

Editorial

AIDS Policy Adds Up to Genocide

How many times does the world community have to be told, and have proof presented, that the current policy toward the AIDS pandemic is leading to a holocaust that will cause global depopulation? When will statesmen finally emerge who will come forward behind the policies of Lyndon LaRouche, the only politician who foresaw the emergence of this disease as a result of International Monetary Fund austerity conditionalities, and committed himself to solving it?

How many more people have to die?

In mid-June, the National Intelligence Council, a division of the Central Intelligence Agency, once again raised the alarm about the parameters of the AIDS pandemic. According to partial reports, the study estimates that, if trends continue, the scope of the crisis which has been predominating in Southern Africa, has spread northward now, into Nigeria and Ethiopia. In addition, the NIC expects the overall infection rate in Africa to double over the next five years, and pointed to dangerous rates of increase in India and China.

But this is not news! It was only two years ago that the same NIC issued NIE99-17D, a report entitled "The Global Infectious Disease Threat and Its Implications for the U.S." That report defined the scope of the pandemic as a threat to all mankind, and even to the security of the United States. A few months later, an AIDS conference in Durban, South Africa put more detail on the picture, highlighting the fact that the AIDS infection rate was also leading to *depopulation* in sub-Saharan African nations. Botswana's President Festus Mogae bluntly reported that his nation, with a more than 35% rate of AIDS infection, was faced with physical extinction by the spread of the pandemic.

There was a flurry of speeches around the world, and some minimal actions by the Clinton Administration and others around providing anti-AIDS drugs to Africa, and building up a United Nations-run fund to provide some relief. Two years later, virtually nothing has been done, and the situation is worse, much worse.

The key lies in the explicitly genocidal policy which stands behind the International Monetary Fund and the leading financial players internationally. That policy was most brutally expressed in a document called National Security Study Memorandum 200. Authored under the personal direction of National Security Adviser Henry Kissinger in 1974, this document addressed the "Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests." Its recommendations were officially adopted, as covert U.S. policy on population matters, in November 1975, and it was declassified on June 6, 1990. (It is on file at the U.S. National Archives in Washington.)

In this infamous report, which *EIR* publicized in a widely circulated Special Report in 1992, the maniacal Kissinger, a product of Nashville Agrarian William Yandell Elliott's evil career at Harvard, identifies 13 nations as the leading "targets" for U.S. population-reduction policy, on the grounds of national security. Not surprisingly, these nations include many of the countries which are now most wracked by AIDS—Nigeria, Ethiopia, and India being leading examples.

What was NSSM 200's argument? Directly contrary to reason, and the founding principles of the United States, the authors claim that population growth in certain nations will hinder access to their raw materials by the United States, which has strategic need for them. Thus, those populations must be checked.

The implications are staggering, but true. Denying African countries (and others) access to anti-AIDS drugs, increasing their debt burdens and death rates, and permitting "natural disasters" to devastate them, are literally the implementation of a genocide policy, established at the top of the Anglo-American financial oligarchy, and mercilessly put into effect.

That explains why the bankers, and leading Western politicians, won't do anything about AIDS, even as it threatens human existence. But, what about you?