

Editorial

Either/or

Either we do something to change the course of events, or we're in World War III. It was this conviction that brought *EIR* founding editor Lyndon LaRouche to commission the emergency production of an *EIR* Video Special Report, featuring himself as narrator and as host to various specialists, to begin:

"What could possibly turn out to be nuclear World War III, has erupted in Central Asia. [Map with hot-spots marked as named by host.] The hot-spots include the North Caucasus region of the former Soviet Union [cut to action from recent fighting in Dagestan], extend to the Kashmir border between nuclear powers Pakistan and India [cut to shot of action in that area], and include the entire region of Central Asia [cut to political map of states of Transcaucasus/Central Asia] bordering China, India, Pakistan, Iran, and Russia.

"During the weeks since the end of the NATO bombing of Yugoslavia, the spread of military actions in this Central Asia theater has come near to the threshold level at which nuclear arsenals might begin to fly. This threshold was touched by a series of terrorist bombings in Moscow itself [film clips]. . . ."

You must first understand, that this massive blind terrorism against Russia is *not* a plot by the Yeltsin circles. This is a British/NATO operation, which has been under way since spring of this year, or earlier. The primary goal is the "Russian Pinochet" option, sweeping aside both the Yeltsin crowd and the patriotic opposition (e.g., former Prime Minister Primakov), to bring a "strong man" to power. The links are British/Bush (the International Republican Institute).

We have referred to this option earlier. The British operation which duped the U.S.A. government into the war against Yugoslavia, was intended, no later than last spring, to be the preliminary step to "new NATO" warfare against both Middle East and Transcaucasus/Central Asia targets. The British "Wahhabite" sect, with its Saudi, Pakistan-military, and Bush League backing, is behind the present phase of the operations in Transcaucasus and Central Asia. The U.S. Congressional campaign against China, with AFL-CIO and

other backing, is complementary to the perspective of the "Pinochet Option" for Russia.

All of this presently converges on the smell of something like what LaRouche recognized on Jan. 1, 1974, when he warned his associates that a military coup was in progress in the U.K. (witness the militarization of Heathrow Airport at that time). There is a strong smell of a real upheaval in the U.K., coinciding with the current activation of the long-prepared "Pinochet Option" for Russia. The U.S. government and its establishment are turning out to be a bunch of silly children: The strength of their convictions on strategic matters is in proportion to their ignorance (sometimes willful) of the matters on which they express their firm opinions. Among other things, there has to be a dirty operation against Clinton ongoing within the National Security Council, as well as other places.

EIR has spoken with European military officers who are extremely upset about the Russia crisis, saying that ten years have been lost, and that no one in Germany or France knows what to do. They say that idiots are in power. This is true, and it is very dangerous. But we at *EIR* do know what to do. You must understand the task, and help us accomplish it.

We must change the attitude and the understanding in the United States and its Presidency, in Russia, and in China. These are three focal points which we can influence, and must influence.

We're fighting a war. We must know what we're doing, fight it through, and do it right: Communicate a concept to people which shows how they have let the British bring the world to this point, what the solution is, and how they themselves must *change* fundamentally. Then, and only then, can we climb back out of disaster.

The interview with Martin Palmer, Prince Philip's "spiritual adviser," reported in this issue, underscores the fact that it is the *British* who are behind the worldwide wave of attacks on the existence of nations. Thus far, only LaRouche and *EIR* have had the honesty and courage to say that.