## **EXESTRATEGIC Studies**

## LaRouche Comments on Putin: The Issue Is World War III

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The following statement was uttered by LaRouche at a Labor Day conference on Sept. 6, and issued as a press release on Sept. 7 by LaRouche PAC.

On President Putin's statement, as reported in leading press in the United States, available today, such as the *New York Times*. The leading feature, the crucial feature of President Putin's statement, is featured internationally. This is the statement on Russia's reaction, to the attack in North Ossetia, by forces which are deployed from within the Caucasus, and with the tacit support and sympathy of not only certain governments which are closely tied to the U.S. government in the Caucasus at present, but with actually very obvious participation of covert elements, operating behind the scenes in these regions.

Now President Putin's statement, which is in the press, and which you can get copies of otherwise, is appropriate and ominous, in its characterization, that:

Russia has recognized it is under attack by terrorist methods, from sources outside Russia, which have a strategic interest in reducing Russia to impotence from its current status as a power. As some of this is reflected, in some of the European press, is, the argument is: Russia must pull its forces out of the Caucasus. That's the object.

We know there are people in the United States, including people who lap into the Democratic Party itself, through certain channels, who are behind this operation. This is an attack, a geopolitical attack, on a nuclear power, Russia; and, Putin, in plain language, without going further than need be said, is saying exactly that.

The commentaries which we have received on the Putin speech, in the meantime, as reported from Wiesbaden, for example, in interviews with key people in Europe, is a percep-

tion that the people doing this to Russia, are idiots. That, Russia has a history—not just Soviet Russia, but Russia, has a history which includes the history of Soviet Russia. This goes back to the Czars; it goes back to the 18th Century in particular, since Czar Peter, the Great; it goes through Alexander I, who, under Prussian influence, devised an effective strategy for destroying the invading army of Napoleon Bonaparte.

After that, in World War II, it goes to the response of Russia, strategy, which was an imitation, in a sense, of the policy of Alexander I under Prussian advice in the case of the war with Napoleon. Russian cities were to hold out. Stalingrad held out. Meanwhile, the Soviet forces were planning a strike from Asia, led by Zhukov, which hit the flank of the Nazi forces at Stalingrad, and then went on to the battle at Kursk, and a hard, rough, brutal battle, with great relative sacrifice of life and materiel, which ended up, in Berlin, and elsewhere.

This is characteristic Russian reaction. When an existential threat, to the existence of Russia is perceived, Russians, in whatever circumstance, *will unite*, in the great majority, and with great anger, and great force, against the known attacker.

The implication of the speech by Putin, is pointing directly the finger at President Bush and Cheney, and people around them. Putin is going to be cautious in that respect; but he is going to get the message across, in words which people should not misunderstand. If we do not get rid of the Bush-Cheney

<sup>1.</sup> In the wake of Putin's statement, various news agencies have directly charged the West. For example, the Russian news agency KMNews.ru on Sept. 7 carried an unsigned commentary, laying the blame for the Beslan events at the doorstep of U.S. and British agencies. "Alas, it must be recognized that the co-authors of the current tragic events are to be found not in the Arab countries of the Middle East, but on the banks of the Thames and the Potomac." The popular Russian business news service RosBusinessConsult,

Administration, now, we are headed for a form of World War III, beyond the imagination of most.

In 1999 I produced a recorded tape, a videotape, called "Storm Over Asia." If you look at the events which have occurred, since the early Autumn of 1999, when that tape was produced, and trace the course of events up to this moment, the moment of the Putin speech, reported this weekend, then, you understand the nature of the present strategic situation. And you understand, that if we don't get rid of the Bush-Cheney Administration, this planet, as a whole, will go into, very rapidly, a succession of events which will culminate in the establishment of a planet-wide new dark age of all humanity.

This is not a debater's question. This is the question of the survival of humanity. And that is the question posed, in this U.S. Presidential election campaign. If Bush wins, kiss humanity good-bye, for some time to come.

## Putin Addresses Russian Nation on the Crisis

Russian President Vladimir Putin flew into Beslan, North Ossetia in the early hours of Sept. 4. He held a meeting with security officials involved in the school hostage siege, then spent half an hour at one of the local hospitals, visiting wounded children and School No. 1 Principal Lidiya Tsaliyeva, who was seriously wounded but conscious.

Upon his return to the Kremlin, Putin addressed the nation later the same day with a statement excerpted below. It included a very sharp formulation about terrorism being run from the outside, by people who see Russia as a strategic adversary, highlighted in italic below.

It is difficult and bitter to speak. A terrible tragedy has taken place in our land. . . . We encountered not only murderers, but people who used their weapons against defenseless children. . . .

There are more than a few tragic pages and heavy events in the history of Russia. We are living in the conditions that came about after the collapse of an enormous, great state. A state that proved unviable in a rapidly changing world.

But, despite all the difficulties, we have managed to preserve the core of that giant, the Soviet Union. And we called this new country the Russian Federation. We all expected changes. Changes for the better.

But we turned out to be absolutely unprepared for much



EIR



Russian President Vladimir Putin on Sept. 7 in Moscow, lighting candles for the children, parents, and teachers slaughtered in Beslan.

of what changed in our lives. Why is that?

We are living in a transitional economy, which does not correspond to the condition and level of development of our society and political system.

We are living in conditions of internal conflicts and interethnic frictions, which have become aggravated, having earlier been harshly suppressed by the state ideology.

We stopped paying adequate attention to defense and security, and allowed corruption to afflict the courts and law enforcement.

Moreover, our country, which had had the most powerful system of defense of its borders, in an instant became undefended from either West or East. It will take many years and billions of rubles to create new, modern, truly defended borders. But we could be more effective here, if we were to act in a timely and professional manner.

In general, it has to be admitted that we have failed to grasp the complexities and dangers of the processes taking place in our own country and in the world. In any event, we

September 17, 2004 Strategic Studies 5