

## Sudan, target of Rice war

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

September 19, 1997

During a Sept. 16-17 seminar on the theme of “Religion, Nationalism and Peace in Sudan,” a cabal of British agents and other rug-chewing fanatics, representing official and pseudo-official positions of influence around the U.S. government, lined up in support of the launching of a four-front military invasion of Sudan, intended to conquer and dismember that nation.

The military operations now pre-deployed in preparation for that unprovoked, aggressive warfare, are being run by African states each and all currently under the direction, and top-down control by the British monarchy. However, reports on the ground inside Africa indicate that London-controlled Israeli forces, already closely associated with genocide operations directed by Ugandan dictator Yoweri Museveni, are to supply some of the crucial strategic military elements, such as air power, of the invasion now being readied.

This planned military invasion of Sudan is positioned at the same time that Museveni has launched the first phase of his military operations aimed at dismembering Kenya in much the same way Uganda’s military forces, backed by Rwanda dictator and mass-murderer Paul Kagame, used the noted organized-crime figure, Laurent Kabila, for their Nazi-like holocaust against Hutu refugees and others in Zaire-Congo.

The African dictators behind the invasion are each and all under the leadership of Uganda Hitler admirer and Fanonist, Yoweri Museveni. All of these, including the current dictators of Eritrea, Ethiopia, and Rwanda, like Museveni puppets John Garang and Kabila, were either graduates of British Commonwealth puppet-master Julius Nyerere’s Dar Es Salaam “kindergarten,” or were, like Kabila, closely associated with Museveni when Museveni was being processed there, or later.

Think of Africa as the imperial arena, and the roster of speakers featured at the U.S. Institute for Peace’s Sept. 16-17 rally as like the Circus Maximus’ 100,000-odd mouth-foaming mob of spectators, all shrieking “Thumbs down for Africa.” Look inside the U.S. Congress, where the self-anointed servants of such a *vox populi* include such shame-

lessly overt agents of the British intelligence services, as Representative Chris Smith (R-N.J.) and Sir Paul Mellon’s hand-picked, Manichean errand-boy, Representative Frank Wolf (R-Va.). Smith and Wolf are members of a British intelligence front-organization called Christian Solidarity International (CSI), otherwise known to some of us as Christian Solidarity—America (CSA). CSI is coordinated by the Deputy Speaker of the British House of Lords, Caroline Cox, a creature whose performance in Africa, marks her as one as close to the Christians in the arena as the Emperor Nero.


Look at the map of the Horn of Africa region. The dictators of Uganda, Rwanda, Burundi have armies, but the nations which once bore those names no longer, in fact, exist. Julius Nyerere, the long-standing puppet-master of Uganda’s fate, has kept Uganda in virtually a permanent state of civil war, since Nyerere toppled his former protégé Idi Amin. Since Museveni’s army invaded Rwanda, that former nation has become better known as the “Auschwitz of dictator Kagame’s Hutu Holocaust.” Call the former Zaire “Congo,” or whatever else you wish, the nation which once stood there no longer exists, the last vestiges of nationhood slaughtered by Museveni’s figurehead Pro-Consul, Kabila. The march of a new kind of Black Death is destroying the former nations and peoples of Africa; the greatest genocide in all human existence is now afoot, being cheered on currently by such U.S. mouthpieces of London as Chris Smith; Frank Wolf; outgoing Assistant Secretary for Africa George Moose; an NSC director of Africa Affairs, John Prendergast; ostensibly incoming Assistant Secretary for Africa Affairs Susan Rice; Library of Congress official Ted Dagne; Museveni booster Roger Winter; and so on.

The overriding question to be posed, is what happens if President Clinton fails to act, with pungency and force, to shut down Britain’s orchestration of the invasion of Sudan? Look at the strategic geographic position of Sudan in Africa; look at the strategic significance of Sudan’s sovereignty for U.S. ability to make policy in any part of the world, not only in Africa itself.

Since, professed British agent and then-Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger swapped Ethiopia for Somalia, with the

FIGURE 1

**Criminal activities of British puppet-dictators in Eastern Africa**



Soviet Union, under the terms of the British Arab Bureau's Bernard Lewis, back during the mid-1970s, the Horn of Africa region, as indicated by the accompanying map, is the strategic "Balkan" region of Africa, the link to the Middle East cockpit. See what happened to Somalia, and to Ethiopia, too, as a result of Henry Kissinger's mid-1970s swap-deal with Moscow. Imagine that model, combined with the more recent Great Lakes Model, suddenly spread through the entirety of the region shown by the map, and beyond that.

Under those conditions, the U.S. has no ability to make policy in Asia, let alone Africa, until some conjecturable time deep in the next century. That would be the early end of the Clinton administration, even as soon as two years before the ritual of the November 2000 elections. President Clinton's willingness, and commitment to act with effective, crushing pungency and force, to bring about the early dumping of Prime Minister B. Netanyahu in Israel, and a permanent halt to the British-directed invasion of Sudan, are the two immediate issues upon which the success or doom of the Clinton administration rest. On these strategic issues, the President, if he is prudent, dare not compromise.

Those clowns, in his own administration, who support the Sudan invasion prospect, must go. Otherwise, the Clinton administration will almost certainly disintegrate, very rapidly, into a shambles.

## British agents in Washington gun for war against Sudan

by Muriel Mirak-Weissbach

The U.S. Institute for Peace hosted a two-day seminar in Washington, D.C., Sept. 16-17, purportedly on the theme, "Religion, Nationalism, and Peace in Sudan." In reality, the conference laid out a political and military strategy for overthrowing the Sudanese central government, in the near term. Both government representatives and persons associated with non-governmental organizations (NGOs), who claimed to be speaking in their personal capacities, fraudulently portrayed their "recommendations" as reflecting the policy of the Clinton administration.

Gathered at the Plaza Hotel in downtown Washington, were think-tankers from the host institution, as well as from the Brookings Institution and the Max Planck Institute in Germany; they were flanked by the usual NGOs operating under the cover of humanitarian aid, like Human Rights Watch, World Vision, and the U.S. Committee on Refugees; several professional Sudanese opposition figures, of the umbrella

grouping founded by Baroness Caroline Cox, known as the National Democratic Alliance (NDA); and, a handful of British intelligence agents operating inside the National Security Council, and the U.S. Congress. The only noteworthy figure absent was Baroness Cox, herself.

Following numerous academic speeches on Islam, Islamization, religious identity, and the like, which took up the bulk of the first day's proceedings, the participants set to work on the second day, to announce policy directives which they asserted to be those of the U.S. President. The gist of the strategy presented was that the United States should provide political and military support to Sudan's neighbors, now known as the "front-line states," as well as to the remaining rebel forces led by John Garang, to enable them to overthrow the Khartoum government by November.

To prepare the terrain for launching their call for war, the speakers took care to characterize their targetted victim, as evil incarnate. The Sudanese government of President Gen. Omar al-Bashir, who took power in 1989 and was confirmed in general elections in March 1997, was referred to throughout as the "NIF regime," referring to the National Islamic Front, a mass-based movement led by Speaker of the Parliament Dr. Hassan al-Turabi. The entire gamut of slanders that have been churned out by British intelligence outlets since 1989, against Bashir and Turabi, were retailed by speaker after speaker: that the "Arab Islamic" leadership of "the North" oppressed the Christian and animist Sudanese of "the South," forcing them to convert to Islam, and to use Arabic in place of their tribal dialects. Ted Dagne, a British intelligence asset operating as "specialist in African affairs" for the Foreign Affairs Division of the Congressional Research Service, added the allegations of slavery and support for terrorism, to the list of crimes drawn up against the targetted government. Human Rights Watch speaker Jemera Rone accused Khartoum of having built a nation on the basis of the predominance of one "master race," and compared this "rampant nationalism" with the fascist regimes defeated in World War II. Adam Mohamed Abdelmoula, a Sudanese lawyer working with a plethora of "human rights" fronts, suggested that the "NIF regime" were the modern-day counterpart to the Nazis, and "should be treated accordingly."

Not only was the Sudanese government slandered as having systematically violated the human rights of its citizens, but it was also accused of constituting a threat to its neighbors. Again, Ted Dagne led the charge, retailing wild, unfounded allegations of Sudanese sponsorship of the assassination attempt against Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak in 1995, and "Sudan's destabilization campaign . . . expanding to other parts of Africa." Thus, the conclusion followed, that the current Sudanese government represented a threat to U.S. strategic interests.

Ergo, it must be removed. The consensus among the U.S. Institute of Peace panelists, was expressed by Peter Nyot Kok, a Sudanese member of Cox's coterie, from the Max Planck Institute. Kok said that the "demise of the NIF regime" was near. All seemed in agreement, as well, that if the "demise,"