White House, and he reportedly took personal command of the relief effort and manhunt from day one. Moreover, while the President has come under sharp criticism for not going far enough in censuring federal law enforcement officials for the tragic 1992 Randy Weaver shootout in Idaho, he has been careful to avoid giving federal agents a clean bill of health in those two hideous affairs.

### Waco revisited

One of the most disgusting aspects of the American news media's sportscast-style coverage of the Oklahoma City bombing, has been the trotting out of the ADL's Tom Halper-

in and Chip Berlet, along with Morris Dees of the Southern Poverty Law Center, as purported "experts" on the radical right.

Less than two years ago, the ADL was under criminal investigation for running an illegal private espionage and dirty tricks campaign against hundreds of legitimate political, religious, and civil rights organizations, and against an estimated 1 million American citizens. ADL agents provocateurs were identified as some of the most violent members of white supremacist groups, and at least one League undercover sleuth was caught by the FBI and the San Francisco Police Department selling U.S. government classified data to (pre-Mandela) South African government spies.

The year-long San Francisco District Attorney's Office criminal probe of the ADL was ultimately shut down, as a result of heavy-handed intervention by the ADL's friends inside the Justice Department, the FBI, and other federal agencies. That coverup alone is an ominous bit of evidence that the permanent bureaucracy of the federal government is honeycombed with subversives.

More recently, the major daily newspaper in Montgomery, Alabama ran an eight-part series exposing Morris Dees, the director of the Southern Poverty Law Center, as a money-hungry con man who did nothing to advance the cause of civil rights.

The fact that the mass media, from Cable News Network (CNN) to the *Washington Post*, systematically covered up the ADL and Dees scandals, and has now touted those agencies as "experts" on the right-wing militia movement, should serve as a warning to honest citizens that nothing coming out of the media on the Oklahoma bombing can be taken at face value.

In fact, it is the ADL and its allied Cult Awareness Network that bears the greatest burden of responsibility for the April 19, 1993 disaster at Waco. As *EIR* documented at the time ("Kidnapping 'Experts' Bid to Take Over Justice Dept.," May 14, 1993, p. 58), it was the ADL and CAN that instigated the government investigation of the Branch Davidians by manufacturing and internationally circulating an inflammatory disinformation report on the group. A year earlier, the ADL played a similar provocateur role in jacking up federal agents in Idaho, when they attempted to serve an arrest warrant on Randy Weaver.

The ADL and CAN played the identical kind of role in fostering a federal and state law enforcement raid on the Leesburg, Virginia headquarters of LaRouche-linked publishing companies on Oct. 6, 1986. Government documents reveal that ADL-controlled "informants" had provided federal prosecutors with fraudulent information aimed at inducing a bloodbath. Federal and state police officers were told that they would be walking into an armed camp; that office doors would be booby-trapped with bombs; and that file cabinets would be outfitted with incendiary devices. The raid was called off after 24 hours as the result of a personal telegram

from Lyndon LaRouche to President Reagan, alerting him to the events on the ground. However, the Leesburg invasion led to a series of Bush-era federal and state frameups of LaRouche and associates.

As this cursory summary should make clear, no competent review of the Waco and Weaver cases is possible without a full review of the circumstances and personalities behind the October 1986 Leesburg raid and the railroading of LaRouche. And no review of any of these incidents or the Oklahoma bombing is possible, without facing up to the filthy role played in each of these situations by the ADL and its cohorts.

### **'Nine Nations of North America'**

Less than a week after the Oklahoma bombing, a sophisticated mail bomb claimed the life of a timber industry lobbyist in Sacramento, California. Federal authorities have tentatively linked the murder to a string of letter bombs and package bombs that have killed or injured a score of people over a 17-year period. The bomber has been nicknamed "The Unabomber" by FBI investigators. A day after the killing, the *New York Times* published a letter it received from the purported bomber, which indicated strong ties to the radical environmentalist movement.

While the Oklahoma City and Sacramento terrorist attacks are being attributed publicly to very different networks, there are at least two common threads that run through both incidents. First, they both are part of the continuing pattern of ever-escalating, low-intensity warfare directed against the United States, Japan, China, Ibero-America, the Indian subcontinent, and Turkey.

Second, they both are consistent with the Club of the Isles's revived efforts to balkanize North America and thereby destroy the United States as a sovereign nation-state.

In 1982, Joel Garreau, then a reporter with the *Washington Post*, wrote a book, *The Nine Nations of North America*, which called for the breakup of the United States, Canada, Mexico, and the Caribbean into nine separate states, along ethnic and geo-ecological lines. One of a string of books written over the past two decades advocating the bustup of the United States, Garreau's book won him a promotion within the Club of the Isles apparatus, so that today he is the spokesman for the Global Business Network, a project of the Club's Royal Dutch Shell Corp., which, in conjunction with the Stanford Research Institute's Willis Harmon, devises and then works to implement scenarios for a New Age one-world future.

In Garreau's original "Nine Nations" scenario, the Pacific Northwest was to be a separate nation called Ecotopia, dominated by radical ecologists under the thumb of Prince Philip's WWF. The adjacent Empty Space, covering the Rockies and Northern Plains regions of the United States and Canada, was to be the redoubt for the anti-federalist, populist rabble now being linked by the media to the Oklahoma terror.

Today, the impetus to accomplish the British Crown's goal of destroying the United States, is greater than at any time since the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, and perhaps since the British assassination of Abraham Lincoln. The world financial system is in a collapse, verging on a disintegration crisis. When the moment of breakdown occurs, the fate of civilization will hang or fall on what actions are taken by the President of the United States, and there is a strong possibility that the moment of reckoning will occur while William Jefferson Clinton is occupying the Oval Office. And no American President in recent memory has been engaged in such a war-and-a-half with the House of Windsor and the Club of the Isles, as President Clinton is at this moment.

### **Venom from British pens**

Every effort to date by the Windsors and the Club to bring down the Clinton Presidency has failed. Partly in response to that persistent failure, London's frustration at the President came spilling out in a string of incredibly callous and impolitic attacks against him following the Oklahoma City bombing.

The London *Guardian* of April 21 reported that Conservative members of the Parliament, "still resentful at the U.S. administration's red carpet welcome for Gerry Adams, the Sinn Fein president, in Washington last month, responded to the Oklahoma bomb outrage by claiming that it had taught the Americans a lesson." The story went on to quote from a letter to U.S. Ambassador to the Court of St. James, William Crowe, from Tory parliamentarian David Wiltshire, demanding that "as a consequence of your tragedy," the U.S. government "must rethink its feting of apologists for terrorism in Northern Ireland."

The London *Economist's* April 22-28 issue pointed its warning directly at the President: "Suddenly everywhere [in America] seemed vulnerable. But, of course, it has been so for years. America, famously free of terrorism, is indeed still as wide open to it as the fundamentalists boast. . . . And although America's leader may take his morning jog in a daunting phalanx of Secret Service agents, cranks last year found no difficulty in firing on the White House or crashing onto it in small aircraft."

This pattern of gloating British commentaries also followed the World Trade Center bombing, when *Daily Telegraph* writer Frank Johnson gloated: "One good thing might come out of it. It might teach Mr. Clinton that terrorism is no longer something which happens in other countries, and about which it is therefore safe for American Presidents and Presidential candidates to posture. . . . He might give up posturing about Northern Ireland."

After reviewing this pattern of British press venom, Lyndon LaRouche observed: "The tremendous British elation leads one to the suspicion that the British are implicated in the terrorist incident."