

Editorial

Democratic Traitors Surface Again

The *Wall Street Journal*, leading attack dog against the recent Democratic Administration in Washington, doesn't often offer its prime editorial page space to a Democrat, but on Feb. 15, the mouthpiece for Wall Street felt it had reason to do so. Its leading opinion column was given to an article by one Paul Goldman, a former chairman of the Virginia Democratic Party and former member of the Democratic National Committee, with the prominent headline, "Democrats Must Censure Clinton." What gives?

The promotion of Goldman's vitriol against former President Clinton, serves one of the primary purposes of the bipartisan agreement in the Establishment to wipe out everything and everybody in the Democratic Party, which could interfere with their policy of "triangulation," the broad area of agreement between George W. Bush and Al Gore.

Goldman, as usual, is shameless. He begins by saying, honestly, that back in 1998, he had promoted a movement to censure President Clinton. This movement was smashed in the leadership councils of the Virginia Democratic Party, where he first surfaced it through his minions, and was ultimately destroyed nationally, through the rallying of Democrats by the Americans to Save the Presidency, promoted by the LaRouche movement.

But then Goldman begins to spin his lies. He claims that it was because of the Democrats' failure to distance themselves from President Clinton's moral lapses, that Al Gore did not win the White House in November 2000. It's not too late, Goldman argues. He proposes that the Democratic Party take the occasion of the scandal surfacing around Clinton's pardoning of sleazy fugitive Marc Rich, to lead a movement to censure President Clinton now, allegedly "clearing the way" for a Democratic Party revival based on "moral principles."

How do we know Goldman is evilly lying? First, as any sane person knows, Al Gore didn't lose because of popular disdain for President Clinton—just the contrary. Al Gore lost the Presidency, just as LaRouche said he would, because of his own venal pursuit of anti-Democratic constituency policies, including violation of the Voting Rights Act. Gore was his own worst en-

emy. Democrats didn't come out to vote for him.

Second, Paul Goldman himself represents the very contrary of the principles of the Democratic Party of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, John F. Kennedy, and Martin Luther King, in which he wraps himself in this column. Goldman is the quintessential Bush Democrat, as he showed himself to be back in 1990, when, as head of the Virginia Party, he made a deal to prevent Democratic opposition to Republican John Warner's run for U.S. Senate. Goldman's support for Gore over Clinton, represents that same policy commitment.

Goldman an advocate for the policies of Martin Luther King against those of Clinton? Garbage. This pusillanimous twerp heaped disdain on civil rights leaders who stepped forward in 1990, to support the candidacy of a LaRouche Democrat against Republican John Warner. To this day, he runs a slander campaign against leading members of the Virginia Legislative Black Caucus, who have attacked the judicial corruption in the witch-hunt against Lyndon LaRouche.

Sources report that if anyone wants to ask about the Marc Rich pardon, the person to question is Al Gore. The attorney for Rich, who coordinated the lobbying effort for his pardon, was Jack Quinn, Gore's former chief of staff. He was inserted into the Clinton White House by Gore and has always operated with loyalties to Gore.

What Goldman is doing, is what destroyed the Democratic Party in Virginia, leaving it with no officials in state government, and no Democratic Senator. He is attacking President Clinton for representing an allegiance to civil rights, and a lingering commitment to the policy of the general welfare—and implicitly demanding that the discredited Gore wing of the party take over. Goldman wants any resistance to Gore's anti-Democratic policies to be swept away, emphatically including that of the only visible leadership now in the Democratic Party, the leadership of LaRouche.

Bush Democrats, and Wall Street Republicans, are determined to reshape the Democratic Party as a tool for their own objectives, and *prevent* its reshaping under an FDR-style policy. Paul Goldman should be repudiated again, as he was in 1998, and this time, for good.