

panzees can learn. Mother chimpanzees can teach the children chimpanzees tricks that the mother has learned. *But, no animal, can convey a universal physical principle or artistic principle.* No animal can do that.

It is that quality, in the individual human being, which, from the standpoint of science, sets man absolutely apart from, and above, all other species. And defines man as even Genesis prescribes, as given the power to exert dominion over *all other things in this universe.*

Now, if a child has an appreciation of *that*, through the experience of cognitive experiences, by teachers who have insight, and do that—like taking children out to look at the stars, and actually try to understand the principles of laws of nature, by looking at the stars, and seeing how they change. If we do that, then the child has not only knowledge—in the sense of knowledge of physical principle—but, the child has direct knowledge of that quality in the child himself or herself, which sets him apart from all animals. And thus, defines the relations among human beings, as relations defined in terms of that quality which sets the human individual apart from the beast.

That simple core, of principle, in a general educational policy, is what I envisage as the basis for rebuilding society morally and intellectually.

**Trujillo Meza:** Mr. LaRouche, good evening. As dean of the College of Public Accountants, I want to greet you on behalf of my board of directors, on my own behalf, and on behalf of the president of the Finance Committee and its members, and on behalf of those attending this event, and to tell you that your presentation was truly extraordinary, and is consistent with the position you frequently expound in your magazine and in your newspaper.

I have always thought, when reading those documents, that you were a radical, politically speaking—perhaps we could say a revolutionary—within the Democratic Party on the economic and financial world level. And President Clinton, who is a Democrat, should hear you and improve the policy of the United States, above all for Latin America and for the rest of the world.

On behalf of the board of directors, on my own behalf, and on behalf of the Finance Committee, and those attending this event, we want to congratulate you for your participation and for your excellent speech. Your position is very interesting and favors the developing countries. We hope that you become President of the United States, and are able to make your ideas a reality, that we could benefit from that opportunity.

The event has really been a success, and I especially want to thank the participants whose attendance has underscored the importance and relevance that such a conference merits.

I thank you very much, Mr. LaRouche, and want to say good-bye with this affectionate applause, to which I invite all those attendees as well as the panelists to participate.

## Peru is under fire from the globalists

by Luis Vásquez Medina

With a scant month to go before the Peruvian Presidential elections on April 9, and with President Alberto Fujimori certain, according to all the polls, to be the winner of the first round, a furious international campaign has been unleashed against him, headed by a cluster of international organizations which claim to be defenders of human rights and democracy. Behind this campaign is the intention of the international financial oligarchy to destabilize and, if possible, overthrow the Fujimori government, and to install in Peru a regime more servile to its plans for the region: to establish a chain of narco-nations through the South American Andes, including Venezuela, Colombia, Ecuador, and Peru.

This oligarchy has never forgiven the Fujimori government for:

1. In April 1992, he temporarily closed the Congress and Supreme Court, in order to conduct a successful war against narco-terrorism.

2. In September 1992, he captured the top leader of Shining Path, Abimael Guzmán, who received a life sentence.

3. In April 1997, he recaptured the official residence of the Japanese Ambassador to Peru from the hands of the Tupac Amaru (MRTA) narco-terrorists, without caving in to their demands.

4. By the end of the 1990s, Fujimori had reduced coca cultivation in Peru by more than half.

In early February of this year, the Carter Center and the National Democratic Institute for International Affairs (NDI) of the United States each sent commissions of “observers” to Peru, which included two former Ibero-American Presidents. In the joint report issued at the conclusion of their “observing,” they declared that “there do not exist the necessary political conditions for free and just elections,” and that the Peruvian electoral process “does not comply with the international standards necessary to be qualified as democratic.”

On Feb. 11, the two delegations held a joint press conference, presided over by former Costa Rican President Rodrigo Carazo. Also at the podium was Guillermo Márquez, former president of the Panamanian Electoral Court; Gerardo Le Chevallier, NDI director for Latin America and the Caribbean; and Shelley McConnell, associate director for the Carter Center on Latin America and the Caribbean. Their report was immediately embraced by U.S. State Department spokesman James Rubin, who said: “The United States government welcomes, and essentially agrees, with the recommendations is-

sued today by the NDI and the Carter Center regarding pre-election conditions in Peru.”

### **Ammunition for the opposition**

The NDI and the Carter Center have opened a permanent office in Lima to monitor the electoral process, and in one month, will issue a second report which is expected to be definitive. If it goes against the Peruvian government, the report may be used by the political opposition in Peru as justification for withdrawing as a bloc from the election, thereby muddying the government’s likely electoral victory.

Both the NDI—which is part of the Project Democracy apparatus of that ally of the narcos, Oliver North—and the Carter Center, specialize in using issues of “human rights” and “democracy” to destabilize governments which the international oligarchy opposes.

An even more strident attack was launched against the Peruvian President by the little-known International Federation for Human Rights (IFHR), which also sent a commission to observe the Peruvian electoral process. On Feb. 15, it issued a statement questioning Peru’s ability to conduct a “free electoral process,” because “there doesn’t appear to be a free and democratic competition . . . but rather a procedure which seeks to justify, or disguise, what in reality is the perpetuation of an authoritarian regime with a strong military presence.”

The IFHR is, essentially, an appendage of the nefarious non-governmental organization of British intelligence, Amnesty International. Its delegation to Peru included Nicaraguan Bianca Jagger, former wife of Satanic rocker Mick Jagger; MariClaire Acosta, a defender of terrorists, a member of the Inter-American Dialogue, and Amnesty’s representative in Mexico; and Rolando Asnrup of the University of Stockholm. According to Jagger, the Peruvian National Election Board has neither the independence nor impartiality to oversee the electoral process, and she described the government’s actions as a “permanent coup d’état.”

The IFHR called on the international community to stand vigil over “the transparency of the Peruvian elections,” and to lend support to the National Coordinator of Human Rights, the Civil Association Transparency, and the Democratic Forum, so that they can oversee “the cleanliness of the Peruvian electoral process.” All these Peruvian institutions have not only exhibited blatant partiality to the political opposition, but have systematically defended the terrorists in Peru.

The same week that the IFHR issued its accusations against the Peruvian electoral process, a book entitled *In the Kingdom of Terror* was released in Spain. Its author, Alvaro Vargas Llosa, is the son of the pornographic Spanish novelist Mario Vargas Llosa, a candidate for the Peruvian Presidency in 1990. The book was presented to the public by Spain’s “anti-corruption” prosecutor Carlos Castresana, who called for applying the “Pinochet treatment” to Fujimori, and for putting him in the dock before he gets “too old.” The ceremony was also attended by Baltazar Garzón, the magistrate

linked to Transparency International who ordered Pinochet arrested in London in October 1998, so he could be extradited to Madrid and tried on human rights charges. Castresana said, without batting an eyelash, that “Peru has six times more prisoners of conscience than Cuba.” This is a rather unique way of describing the Shining Path and MRTA narco-terrorists, currently serving their jail terms in Peru for having waged a dirty war that killed more than 25,000 Peruvians.

### **‘Insolent’ and ‘ill-intentioned’**

However, the effects inside Peru of these fictional fantasies, as with the slanderous reports of the foreign “observer” commissions, have been diametrically opposed to what the authors intended. Peruvian public opinion has seen for itself the character of a very powerful international slander campaign opposing President Fujimori’s reelection.

Former Congress president Carlos Torres y Torres Lara protested what he described as “an insolent and ill-intentioned interference” in the internal affairs of the country. “Just what kind of international norms regarding elections are they talking about?” he asked. He added that it would be appropriate to investigate whether the U.S. electoral process is fulfilling the international “norms” demanded by the commissions looking at Peru.

On Feb. 17, Lima cable television Channel N broadcast the comments of respected political analyst and journalist Patricio Ricketts, who denounced the base hypocrisy of the so-called “observers” who criticize the Peruvian electoral process while remaining silent about the illegal abuses committed against the political rights of Lyndon LaRouche, candidate for the Democratic U.S. Presidential nomination. Holding *EIR* up in front of the television cameras, Ricketts said that LaRouche has been illegally prevented from appearing on the ballot in various states in the United States, in addition to having been systematically denied access to the traditional televised electoral debates. He said that all sorts of maneuvers have been employed to black out his campaign, despite the fact that LaRouche has cultivated a large enough base of support to qualify for Federal campaign matching funds.

Ricketts said that the purpose of this ignoble international campaign, slandering Peru while burying all mention of the LaRouche case, is to “kick over the chessboard” and frustrate the Peruvian electoral process. Opposition candidate Castañeda Losio has already announced his intent to withdraw from the elections, and more recently, Lima Mayor and opposition front-runner Alberto Andrade has made similar noises. Faced with imminent defeat at the polls, the opposition bloc is only awaiting the release of the second reports of the Carter Center and of the IFHR, to walk out of the electoral process, thereby supposedly baring the dictatorial and anti-democratic character of the Fujimori administration.

This is the scenario for destabilizing the only country on the continent which has successfully confronted the narco-terrorists. Coincidence?