

Editorial

Gingrich's plot for one-world government

Let no one be deceived. All those who follow the lead of Newt Gingrich in attacking "big government," are (whether wittingly or not) actually aiding and abetting treason.

The alternative to destroying the United States government is no different now than it was at the time of this nation's founding. Then, had consensus not finally been reached to create a strong, effective central government, the new nation could not have survived. Britain would surely have been the victor over the U.S. in the War of 1812, if not sooner. Similarly, today, the Conservative Revolution program to strip away the powers of the federal government will not revert power to the states, but will create the conditions for the destruction of the United States.

Many of the institutions for a bankers' dictatorship are already in place. The executive of this cabal is located within those circles which recognize Britain's Prince Philip as their leader. The enforcement arm would likely emerge from a strengthened United Nations, which has already established a brutal record for itself in its support for the outlaw Serbian regime. Fiscal policy would rest in the control of the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

This is the reality behind all of the populist, anti-big-government rhetoric. And, if the United States is destroyed, no other nation-state could survive either. Unless we destroy the United Nations as a world government, there will be no civilization on this planet during the next century. The principal consideration in asserting national economic security, must be to *wage war*, with battles of defiance against the idea of a world government. In such a war, the treasonous implications of the doctrine of the Conservative Revolution must be thoroughly discredited.

It is also important for Americans to understand the issue of balancing the federal budget within the context of a similar move to undermine national sovereignty which is taking place in Europe. Here the focus is the fiscal measures necessary to allow the formation of a European currency to replace national currencies, such

as the French franc, the German mark, and the Italian lira. This is known as the Maastricht project, and it was run by the British through the late French President François Mitterrand, in order to destroy the nations of Europe.

The kind of fiscal austerity demanded by the bankers of the various European governments, is precisely the same as the measures being pushed in the United States by Gingrich et al. The attempt in both instances is to put in place a supranational government, with regional extensions, which will take over the world. The United Nations has become, in the mind of many officials of many governments, *the world government*, which would exist as a supranational force above a unified Europe and a disunited United States.

This same process is behind the destruction of Russia and eastern Europe. It is the reach of imperial power, typified by the International Monetary Fund, which is a major instrument of this international world government, and the World Bank, the back-door instrument of this same world government.

To understand the genesis of the project to create a one-world government, it is necessary to review the role of Bertrand Russell and H.G. Wells, from the turn of this century and during the 1920s, the period of the so-called "round-table conspiracy." It was then, and by them, that the post-Manhattan Project nuclear policy was hatched. Without *the bomb*, as Russell understood, the United Nations as an enforcer would have been no more credible than its forerunner, the infamous League of Nations.

Even so, it took almost 50 years, and the collapse of the Soviet system, before the Russell project became feasible. The collapse of the Soviet system was taken as the occasion, not for introducing the idea of globalism, but for *turning the United Nations into an instrument of world government*.

The turning point to establish world government was the operation against Iraq. After that, the U.N. conducted genocide in the Balkans. Now, fiscal, not military means, are being deployed to the same effect.