

World leaders back LaRouche for President

Middle East

Jordan—Laith Shubeilat *endorsed Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr. for President, in a letter from Amman, dated March 21. Mr. Shubeilat is a leading opposition figure in Jordan, and was an Islamist Member of Parliament from 1983 to 1994. An engineer by training, he was head of the prestigious Jordanian Engineers Association. His opposition to the International Monetary Fund (IMF), in particular, resulted more than once in his becoming a political prisoner. The second time he was pardoned by the late King Hussein:*

It is with great honor that I endorse the nomination of one of the few leaders in the world worthy of being named “statesman” to the Presidency of the materially most powerful nation in the world. A nation that has been led away from moral and spiritual greatness to a degree that is threatening world peace today, instead of the opposite. As a humanist philosopher, economist, scientist, mathematician, and a great appreciator of art and music, he dwarfs the many little politicians of today who may be literate as far as reading and writing are concerned, but are culturally illiterate, leading the world to an apocalypse. I have not come across any other statesman so learned about the evils of the prevailing cultural paradigms affecting local and international politics, serving the usurers who re-occupied the Temple that Saiduna Isa (Jesus, may peace be upon Him) angrily kicked them out of; and at the same time no other statesman than Mr. LaRouche is more learned about the correct paradigm that should prevail over such policies today in order that the world may be saved.

One may disagree on certain aspects of detail with Mr. LaRouche, but no humanist can disagree with the nobility of his purpose. Although Mr. LaRouche’s movement can not be classified as religious, yet I see no movement of more service to the revival of a great Christian tradition than his. All his socio-economic policies are based on the true foundations of Christianity as well as Islam, namely, that Man is the center of the universe and not Nature; that he is not just another animal living in a social Darwinism, but rather the living image of the Lord (His viceroy); that all humans are the children of the One and Only Lord, and not a special race or creed or faith; that human beings and nations should conduct business on a parity basis, not on a master and slave basis; and that the United Nations (especially the Security Council) and other international organizations such as the IMF, the World Bank, the WTO [World Trade Organization], et al., are today the organs of imperialism imposed through globalization.

As a Muslim, I feel very close to this great Christian states-

man, and I relate with favor to the pillars of his policies. We are all for the globalization of humanity, kindness, and “love thy neighbor”; we are in solidarity against the ongoing so-called globalization, that of the usurers and their neo-slavery system. Some of his rivals say he is mad; I say, that he who does not listen to him and to his scholarly authority on macro- as well as micro-economics serving mankind today, is the one who is mad.

Lyn! I wish you all the best. It is a pleasure to live in a world that has people like you.

Europe

Italy—Benito Li Vigni *endorsed LaRouche in a letter from Palermo, dated March 16. Mr. Li Vigni is an industrial executive, journalist, and contributor to newspapers and magazines. He is the author of numerous books on politics and history, among them, a book on the late Enrico Mattei, a champion of nation-building policies in postwar Italy, until his murder in 1962:*

As an industrial executive and an historian, dealing especially with questions pertaining to petroleum, I am witnessing with sadness and disdain, the final dismantling of that state-owned industrial and technological group, which was largely responsible for the economic reconstruction of postwar Italy. Right now, the liberal oligarchy of our country, and that on the international level, is attempting to liberalize the production and distribution of natural gas, which had been the prerogative of ENI up to the present.

This is not being done for the benefit of consumers, nor to lower prices, as the propaganda would have us believe, but as a further step in the speculative dismantling of our industrial potential. In this time of speculative insanity, we are arriving at the final phase of a project to subvert the history and the industrial, scientific tradition of our country, therefore, our very sovereignty, as was discussed in secrecy on board the *Britannia* yacht in June 1992.

In my 1996 book (*La Grande Sfida: Mattei, il Petrolio e la Politica*) [*The Great Challenge: Mattei, Petroleum and Politics*, see review in *EIR*, May 9, 1997] on Enrico Mattei, the founder of ENI and a key historical figure in postwar Italy, I had traced a documented comparison between the efforts of Mattei at the beginning of the 1960s and those of Lyndon LaRouche, before and after the fall of the Berlin Wall. After the Gaullist solution of the Algerian problem, in 1962, Mattei viewed the integrated development of infrastructure in Europe, based on a network of pipelines, from Algeria to Sicily and the whole of Italy, from the Russian network to all of western Europe, as the premise for a new industrial and political era throughout Europe, “from the Atlantic to the Urals,” to use the old Gaullist expression. What united Italy, France, and Germany then, above all, was the firm commitment to respect national sovereignty and cooperation among sovereign fatherlands, as well as the profound conviction that Europe had the moral obligation to develop the Southern Hemisphere.

The analyses and proposals of the 1980s of the economist LaRouche, against the liberal ideology and against the policy of the International Monetary Fund, picked up and developed further this Matteian and Gaullist tradition. LaRouche was the first to identify the historic significance of the collapse of the communist regime in the Soviet Union, stating that the whole Yalta system was coming to an end. In response, he proposed a program for the Berlin-Paris-Vienna Productive Triangle, as the central nucleus of a more vast infrastructure program for all of Europe, from east to west, extending to the entirety of the Eurasian continent, with his idea of the “New Silk Road.”

I have just come to learn, with amazement and disdain, that the Wall Street oligarchy, with its control over the American media and over its bureaucrats in the Democratic Party, is using methods unworthy of a great democratic nation, to sabotage the electoral efforts of Lyndon LaRouche’s movement. The United States, like the rest of the world, needs to put an end to the *fin de siècle* follies, with savage speculation and fantasies of a “new economy,” and in this context, the program for a “New Bretton Woods” as proposed by LaRouche, is the only solution to face the current crisis, returning to the basic points of the historical experience of the immediate postwar period in the U.S., as in Italy, in Germany, and France, as in Japan, which proved to be a historical success in the long run.

My best regards to Mr. and Mrs. LaRouche.

Germany — Peter Rebsch:

I was the President of the Parliament of West Berlin from 1981 to 1989, a deputy in the Parliament for 16 years (1979-95), and served for some years as speaker of the Justice Committee of that Parliament.

Our experience over the years in West Berlin had shown, that our freedom as a city encircled by East Germany depended entirely on the commitment of the U.S. government and citizenship to stay at our side as the guarantor of freedom all the difficult years until 1989. Our fate was directly connected to the fate of the U.S.A.—and this is still the case. I was very moved by the speech of President Clinton during his visit here in Berlin in 1994, where he spoke out in favor of Germany’s role to rebuild post-communist Eastern Europe and Russia. Unfortunately, the policies of globalization and IMF shock therapy created poverty instead of wealth, and with it, new strategic tensions and threats in East-West relations.

For that reason, I strongly hope for a change in American foreign policy—in the direction of Franklin D. Roosevelt and John F. Kennedy. Both of them supported actively the right of all nations on this planet for economic development and political sovereignty. Such a policy we need today more urgently than ever before.

Looking toward the U.S. Presidential elections in the year 2000, I am really concerned, which way the U.S.A. will go under conditions of a global financial and strategic crisis. From the experience of the last half-century—especially from

the perspective of Berlin—there is no question that we need urgently American leadership in the world in the tradition of Roosevelt and Kennedy. The only person visible right now to fulfill this standard, is Lyndon LaRouche. I have been familiar with his ideas since 1984, and everything he forecasted in respect to the financial crises has been proven right. And as a Berliner, I must say, his October 1988 address in Berlin, where he was the first international statesman to forecast the reunification of Germany for the near future, impressed me a lot.

So, I support his candidacy and wish him success in his important and noble efforts to change the course of events in the U.S.A. and the world.

Spain — Kuan Chu *is the Chairman of the Chinese Christian Democratic Union. Years ago, the entire leadership of the Christian Democratic Union signed the call for the exoneration of Lyndon LaRouche.*

To the honorable Mr. Lyndon H. LaRouche:

As the chairman of the central committee of the Chinese Christian Democratic Union, permit me to support your candidacy for the office of the U.S. Presidency next fall.

Having followed your writings in various articles for many years, I have discovered that your new way of thinking is a unique and very exceptional occurrence in our present world. As a great statesman, you have put forward grandiose plans for all parts of the world: the plan for developing the infrastructure of Africa, the Oasis Plan for the Middle East, the 40-year plan for the development of India, the 50-year blueprint for the development of the Pacific and Asia, the reconstruction plan for Eastern Europe, the Paris-Berlin-Vienna “Great Triangle” of production plan, the establishment of a New Bretton Woods System, and the great cause of the “Eurasian Land-Bridge,” etc., all long overdue throughout this world.

In the present world, America is the one and only superpower . . . ; America either can use this mighty predominance within limits, or impede progress. . . . This is why each country today pays special attention to the U.S. Presidential elections next fall. Now, especially in this new 21st century, the United States shoulders the responsibility for world peace, the equality of all nations, equity in government, and leadership in politics and economy toward building a new order—all life-and-death concerns of the greatest importance for mankind throughout the world. Therefore, all those in the world who love peace, all enlightened people, wish the next inhabitant of the White House to be a wise statesman and a philosopher. Mr. Lyndon H. LaRouche is the best present choice for election next fall to America’s Presidency.

On behalf of the welfare and benefit of the Chinese and American people, and for the entirety of mankind, I will work strongly to support you—Mr. Lyndon H. LaRouche—to achieve the Presidency next fall. I believe that Mr. Lyndon LaRouche will become the giant of this era and, as the transformer of the current world system, will become the preeminent leader of all people of this world who love peace.