## British media work overtime vs. Australia

In the wake of Australian Member of Parliament Pauline Hanson's call to feed the starving millions of North Korea, the *Sydney Morning Herald*, an establishment rag partially owned by Queen Elizabeth II's buddy, Kerry Packer, commissioned a poll of business leaders in Asia. An included purpose of the poll was to inflame tensions between Australia and its neighbors, by showing that prominent Asians fear Hanson as "racist."

On July 11, Hanson called upon her government to sharply increase food aid to North Korea, following a mobilization by Lyndon LaRouche's co-thinkers in Australia for famine relief. The immediate food need "is over 1 million tons," Hanson said. Australia must "take the lead among the nations of the world community to ensure the entire food deficit is filled as soon as possible. . . . If we seriously regard ourselves as a nation of the Asia-Pacific region, it is incumbent upon us to respond to the humanitarian crisis facing North Korea." Hanson was the only Australian MP with the morality to publicly call for such help.

The poll was of 200 business leaders among the top

500 companies of each of four cities, Kuala Lumpur, Manila, Bangkok, and Jakarta. "Many branded her a racist and said she was anti-Asian," summarized the *Sydney Morning Herald*, predicting dire consequences for Australian trade. The poll also found that Hanson "is by far the most heard-of Australian politician" in Asia, with name recognition of some 25%, compared to Australian Prime Minister John Howard's 5%. The poll—which did not report her North Korean call, and was therefore fraudulent—was also carried by Reuters, an arm of British intelligence.

The populist "Hanson phenomenon" was almost entirely created by media barons Packer and Murdoch—the same ones who blacked out her call to feed North Korea. Australia's Anglophile "multi-cultural" establishment has tried to create a plausibly racist straw man, whom they can crucify in a wave of McCarthy-style hysteria, in order to crush any genuine nationalism in the country, as best represented by LaRouche's associates in the Citizens Electoral Council. Sources in Australia's political establishment have told *EIR*, that "word has gone out" that no one should say anything about Hanson's North Korea initiative, since that tends to break up the rigged game in Australia, not to mention such silence abetting Britain's strategic design for chaos on the Korean peninsula, the ultimate target of which is China.

## London puts the squeeze on Kenya

by Linda de Hoyos

The government of Kenyan President Daniel arap Moi has now become the premier target of London's marcherlord forces. Although former British Minister of Overseas Development Baroness Lynda Chalker, had reportedly assured Moi during her visit to Nairobi last fall that his government would not come under renewed assault—as it did, for instance, in 1992—by July, the Moi government was under siege from the opposition bolstered by the international press.

At issue is whether constitutional reforms, which would eradicate pre-independence laws that impede free political organization, will precede Presidential elections which are due for this year, but not yet scheduled. But behind this stands a far more powerful force: the British Privy Council and its militarist machine led by President Yoweri Museveni of Uganda, Kenya's neighbor to the east and a source of a major portion of its food supply.

As early as April 4, the London *Times*, speaking for the British Foreign Office, had declared that Moi would be the "next to go" after Zaire's Mobutu Sese Seko was swept aside. Mobutu and Moi, the *Times* intoned, "are among the last members of a generation who has ruled with the absolute power of tribal chiefs, harnessing the national coffers to fund a system of corruption and patronage to ensure loyalty. . . . Having been seen by Western powers as vital defenders of capitalism during the Cold War, Africa's autocrats are now perceived as men whose time is past." Then again, on June 5, the *Times*'s Sam Kiley, waxing eloquent on the "new breed of African leadership" represented by Museveni et al., quoted one Western diplomat's musings: "Will they try to take on every bad guy on the continent? . . . How comfortable is Mr. Daniel arap Moi in Keyna? Is he on their hit list?"

The answer is yes. According to regional sources, the opposition in Kenya has been heavily penetrated by forces from Museveni's Uganda, and Uganda is being put forward as the model for the "new Kenya," among students, who have been organized to play the role of battering ram against the Moi government. The image of the "Kabila revolution" in Zaire is also being conjured up. In the second week of July, opposition parliamentarians vowed to render Kenya "ungovernable," if Moi did not accede to their demands. "Those who make peaceful change impossible make violence inevitable,"

42 International EIR August 1, 1997