

British counter with Mideast nuclear Armageddon

by Linda de Hoyos

The British monarchy is now embarked on the orchestration of a nuclear showdown in the Middle East, American statesman Lyndon LaRouche warned on Nov. 12. "Under British direction, the present virtual dictator of Israel, Benjamin Netanyahu will launch a *nuclear Armageddon scenario* for the Middle East, which will resemble the 1962 Missile Crisis of the Soviet Union and the United States," LaRouche said in an interview with the "EIR Talks" radio program.

The purpose of this scenario, from the standpoint of its British authors, is not a "limited-goal action within the immediate theatre in which the primary action is situated." The goal is a nuclear crisis which will have a global effect, "a wildly, multiphase ricocheting effect," LaRouche wrote, in an intelligence memorandum issued the same day.

London's build-up for such a nuclear scenario is accelerated, stated LaRouche, by the combination of the successful summit at the end of October between U.S. President William Clinton and Chinese President Jiang Zemin, and the "hyperbolic state of the ongoing, global financial crisis."

The nuclear scenario is the British monarchy's attempt to knock out the potential of the United States, in partnership with China, to take the lead in forcing through the bankruptcy reorganization of the current collapsing monetary system and its replacement with a monetary system based on agreement among nation-states for the purposes of global economic recovery. The taking of such measures by Clinton, in alliance with partners centered in Asia, would spell the doom of London's financial-speculative reign of parasitism over the world's monetary and economic systems.

Hence, London is now pulling out all the stops and calling into action all its assets, in the United States and abroad, in

an effort to overwhelm the Clinton administration with crises, and nullify the potentials of the Clinton-Jiang Zemin summit.

From this strategic standpoint, it is clear, LaRouche stated, that the "*source* of the threat" in creating a nuclear Armageddon in the Middle East, "is thus not Britain's Israeli puppets, but the British monarchy itself." LaRouche further warned that any attempt to deal with the load of crises on a piecemeal basis, will only fuel the fire; the target of the counterattack must be the British monarchy, beginning with the official exposure and protest of the British control over and deployment of international terrorists for its nihilistic strategic purposes (see article, p. 40, documenting this control).

The center of London's scenario is the heavily pressured Netanyahu grouping in Israel, which is encouraged by the British "to see the need for a 'chicken game' style of preemptive, bloody, strategic insolence as the precondition for avoiding loss of power and tempo for continuing an 'Eretz Israel' policy in the Middle East."

The Netanyahu government has already taken major steps in this direction, in the context of building confrontations, manipulated by British assets, between the Clinton administration and Iraq, the Clinton administration and Sudan, Israel and southern Lebanon, and Israel and Iran.

The trigger for such a game of nuclear chicken, LaRouche stated, would be an act of bloody blind terrorism directed against Israel, just as blind terrorism in Jerusalem against Israelis in February 1996 secured an election victory for Netanyahu and his promise to rip up the 1993 Oslo Accords architected by his assassinated predecessor, Yitzhak Rabin.

In this case, the bloody shirt waved over a terrorist atrocity against Israel would galvanize into motion the two constituencies that would thus be primed to rush toward nuclear Armageddon: the crazed Netanyahu clique inside Israel, and, equally important, the British Israelite “evangelical” cult operation based in the British Commonwealth and the United States.

This latter grouping is represented at the geopolitical level of Kenneth Hugh de Courcy, editor of the British *Special Office Brief* newsletter; and in its “low church” form by Pat Robertson and the Promise Keepers in the U.S.A. The accompanying article on Robertson’s apocalyptic cult view toward Israel (p. 38) shows the readiness with which London can move into action a cult-constituency demanding backing for an Israeli blitzkrieg action against a target, with the threat behind the blitzkrieg, of the use of nuclear power.

Talk of preemptive use of nuclear weapons

Starting from the top echelons of the British Israelite cult, the *Intelligence Digest* of Kenneth De Courcy’s son, Joseph, which is written from Washington, is already raising the option of an Israeli first strike against a nearby target. According to the *Digest*, the question of whether Israel should launch preemptive attacks with its 300 warheads is now on the agenda, particularly over Iran’s alleged acquisition of a nuclear missile capability. Charging that Iran has received nuclear weapons from Kazakhstan, and that Syria already possesses chemical and biological warheads, the Netanyahu government believes that the point of no return is being reached, when an Israeli preemptive strike is possible.

A bellicose article in the Nov. 7 issue of the Israeli daily *Ma’ariv* echoed De Courcy’s thinking, raising the spectre of a nuclear free-for-all in the Middle East. *Ma’ariv* journalist Ben Caspit wrote: “Today it is clear: Sooner or later Iran will have missiles capable of reaching Israel, on which they will be able to load chemical, biological, or nuclear warheads. There is also no doubt as to the essence of the response: It must be made clear to the Iranians that the moment they decide to make use of this weapon—the return strike will be severe and fatal. And here lies the dispute: how to do so. Israel demands immediate action. The Americans are hesitant. Wanting to still give quiet diplomacy a chance. Iran is now awaiting the decision, but working vigorously.” The article ends with the ominous note: “In the meantime, Israel must build up its deterrent potential. Here are the words of a senior Israeli official on this subject: ‘Iran should know, and it will know, that on the day it fires all it has at us, even assuming that it all hits, Israel will have the ability to wipe Iran off the face of the earth, quickly, forcefully, and in the most non-elegant manner.’”

Israeli Defense Minister Mordechi issued a similar warning to the British defense magazine *Jane’s Weekly*. In conditioning the Israeli population for such an eventuality, the gov-

ernment has already begun distributing gas masks, and now, anti-poison-gas injections are being offered.

Targets: Iraq and Sudan

Along with Iran, Iraq is another possible target for Israeli blitzkrieg attack. It is noteworthy that U.S. Defense Secretary William Cohen was forced to cancel his scheduled trip to China to follow up on the Clinton-Jiang Zemin summit, in order to deal with the current escalating crisis with Iraq.

LaRouche has warned the Clinton administration that it would be a fatal mistake to focus attention on the crisis between the United Nations Security Council and Iraq, over the issue of the presence of Americans on a UN inspection team. London instigated the crisis, when it pushed for UN Security Council Resolution 1134, demanding further sanctions against Iraq for alleged non-compliance with inspection procedures. While the Clinton administration has issued guarded statements, especially on the question of the use of a military option, on how it will respond to the crisis, the British media have spilled gallons of ink to paint Iraq as the “enemy image” threat to the whole world, comparable to the massive war propaganda during George Bush’s and Margaret Thatcher’s 1991 Gulf War. British Foreign Secretary Robin Cook has already reviewed drafts of potential British military options, and the Blair government has officially issued an unprompted, unilateral statement of its readiness to join the United States in military strikes against Iraq.

Sudan is also another possible target for an Israeli blitzkrieg, which would achieve London’s goals in Africa, stated LaRouche. The imposition of sanctions against Sudan by the Clinton administration—in a preemptive move against the British-intelligence-laced Congress—and the subsequent breakdown of talks in Nairobi between Sudan and the British-backed Sudanese People’s Liberation Army, have opened the gates for more war in the region. Israel already has three bases in the British puppet-state of Eritrea, a capability aimed implicitly at Sudan.

Under conditions of terror attack against Israel, Israeli moves into Iran, Iraq, Sudan, or southern Lebanon, or some combination thereof, can be expected, with the nuclear umbrella protection behind.

Who controls the button

But the fashioning of a “global event” that upsets the gameboard of the current strategic configuration, in which the United States is breaking from British control at the point of decision on the world monetary system, requires the use or threatened use of nuclear weapons, in the way that the shock of the A-bomb attack on Hiroshima permitted London to architect the parameters of the postwar period and ultimately usher in the debacle of the post-industrial society.

At this juncture, it is Israel’s capability that is crucial,

and the willingness of the Netanyahu government to use it. Thus, it was not surprising to observers to see that nuclear confrontation was the theme struck by Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu before the British House of Commons on Nov. 14: "If the supply of Russian technology [to Iran] is not stopped, then within a year, Iran would become self-sufficient and would be able to create those missiles on its own," he said, according to the *International Herald Tribune*.

Netanyahu has received red-carpet treatment in London, in contrast to the snubbing he is predicted to receive from President Clinton when he arrives in the United States the week of Nov. 17. In London, Netanyahu met with British Prime Minister Tony Blair, Foreign Secretary Robin Cook, Chancellor Gordon Brown, and the leaders of the Conservative and Liberal Democratic Parties. Netanyahu is seeking support for his proposal to accelerate peace talks with the Palestinians, a proposal which has not received the support of the Clinton administration.

Netanyahu will also undoubtedly seek British support for his wresting of control of the chain of command that will give him and his faction control over the Israeli nuclear arsenal, the world's fifth largest. As noted by Max Ghilan, editor of *Israeli and Palestine Report*, in order for Netanyahu to have his fingers on the nuclear button, he must have the agreement of the defense minister, the Chief of Army Staff, the heads of the intelligence services, and the President of Israel. Over the next months, all these positions are up for re-appointment.

Under conditions of a generalized escalating crisis in the Middle East, and rising desperation among the Eretz Israeli zealots, Netanyahu can be expected to attempt to stampede into these positions members of his own war clique.

Attempts by the United States to resist this will only result, according to *Intelligence Digest*, in the mobilization of the mass base of the 60 million Christian fundamentalists inside the United States, who would be rallied to Netanyahu's defense in the face of increased threats to Israel.

Thus, LaRouche has warned, "the greater the pressure, from the U.S. and elsewhere, to dump the Netanyahu threat, the more it will actually increase, not neutralize the immediacy of the threat. Ordinary 'crisis management' tactics will assuredly backfire." This is all the more the case, since a rupture between Israel and the United States is also nearly a precondition for the use of the Israeli nuclear capability.

The break between the Clinton administration—architects of the Oslo Accords—and the Eretz Israel fascist Netanyahu, has thus already been prediscouted by the British monarchy in its nuclear Armageddon scenario. This prediscouting of the effect of Clinton's pressures on Netanyahu underlines the point, that unless the Clinton administration counterattacks this scenario directly against the *primary target—the British monarchy itself*—its "crisis management" techniques of putting out fires one by one will only serve to fuel the flames engulfing it.

Pat Robertson: End-Times cultist, British agent

by Anton Chaitkin and Nancy Spannaus

In his Oct. 27, 1997, "700 Club" television broadcast, Pat Robertson put himself at the service of the London-led drive for a nightmare war scenario in the Middle East. Robertson erupted in a wild attack on the Islamic religion, warning of a Muslim-caused "Holocaust":

"You and I have never been called upon to have this kind of persecution," Robertson said. "We haven't suffered and been hung up by our hands and had our kidneys punched and beaten. . . . We must join as a united front against this genocide that's taking place in the Middle East. To see Americans become followers of, quote, Islam, is nothing short of insanity. . . ."

"I've been in Africa many, many, many, many times, and you see people over here learning Swahili, for example. Swahili was the language of the slave traders. The Islamic people, the Arabs, were the ones who captured Africans, put them in slavery, and sent them to America as slaves. Why would people in America want to embrace the religion of the slavers, and the language of the slavers . . . ?"

"You say, 'What's going on in America, when we welcome into our society and give rights to people who are persecuting Christians around the world?' It's time we stood up against this and said, 'No more!' We must demand the State Department do something in relation to the Sudan, in relation to the Palestinian Authority, in relation to Iran, in relation to Saudi Arabia and these other countries that are persecuting Christians."

"We can't let it happen. And if we don't let our voices be heard, it's going to happen. . . . Speak out wherever we are—we can't be silent, look what happened in the Holocaust. A whole race was close to extinction, because we were silent."

An evil fraud

Robertson's sincere diatribe is not only untrue—as far as what is going on in the Middle East and Africa today—but it is a product of British Israelite cultist thinking. As *EIR* reported in its last issue, British intelligence has spent nearly three centuries creating a cult of Biblical prophecy around the question of the Jews and the Middle